

## DATABASE THEORY

Lecture 4: Complexity of FO Query Answering

Markus Krötzsch Knowledge-Based Systems

TU Dresden, 23rd Apr 2019

## An Algorithm for Evaluating FO Queries

#### function $\operatorname{Eval}(\varphi, I)$

01 **switch**  $(\varphi)$  { 02 **case**  $p(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$ : return  $\langle c_1, \ldots, c_n \rangle \in p^I$ 03 **case**  $\neg \psi$ : return  $\neg$ Eval( $\psi$ , I) 04 **case**  $\psi_1 \land \psi_2$ : return Eval $(\psi_1, I) \land$  Eval $(\psi_2, I)$ 05 case  $\exists x.\psi$ : for  $c \in \Delta^I$  { 06 07 **if**  $Eval(\psi[x \mapsto c], I)$  **then** return **true** 80 } 09 return false 10

## How to Measure Query Answering Complexity

# Query answering as decision problem $\sim$ consider Boolean gueries

Various notions of complexity:

- Combined complexity (complexity w.r.t. size of query and database instance)
- Data complexity (worst case complexity for any fixed query)
- Query complexity (worst case complexity for any fixed database instance)

Various common complexity classes:

 $L \subseteq \mathsf{NL} \subseteq \mathsf{P} \subseteq \mathsf{NP} \subseteq \mathsf{PSpace} \subseteq \mathsf{ExpTime}$ 

Markus Krötzsch, 23rd Apr 2019

Database Theory

slide 2 of 11

## FO Algorithm Worst-Case Runtime

Let *m* be the size of  $\varphi$ , and let  $n = |\mathcal{I}|$  (total table sizes)

- How many recursive calls of Eval are there?
   → one per subexpression: at most m
- Maximum depth of recursion?
   → bounded by total number of calls: at most m
- Maximum number of iterations of for loop?
   → |Δ<sup>I</sup>| ≤ n per recursion level
   → at most n<sup>m</sup> iterations
- Checking  $\langle c_1, \ldots, c_n \rangle \in p^I$  can be done in linear time w.r.t. *n*

Runtime in  $m \cdot n^m \cdot n = m \cdot n^{m+1}$ 

## Time Complexity of FO Algorithm

Let *m* be the size of  $\varphi$ , and let  $n = |\mathcal{I}|$  (total table sizes)

#### Runtime in $m \cdot n^{m+1}$

#### Time complexity of FO query evaluation

- Combined complexity: in ExpTime
- Data complexity (*m* is constant): in P
- Query complexity (*n* is constant): in ExpTime

## FO Algorithm Worst-Case Memory Usage

We can get better complexity bounds by looking at memory

Let *m* be the size of  $\varphi$ , and let  $n = |\mathcal{I}|$  (total table sizes)

- For each (recursive) call, store pointer to current subexpression of  $\varphi$ : log m
- For each variable in φ (at most m), store current constant assignment (as a pointer): m · log n
- Checking  $\langle c_1, \ldots, c_n \rangle \in p^I$  can be done in logarithmic space w.r.t. *n*

Memory in  $m \log m + m \log n + \log n = m \log m + (m + 1) \log n$ 

Markus Krötzsch, 23rd Apr 2019	Database Theory	slide 5 of 11	Markus Krötzsch, 23rd Apr 2019	Database Theory	slide 6 of 11
Space Complexity of FO Algorithm			FO Combined Complexity		
Let <i>m</i> be the size of $\varphi$ , and let $n =  \mathcal{I} $ (total table sizes) Memory in $m \log m + (m + 1) \log n$			The algorithm shows that FO query evaluation is in PSpace. Is this the best we can get? Hardness proof: reduce a known PSpace-hard problem to FO query evaluation → QBF satisfiability		
<ul> <li>Space complexity of FO query evaluation</li> <li>Combined complexity: in PSpace</li> <li>Data complexity (<i>m</i> is constant): in L</li> <li>Query complexity (<i>n</i> is constant): in PSpace</li> </ul>			Let $Q_1X_1.Q_2X_2Q_nX_n.\varphi[X_1,,X_n]$ be a QBF (with $Q_i \in \{\forall,\exists\}$ ) • Database instance $I$ with $\Delta^I = \{0,1\}$ • One table with one row: true(1) • Transform input QBF into Boolean FO query $Q_1x_1.Q_2x_2Q_nx_n.\varphi[X_1 \mapsto true(x_1),,X_n \mapsto true(x_n)]$ It is easy to check that this yields the required reduction.		( <i>x</i> <sub>n</sub> )]

Markus Krötzsch, 23rd Apr 2019

### PSpace-hardness for DI Queries

The previous reduction from QBF may lead to a query that is not domain independent

**Example:** QBF  $\exists p.\neg p$  leads to FO query  $\exists x.\neg$ true(x)

#### Better approach:

- Consider QBF Q<sub>1</sub>X<sub>1</sub>.Q<sub>2</sub>X<sub>2</sub>...Q<sub>n</sub>X<sub>n</sub>.φ[X<sub>1</sub>,...,X<sub>n</sub>] with φ in negation normal form: negations only occur directly before variables X<sub>i</sub> (still PSpace-complete: exercise)
- Database instance I with  $\Delta^{I} = \{0, 1\}$
- Two tables with one row each: true(1) and false(0)
- Transform input QBF into Boolean FO query

 $\mathsf{Q}_1 x_1 \cdot \mathsf{Q}_2 x_2 \cdots \mathsf{Q}_n x_n \cdot \varphi'$ 

where  $\varphi'$  is obtained by replacing each negated variable  $\neg X_i$  with false( $x_i$ ) and each non-negated variable  $X_i$  with true( $x_i$ ).

Markus Krötzsch, 23rd Apr 2019

Database Theory

slide 9 of 11

Summary and Outlook

#### The evaluation of FO queries is

- PSpace-complete for combined complexity
- PSpace-complete for query complexity

#### **Open questions:**

- What is the data complexity of FO queries?
- Are there query languages with lower complexities? (next lecture)
- Which other computing problems are interesting?

## Combined Complexity of FO Query Answering

Summing up, we obtain:

**Theorem 4.1:** The evaluation of FO queries is PSpace-complete with respect to combined complexity.

We have actually shown something stronger:

**Theorem 4.2:** The evaluation of FO queries is PSpace-complete with respect to query complexity.

Markus Krötzsch, 23rd Apr 2019

Database Theory

slide 10 of 11