

DATABASE THEORY

Lecture 16: Graph Databases and Path Queries

Markus Krötzsch Knowledge-Based Systems

TU Dresden, 18th June 2019

Graph Databases

Our original motivation for going from FO queries to Datalog: Reachability of nodes in a (directed) graph \leadsto let's focus on graphs

Graph database: a DBMS that supports "graphs" as its datamodel

There are many kinds of graphs:

- Directed or undirected?
- Labelled or unlabelled edges/nodes?
- What kinds of labels? Datatypes?
- Parallel edges (multi-graphs)? With same label?
- One graph or several graphs per database?

Two types of graph database models dominate the market today: Resource Description Framework (RDF) and Property Graph

Review: Datalog

Datalog is a powerful recursive query language

Advantages:

- Natural extension of (U)CQs with recursion
- Can be extended with (EDB) negation
- Polynomial data complexity of query answering

Disadvantages:

- High query and combined complexity (ExpTime)
- Perfect optimisation is undecidable
- · Somewhat complicated to write queries

Markus Krötzsch, 18th June 2019 Database Theory slide 2 of 28

Resource Description Framework (RDF)

RDF is a W3C standard for representing linked data on the Web

- Directed labelled graph; nodes are identified by their labels
- Labels are URIs or datatype literals
- Multiple parallel edges only when using different edge labels
- Supports multiple graphs in one database
- W3C standard; implementations for many programming languages
- Datatype support based on W3C XML Schema datatypes
- Graphs can be exchanged in many standard syntax formats

Markus Krötzsch, 18th June 2019 Database Theory slide 3 of 28 Markus Krötzsch, 18th June 2019 Database Theory slide 4 of 28

Property Graph

Property Graph is a popular data model of many graph databases

- Directed labelled multi-graph; labels do not identify nodes
- "Labels" can be lists of attribute-value pairs
- Multiple parallel edges with the exact same labels are possible
- No native multi-graph support (could be simulated with additional attributes)
- No standard definition of technical details; most common implementation: Tinkerpop/Blueprints API (Java)
- Datatype support varies by implementation
- No standard syntax for exchanging data

Markus Krötzsch, 18th June 2019 Database Theory slide 5 of 28

Representing Data in Graphs

Property Graphs can represent RDF:

- use attributes to store RDF node and edge labels (URIs)
- use key constraints to ensure that no two distinct nodes can have same label

RDF can represent Property Graphs:

- use additional nodes to represent Property Graph edges
- use RDF triples with special predicates to represent attributes

Either model can also represent hypergraphs/RDBs (exercise)

- → all models can represent all data in principle
- → supported query features and performance will vary

Representing Graphs

Graphs (of any type) are usually viewed as sets of edges

- RDF: triples of form subject-predicate-object
 - When managing multiple graphs, each triple is extended with a fourth component (graph ID) → quads
 - RDF databases are sometimes still called "triple stores", although most modern systems effectively store quads
- Property Graph: edge objects with attribute lists
 - represented by Java objects in Blueprints

Graphs can be stored in relational databases

- RDF: table Triple[Subject,Predicate,Object]
- Property Graph: tables Edge[Sourceld, Edgeld, TargetId] and Attributes[Id, Attribute, Value]

Markus Krötzsch, 18th June 2019 Database Theory slide 6 of 28

Querying Graphs

Preferred query language depends on graph model

- RDF: W3C SPARQL query language
- Property Graph: no uniform approach to data access
 - many tools prefer API access over a query language
 - proprietary query languages, e.g., "Cypher" for Neo4j

However, there are some common basics in almost all cases:1

- Conjunctive queries
- Regular path queries

Markus Krötzsch, 18th June 2019

Markus Krötzsch, 18th June 2019 Database Theory slide 7 of 28

Database Theory

slide 8 of 28

¹Might not be true for Cypher, which – in contrast to most other database query languages – is based on a variant of graph isomorphism rather than homomorphism; and which supports only specific path expressions

Conjunctive Queries over Graphs

Basic descriptions of local patterns in a graph

Formally, it suffices to say:

"CQs over RDF correspond to CQs over relational databases with a single table Triple[Subject,Predicate,Object]"

(and analogously for Property Graphs)

- All complexity results for query answering and optimisation carry over from RDBs (in particular, restricting to graphs does not make anything simpler)
- Details of representation of data in tables do not matter
- CQs are restricted to local patterns (no reachability ...)

Markus Krötzsch, 18th June 2019 Database Theory slide 9 of 28

Semantics of Regular Path Queries

As usual, a regular expression E matches a word $w = \ell_1 \cdots \ell_n$ if any of the following conditions is satisfied:

- $E \in L$ is a label and w = E.
- $E = (E_1 \circ E_2)$ and there is $i \in \{0, ..., n\}$ such that E_1 matches $\ell_1 \cdots \ell_i$ and E_2 matches $\ell_{i+1} \cdots \ell_n$ (the words matched by E_1 and E_2 can be empty if i = 0 or i = n, respectively).
- $E = (E_1 + E_2)$ and w is matched by E_1 or by E_2
- $E = E_1^*$ and w has the form $w_1 w_2 \cdots w_m$ for $n \ge 0$, where each word w_i is matched by E_1

Definition 16.2: Let a and b be constants and x and y be variables. An RPQ E(a,b) is entailed by a graph G if there is a directed path from node a to node b that is labelled by a word matched by E. The answers to RPQs E(x,y), E(x,b), and E(a,y) are defined in the obvious way.

Regular Path Queries

Idea: use regular expressions to navigate over paths

Let's consider a simplified graph model, where a graph is given by:

- Set of nodes *N* (without additional labels)
- Set of edges E, labelled by a function $\lambda: E \to L$, where L is a finite set of labels

Definition 16.1: A regular expression over a set of labels L is an expression of the following form:

$$E ::= L \mid (E \circ E) \mid (E + E) \mid E^*$$

A regular path query (RPQ) is an expression of the form E(s, t), where E is a regular expression and s and t are terms (constants or variables).

Markus Krötzsch, 18th June 2019 Database Theory slide 10 of 28

Extending the Expressive Power of RPQs

Regular path queries can be used to express typical reachability queries, but are still quite limited \rightarrow extensions

2-Way Regular Path Queries (2RPQs)

- For every label $\ell \in L$, also introduce a converse label ℓ^-
- Allow converse labels in regular expressions
- Matched paths can follow edges forwards or backwards

Conjunctive Regular Path Queries (CRPQs)

- Extend conjunctive queries with RPQs
- RPQs can be used like binary query atoms
- Obvious semantics

Conjunctive 2-Way Regular Path Queries (C2RPQs) combine both extensions

Markus Krötzsch, 18th June 2019 Database Theory slide 11 of 28 Markus Krötzsch, 18th June 2019 Database Theory slide 12 of 28

C2RPQs: Examples

All ancestors of Alice:

 $((father + mother) \circ (father + mother)^*)(alice, y)$

People with finite Erdös number:

(authorOf ∘ authorOf⁻)*(*x*, paulErdös)

Pairs of stops connected by tram lines 3 and 8:

 $(\text{nextStop3} \circ \text{nextStop3}^*)(x, y) \land (\text{nextStop8} \circ \text{nextStop8}^*)(x, y)$

Markus Krötzsch, 18th June 2019 Database Theory slide 13 of 28

Complexity of C2RPQs

We already know:

- CQ matching is in AC⁰ (data complexity) and NP-complete (query and combined complexity)
- RPQ matching is NL-complete (data) and in P (query/combined)
- $AC^0 \subset NL$ and $NL \subseteq NP$
- → C2RPQs are NP-hard (combined/query) and NL-hard (data)

It's not hard to show that these bounds are tight:

Theorem 16.3: C2RPQ matching is NP-complete for combined and query complexity, and NL-complete for data complexity.

Complexity of RPQs

A nondeterministic algorithm for Boolean RPQs:

- Transform regular expression into a finite automaton
- Starting from the first node, guess a matching path
- When moving along path, advance state of automaton
- Accept if the second node is reached in an accepting state
- Reject if path is longer than size of graph × size of automaton

Space requirements when assuming query (and automaton) fixed: pointer to current node in graph, pointer to current state of automaton, counter for length of path \sim NL algorithm

Conversely, reachability in an unlabelled graph is hard for NL
→ RPQ matching is NL-complete (data complexity)

(Combined/query complexity is in P, as we will see below)

Markus Krötzsch, 18th June 2019 Database Theory slide 14 of 28

(C2)RPQs and Datalog

How do path gueries relate to Datalog?

We already know:

- Datalog is ExpTime-complete (combined/query) and P-complete (data)
- C2RPQs are NP-complete (combined/query) and NL-complete (data)

→ maybe Datalog is more expressive that C2RPQs . . .

Indeed, we can express regular expressions in Datalog

For simplicity, assume that we have a binary EDB predicate p_{ℓ} for each label $\ell \in L$ (other encodings would work just as well)

Markus Krötzsch, 18th June 2019 Database Theory slide 15 of 28 Markus Krötzsch, 18th June 2019 Database Theory slide 16 of 28

2-Way Regular Expressions in Datalog

We transform a regular expression E to a Datalog query $\langle Q_E, P_E \rangle$:

If $E = \ell \in L$ is a label, then $P_E = \{Q_E(x, y) \leftarrow p_{\ell}(x, y)\}$

If $E = \ell^-$ is the converse of a label $\ell \in L$, then

$$P_E = \{ \mathsf{Q}_E(x, y) \leftarrow \mathsf{p}_\ell(y, x) \}$$

If $E = (E_1 \circ E_2)$ then

$$P_E = P_{E_1} \cup P_{E_2} \cup \{Q_E(x, z) \leftarrow Q_{E_1}(x, y) \land Q_{E_2}(y, z)\}$$

If $E = (E_1 + E_2)$ then

$$P_E = P_{E_1} \cup P_{E_2} \cup \{Q_E(x, y) \leftarrow Q_{E_1}(x, y), Q_E(x, y) \leftarrow Q_{E_2}(x, y)\}$$

If $E = E_1^*$ then

$$P_E = P_{E_1} \cup \{ \mathsf{Q}_E(x, x) \leftarrow, \mathsf{Q}_E(x, z) \leftarrow \mathsf{Q}_E(x, y) \land \mathsf{Q}_{E_1}(y, z) \}$$

Markus Krötzsch, 18th June 2019

Database Theory

slide 17 of 28

Expressing C2RPQs in Datalog

It is now easy to express C2RPQs in Datalog:

- Use the encoding of CQs in Datalog as shown in the exercise
- Express 2RPQ atoms in Datalog as just shown

Can every Datalog query over binary "labelled-edge" EDB predicates be expressed with (C2)RPQs?

- This would imply P = NL (but not that NP = ExpTime!): unlikely but not known to be false
- However, there are stronger direct arguments that show the limits of C2RPQs (exercise)

Reprise: Combined Complexity of 2RPQs

As a side effect, the previous translation shows that 2RPQs can be evaluated in P combined complexity:

- Each (2-way) regular expression E leads to a Datalog query (QE, PE) of polynomial size
- Each rule in P_E has at most three variables

 → the grounding of P_E for a graph with nodes N is of size |P_E| × |N|³
- propositional logic rules can be evaluated in polynomial time
- → polynomial time decision procedure

Markus Krötzsch, 18th June 2019 Database Theory slide 18 of 28

Linear Datalog and Binary Datalog

Expressing 2RPQs in Datalog requires only restricted forms of Datalog:

Definition 16.4: A Datalog program is linear if each of its rules has at most one IDB atom in its body. A Datalog program is binary if all of its IDB predicates have arity at most two.

The following complexity results are known:

Theorem 16.5: Query answering in linear Datalog is NL-complete for data complexity, and PSpace-complete for combined and query complexity. Combined complexity further drops to NP for binary Datalog.

ightharpoonup complexity results that are more similar to (C2)RPQs ...

Markus Krötzsch, 18th June 2019 Database Theory slide 19 of 28 Markus Krötzsch, 18th June 2019 Database Theory slide 20 of 28

2RPQs and Linear Datalog

The Datalog translation of 2RPQs does not lead to linear Datalog, but we can fix this.

We transform a regular expression E to a linear Datalog query (Q_E, P_E^{lin}) :

- Construct a non-deterministic automaton \mathcal{A}_E for E
- For every state q of \mathcal{A}_E , we use a binary IDB predicate S_q
- For the starting state q_0 of \mathcal{A}_E , we add a rule $S_{q_0}(x,x) \leftarrow$
- For every transition $q \stackrel{\ell}{\to} q'$ of \mathcal{A}_E , we add a rule

$$S_{q'}(x, z) \leftarrow S_q(x, y) \wedge p_{\ell}(y, z)$$

• For every final state q_f of \mathcal{A}_E , we add a rule

$$Q_E(x, y) \leftarrow S_{q_f}(x, y)$$

Two-way queries can be captured by allowing two-way transitions.

Markus Krötzsch, 18th June 2019 Database Theory slide 21 of 28

Query Optimisation for C2RPQs

Recall the basic static optimisation problems of database theory:

- Query containment
- Query equivalence
- Query emptiness

Which of these are decidable for (C2)RPQs?

Observation: query emptiness is trivial

Linear Datalog vs. 2RPQs

So all 2RPQs can be expessed in linear Datalog Is the converse also true?

No. Counterexample:

Query
$$(x, z) \leftarrow p_a(x, y) \land p_b(y, z)$$

Query $(x, z) \leftarrow p_a(x, x') \land Query(x', z') \land p_b(z', z)$

The linear Datalog program matches paths with labels from a^nb^n

→ context-free, non-regular language

→ not expressible in (C2)RPQs

Intuition: linear Datalog generalises context-free languages

Markus Krötzsch, 18th June 2019 Database Theory slide 22 of 28

Containment for RPQs

Containment of Regular Path Queries corresponds to containment of regular expressions → known to be decidable in PSpace

Proof sketch for checking $E_1 \sqsubseteq E_2$:

- (1) Construct non-deterministic automata (NFAs), A_1 and A_2 for the regular expressions E_1 and E_2 , respectively
- (2) Construct an automaton \bar{A}_2 that accepts the complement of A_2 .
- (3) Construct the intersection $A_1 \cap \bar{A}_2$ of A_1 and \bar{A}_2
- (4) Check if $A_1 \cap \bar{A}_2$ accepts a word (if yes, then there is a counterexample that disproves $E_1 \sqsubseteq E_7$; if no, then the containment holds)

Complexity estimate:

 $A_1 \cap \bar{A}_2$ is exponential (blow-up by powerset construction in step (2)) but step (4) is possible by checking reachability on the state graph

- → NL algorithm on an exponential state graph
- → NPSpace algorithm (construct the state graph on the fly)
- → PSpace algorithm (Savitch's Theorem)

Markus Krötzsch, 18th June 2019 Database Theory slide 23 of 28 Markus Krötzsch, 18th June 2019 Database Theory slide 24 of 28

Containment for (C)2RPQs

Things are more tricky when adding converses and conjunctions

Theorem 16.6:

- Containment of 2RPQs is PSpace-complete
- Containment of C2RPQs is ExpSpace-complete

The proofs are more involved.

Automata-theoretic constructions are used, but with more complicated automata models and for somewhat different languages (there is no good "language of possible C2RPQ matches on a graph" \rightarrow consider language of possible proofs instead)

Markus Krötzsch, 18th June 2019 Database Theory slide 25 of 28

Path Queries: Final Remarks on Expressivity

We have seen that C2RPQs are NL-complete for data → can all NL-complete queries be captured by a C2RPQ?

No. For many reasons.

- C2RPQs have no disjunction (→ Unions of C2RPQs)
- C2RPQs have no negation

FO-queries with a binary transitive closure operator capture NL

Several (regular) extensions of path queries:

- Nested unary 2RPQs in regular expressions ("test operators")
- Nested binary C2RPQs in regular expressions
- Other more expressive fragments of "regular Datalog", e.g., Monadically Defined Queries

Query Optimisation for Path Queries

Decidable in PSpace (2RPQs) and ExpSpace (C2RPQs)

Should be compared to linear Datalog:

Theorem 16.7: Query containment for linear Datalog queries is undecidable.

Proof: see Lecture 13 (Post Correspondence Problem in Datalog – in fact, in linear Datalog)

Essentially no adoption in practice

- → maybe the complexities are too high . . .
- → or maybe path query optimisers are just too primitive

Markus Krötzsch, 18th June 2019 Database Theory slide 26 of 28

Summary and Outlook

Graph databases as an important class of "noSQL" databases

Regular Path Queries (RPQs) and their generalisation 2RPQs and C2RPQs define practically useful types of recursive queries

(2)RPQ answering is NL-complete (data) and P-complete (combined/query); query complexity goes up to NP for C2RPQs

Path queries can be expressed in linear Datalog, which is more expressive though

Query containment is decidable for path queries, but not for linear Datalog

Next topics:

- Logical dependencies
- Query answering under constraints

Markus Krötzsch, 18th June 2019 Database Theory slide 27 of 28 Markus Krötzsch, 18th June 2019 Database Theory slide 28 of 28