# 3. Petri Nets: The Basics

May 10-16, 2022

## Petri Nets: Basic Notions

**Definition 3.1:** A triple N = (P, T, F) is a **net structure** if P and T are disjoint finite sets, and  $F \subseteq (P \times T) \cup (T \times P)$ .

- elements  $p \in P$  are called places, elements  $t \in T$  transitions.
- F is a flow relation; its elements are called arcs
- for a node  $n \in P \cup T$ ,

the preset of n is  $\bullet n := \{m \mid (m, n) \in F\}$  and the postset of n is  $n^{\bullet} := \{o \mid (n, o) \in F\}$ 

#### Petri Nets: Token Game

- states of a Petri net are distributions of so-called tokens on the places of a net
- we use **multisets**: for set S,  $m: S \to \mathbb{N}$  is a multiset over S
- for multisets  $m_1, m_2$  over S, define
  - union  $m_1 + m_2$ , such that for  $s \in S$ ,  $(m_1 + m_2)(s) = m_1(s) + m_2(s)$ ;
  - difference  $m_1 m_2$ , such that for  $s \in S$ ,  $(m_1 m_2)(s) = \max\{m_1(s) m_2(s), 0\}$ ;
  - inclusion  $m_1 \leq m_2$ , which holds if, and only if,  $\forall s \in S : m_1(s) \leq m_2(s)$
- note,  $m_1 \not\leq m_2$  if  $m_2 \leq m_1$  or  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  are incomparable

**Definition 3.2:** Let N = (P, T, F) a net structure. We call a multiset m over P a marking of N. A transition  $t \in T$  is enabled under m if  $\bullet t \leq m$ . An enabled transition  $t \in T$  (under m) my fire, producing the successor marking

$$m'(p) := \begin{cases} m(p) - 1 & \text{if } p \in {}^{\bullet}t \setminus t^{\bullet} \\ m(p) + 1 & \text{if } p \in t^{\bullet} \setminus {}^{\bullet}t \\ m(p) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

## Petri Nets

- Enabledness of t (in net N) under m is denoted by  $m[t\rangle_N$
- If m' is the successor marking of m by firing t (in net N), we write  $m[t\rangle_N m'$
- The set of reachable markings from m in N=(P,T,F) is  $[N,m\rangle,$  defined inductively 1.  $m\in[N,m\rangle$  and
  - 2. if  $m_1 \in [N,m)$  and  $m_1[t\rangle_N m_2$  for some  $t \in T$ , then  $m_2 \in [N,m\rangle$ .

**Definition 3.3:** A **Petri net** (elementary net system) is a quadruple  $N = (P, T, F, m_0)$ , where (P, T, F) is a net structure and  $m_0$  a marking for (P, T, F). We call  $m_0$  the **initial marking** of N.  $[N \rangle := [(P, T, F), m_0)$  is the set of all reachable markings of N.

**Definition 3.4:** The reachability graph of a Petri net  $N = (P, T, F, m_0)$  is the directed graph  $\mathcal{R}(N) = (V, E)$  with  $V = [N\rangle$  and if  $m, m' \in V$  and  $m[t\rangle_N m'$ , then  $(m, m') \in E$ . The reachability graph may also be labeled, having  $(m, t, m') \in E$  if  $m[t\rangle_N m'$ .

## Some Extensions

- place capacities
- arc weights
- read arcs
- reset arcs
- inhibitor arcs
- colors (aka. data types)

#### Petri nets are unlabeled, meaning that different transitions model different actions.

**Definition 3.5:** Let  $\Sigma$  be a labeling alphabet. For a Petri net  $N = (P, T, F, m_0)$ ,  $l : T \to \Sigma$  is a **transition labeling function**. Instead of using transition as labels for the labeled version of the reachability graph, we may also write  $m \xrightarrow{l(t)} m'$  if  $m[t\rangle_N m'$ . If l is injective, N is effectively unlabeled under l.

Using the (labeled) reachability graph, we can define all equivalences from before for (labeled) Petri nets.

### Analysis Tasks for Petri Nets

Let  $N = (P, T, F, m_0)$  be a Petri net.

- 1. Termination: N is **terminating** if its reachability graph is finite and acyclic.
- 2. Deadlock-freedom: A marking m for N is **dead** if for all  $t \in T$ ,  $m[t\rangle_N$  does not hold. N is **deadlock-free** if there is no dead marking  $m \in [N\rangle$ .
- 3. Liveness. Weak liveness. Quasi-liveness.
- 4. Boundedness: For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , N is k-bounded if for all  $m \in [N\rangle$  and all  $p \in P$ ,  $m(p) \leq k$ . N is bounded if there is a  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that N is k-bounded.
- 5. Reversibility: N is reversible if for each  $m \in [N\rangle$ ,  $m_0 \in [N, m\rangle$ .
- 6. Reachability: A marking m is **reachable** in N if  $m \in [N\rangle$ .
- 7. Equivalence (e.g., bisimilarity, trace equivalence, isomorphism): Two (labeled) Petri nets  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  are **bisimilar**, denoted  $N_1 \Leftrightarrow N_2$  if  $\mathcal{R}(N_1) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{R}(N_2)$ .

## **Monotonicity Lemma**

**Lemma 3.6:** Let  $N = (P, T, F, m_0)$  be a Petri net and l a marking for N. For each marking m for N, if  $m[t\rangle_N m'$ , then  $m + l[t\rangle_N m'$ .

**Proof:** Since  $m[t\rangle_N m'$ , t is enabled under m, meaning that  $t \leq m$ . That means, for each  $p \in t$ ,  $m(p) \geq 1$ . For each  $p \in P$ ,  $m(p) \leq m + l(p)$ . Hence,  $m + l(p) \geq 1$  for each  $p \in t$ . Hence, t is enabled under m + l.

If  $p \in t \setminus t$ , m'(p) = m(p) - 1 and for  $m + l[t\rangle_N \hat{m}$ , we have  $\hat{m}(p) = m + l(p) - 1 = m(p) + l(p) - 1$ . Since  $m(p) \ge 1$ , we can equivalently say that  $\hat{m}(p) = (m(p) - 1) + l(p) = m'(p) + l(p) = m' + l(p)$ .

If  $p \in t \setminus t$ , m'(p) = m(p) + 1. We get  $\hat{m}(p) = m + l(p) + 1 = m(p) + l(p) + 1 = (m(p) + 1) + l(p) = m'(p) + l(p) = m' + l(p)$ . Otherwise, m'(p) = m(p) and  $\hat{m}(p) = m + l(p) = m(p) + l(p) = m'(p) + l(p) = m' + l(p)$ .

### **Boundedness**

**Theorem 3.7:** A Petri net  $N = (P, T, F, m_0)$  is unbounded if, and only if, there are two markings  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  for N, such that  $m_1 \in [N, m_0\rangle$ ,  $m_2 \in [N, m_1\rangle$ ,  $m_1 \leq m_2$ , and  $m_1(p) < m_2(p)$  for some  $p \in P$ .

**Proof:** " $\Leftarrow$ ": Since  $m_2 \in [N, m_1)$ , there is a finite sequence  $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n \in T$ , such that  $m_1[t_1\rangle[t_2\rangle\ldots[t_n\rangle m_2]$ . As  $m_1(p) < m_2(p)$ , there is a non-empty marking l, such that  $m_2 = m_1 + l$ . By reasoning with the monotonicity lemma over all of the n firing transitions, we have that  $m_2[t_1\rangle[t_2\rangle\ldots[t_n\rangle m_3$  and  $m_3 = m_2 + l$ . As l is non-empty, there is again place p with  $m_3(p) > m_2(p)$ . Suppose now, there was a  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that N is k-bounded. Then this bound applies to p, meaning  $k \ge m_i(p)$  (i = 0, 1, 2, 3). Repeating the firing sequence  $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n$  from  $m_3$  for  $k - m_3(p) + 1$  times yields a marking  $\hat{m}$  with  $\hat{m}(p) > k$ , contradicting the assumption that N is k-bounded.

" $\Rightarrow$ ": Here, we need two more tools.

## König's Lemma (for Petri Nets)

**Lemma 3.8:** Let  $N = (P, T, F, m_0)$  be a Petri net. If  $[N\rangle$  is infinite, then  $\mathcal{R}(N)$  has an infinite path  $m_0 \xrightarrow{t_1} m_1 \xrightarrow{t_2} \dots$ , where each  $m_i \neq m_j$  for  $i \neq j$ .

**Proof:** Since T is finite, there are only finitely many successor marking of  $m_0$ . Thus, there is a marking  $m_1 \in [N \setminus \{m_0\}$ , such that  $m_0[t_1 \setminus m_1$  for some  $t \in T$  and  $[N, m_1 \setminus m_1]$  is infinite because  $[N \setminus m_1]$  is infinite. We proceed constructing the path from  $m_1$  as initial marking with the same arguments as before.

As unboundedness of N implies  $[N\rangle$  to be infinite, we have already derived an infinite path with distinct markings (by König's Lemma). But how do we get to  $m_1 \leq m_2$ ?

## Dickson's Lemma

**Lemma 3.9:** For any infinite sequence  $a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots \in (\mathbb{N}^k)^{\omega}$ , there is an infinite sequence of indizes  $i_1 < i_2 < i_3 < \ldots$ , such that  $a_{i_1} \leq a_{i_2} \leq a_{i_3} \leq \ldots$ .

#### **Proof:** By induction on $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Base:** We have  $a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots \mathbb{N}^{\omega}$ . There is a unique minimal element of the set  $A_1 = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots\}$ , say min  $A_1$ . Set  $i_1$  to be the first occurrence of min  $A_1$  with  $a_{i_1} = \min A_1$ . Consider now the infinite sequence  $a_{i_1+1}, a_{i_1+2}, \ldots$  and its set representation  $A_2 = \{a_{i_1+1}, a_{i_1+2}, \ldots\}$ , which also has a minimal element min  $A_2$ . min  $A_1 \leq \min A_2$  since  $A_2 \subseteq A_1$  and min  $A_2 < \min A_1$  contradicts the minimality of min  $A_1$  w.r.t.  $A_1$ . We set  $i_2$  to be the first occurrence with  $a_{i_2} = \min A_2$  in the remaining sequence. We proceed by repeating the procedure starting from  $a_{i_2+1}$ .

## Dickson's Lemma (cont'd)

For any infinite sequence  $a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots \in (\mathbb{N}^k)^{\omega}$ , there is an infinite sequence of indizes  $i_1 < i_2 < i_3 < \ldots$ , such that  $a_{i_1} \leq a_{i_2} \leq a_{i_3} \leq \ldots$ .

#### **Proof:**

**Step:** We argue now from  $k \to k + 1$ . Let  $a_1, a_2, \ldots \in (\mathbb{N}^{k+1})^{\omega}$ . Consider  $\hat{a_1}, \hat{a_2}, \ldots \in (\mathbb{N}^k)^{\omega}$  where each  $\hat{a_i}$  is  $a_i$  up to the k-th component. By induction hypothesis, there is an infinite sequence  $i_1 < i_2 < i_3 < \ldots$ , such that  $\hat{a_{i_1}} \leq \hat{a_{i_2}} \leq \hat{a_{i_3}} \leq \ldots$ . Consider now the infinite sequence  $\bar{a_{i_1}}, \bar{a_{i_2}}, \bar{a_{i_3}}, \ldots \mathbb{N}^{\omega}$ , where each  $\bar{a_i}$  is the k + 1-st component of  $a_i$ . By induction hypothesis, there is an infinite sequence  $j_1 < j_2 < j_3 < \ldots$ , such that  $\bar{a_{j_1}} \leq \bar{a_{j_2}} \leq \ldots$ . Hence,  $a_{j_1} \leq a_{j_2} \leq \ldots$ .

# Boundedness (cont'd)

A Petri net  $N = (P, T, F, m_0)$  is unbounded if, and only if, there are two markings  $m_1$ and  $m_2$  for N, such that  $m_1 \in [N, m_0\rangle$ ,  $m_2 \in [N, m_1\rangle$ ,  $m_1 \leq m_2$ , and  $m_1(p) < m_2(p)$ for some  $p \in P$ .

**Proof:** " $\Rightarrow$ ": Since N is unbounded,  $[N\rangle$  is infinite. Hence, there is an infinite sequence of distinct markings  $m_0, m_1, m_2, \ldots$  with  $m_0[t_1\rangle m_1[t_2\rangle m_2[t_3\rangle \ldots$  (by König's Lemma). By Dickson's Lemma, there is an infinite sequence of indizes  $i_1 < i_2 < i_3 < \ldots$ , such that  $m_{i_1} \le m_{i_2} \le m_{i_3} \le \ldots$ . We set  $m_1 = m_{i_1}$  and  $m_2 = m_{i_2}$ . Since all the markings are distinct, there is a place  $p \in P$ , such that  $m_1(p) < m_2(p)$ .

## Boundedness: Decidability

Theorem 3.10: Boundedness is decidable.

- 1. Compute the reachability graph by a BFS from  $m_0$ .
- 2. If we find a marking  $m_2$ , such that there is a marking  $m_1 \le m_2$  with a path to  $m_2$  and for some p,  $m_1(p) < m_2(p)$ , return unbounded.
- 3. If the BFS terminates, return bounded.

**Proof:** Every step of the BFS triggers finitely many transitions (as T is finite). By Theorem 3.7, there will eventually be marking  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  revealing unboundedness. If the net is bounded, the reachability graph is finite and will be computed by the BFS (terminating).  $\Box$ 

## Making the Most Out Of Monotonicity

**Definition 3.11:** Let  $N = (P, T, F, m_0)$  be a Petri net. A transition  $t \in T$  is quasi-live if there is a marking  $m \in [N\rangle$  such that  $m[t\rangle$ . N is quasi-live if all transitions  $t \in T$  are quasi-live.

By monotonicity, m could be equal to t or any bigger marking than t.

**Definition 3.12:** For markings  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ , we say that  $m_2$  covers  $m_1$  if  $m_1 \leq m_2$ .d For Petri net  $N = (P, T, F, m_0)$ , a marking m is coverable by N if there is a marking  $m' \in [N\rangle$  covering m.

Hence, it is sufficient to check whether t is coverable in a Petri net.

The **Coverability Problem** — that is given a Petri net N and a marking m for N, is m coverable by N? — is decidable.