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## Disclaimer

I will break with any conventions you may have heard of ...
(e. g., P/T nets or $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{T}$ nets, elementary net systems, net systems, Petri nets, ... will all be called Petri nets)
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## Definitions and Observations

## Definition 4.1 (Net Structure)

Let $\Sigma$ be an alphabet. A ( $\Sigma$-labeled) net structure is a quadruple ( $P, T, F, l$ ) with disjoint finite sets $P$ of places and $T$ of transitions, $F \subseteq(P \times T) \cup(T \times P)$, and $l: T \rightarrow \Sigma$.

For nodes $v \in P \cup T, \bullet v:=\{u \mid(u, v) \in F\}$ and $v^{\bullet}:=\{w \mid(v, w) \in F\}$.

## Definition 4.2 (Marking, Firing Rule)

For (labeled) net structure $N=(P, T, F, l)$, we call a multiset $m$ over $P$ a marking of $N$. A transition $t \in T$ is enabled under marking $m$ if ${ }^{\bullet} t \leq m$. An enabled transition $t$ under marking $m$ may fire, producing the successor marking $m^{\prime}$ such that for all $p \in P$,

$$
m(p):=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
m(p)-1 & \text { if } p \in \bullet t \backslash t^{\bullet} \\
m(p)+1 & \text { if } p \in t^{\bullet} \backslash \bullet t \\
m(p) & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

We also write $m \xrightarrow{t} m^{\prime}$ or even $m \xrightarrow{l(t)} m^{\prime}$.

## Definitions and Observations

## Definition 4.3 (Petri net, reachability graph)

A ( $\Sigma$-labeled) Petri net is a quintuple $N=\left(P, T, F, l, m_{0}\right)$ where $(P, T, F, l)$ is a labeled net structure and $m_{0}$ is a marking for it (initial marking).
The set of reachable markings of $N[N\rangle$ is defined inductively by (1) $m_{0} \in[N\rangle$ and (2) $m \in[N\rangle$ and $m \xrightarrow{t} m^{\prime}$ implies $m^{\prime} \in[N\rangle$.
The reachability graph of $N \mathcal{R}(N)$ is induced by the set of reachable markings $[N\rangle$ as the set of nodes and $(\xrightarrow{t})_{t \in T}$ forming the edge relation.

We sometimes needs $[N, m\rangle$ for arbitrary markings $m$ of $N$ to be the set of reachable markings of $N$ where $m_{0}$ is replaced by $m$. Special case: $\left[N, m_{0}\right\rangle=[N\rangle$.

## The Boundedness Problem
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## Definition 4.4 (Bounded Petri net)

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. A Petri net $N=\left(P, T, F, l, m_{0}\right)$ is $k$-bounded if for all $m \in[N\rangle$ and all places $p \in P, m(p) \leq k . N$ is bounded if there is a $k$, such that $N$ is $k$-bounded. If no such $k$ exists, $N$ is unbounded.
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Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. A Petri net $N=\left(P, T, F, l, m_{0}\right)$ is $k$-bounded if for all $m \in[N\rangle$ and all places $p \in P, m(p) \leq k . N$ is bounded if there is a $k$, such that $N$ is $k$-bounded. If no such $k$ exists, $N$ is unbounded.

## Lemma 4.5

The following statements are equivalent for Petri nets $N=\left(P, T, F, l, m_{0}\right)$ :

1. $[N\rangle$ is infinite.
2. $N$ is unbounded.
3. There are markings $m_{1}, m_{2}$ of $N$, such that
(a) $m_{1} \in[N\rangle$, (b) $m_{2} \in\left[N, m_{1}\right\rangle$, (c) $m_{1} \leq m_{2}$, and (d) $m_{1}(p)<m_{2}(p)$ for some $p \in P$.
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## Lemma 4.6 (Monotonicity)
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For Petri net $N=\left(P, T, F, l, m_{0}\right), t \in T$, and markings $m, m^{\prime}, s$ of $N, m \xrightarrow{t} m^{\prime}$ implies $m+s \xrightarrow{t} m^{\prime}+s$.

For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, repeat transition sequence $\sigma k+1$ times, reaching a marking $m^{k}$ with $m^{k}(p)>k$.

## From 3 to 2

For Petri net $N=\left(P, T, F, l, m_{0}\right)$, let $m_{1}, m_{2}$ be markings, such that
(a) $m_{1} \in[N\rangle$, (b) $m_{2} \in\left[N, m_{1}\right\rangle$, (c) $m_{1} \leq m_{2}$, and (d) $m_{1}(p)<m_{2}(p)$ for some $p \in P$. $m_{2}=m_{1}+s$ for some non-empty marking $s$ ! In particular, $s(p)>0$
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7. By construction (a) $m_{1} \in[N\rangle$, (b) $m_{2} \in\left[N, m_{1}\right\rangle$, and (c) $m_{1} \leq m_{2}$.
8. As $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ stem from a simple path, there is at least one place $p \in P$ with $m_{2}(p)>m_{1}(p)$.
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Yes to both (?), but not for labeled Petri nets!

## The Equivalence Problem(s)

The (prefix) language $\mathcal{L}(N)$ of a labeled Petri net $N=\left(P, T, F, l, m_{0}\right)$ is the set of all words $w \in \Sigma^{*}$, such that $w=\varepsilon$ or $m_{0} \xrightarrow{t_{1}} \xrightarrow{t_{2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{t_{|w|}}$ such that $l^{*}\left(t_{1} t_{2} \ldots t_{|w|}\right)=w$.
Two Petri nets $N_{1}, N_{2}$ are language equivalent if $\mathcal{L}\left(N_{1}\right)=\mathcal{L}\left(N_{2}\right)$.
Theorem 4.1: Language equivalence is undecidable for labeled Petri nets.
We reduce from the halting problem of Minsky machines with two counters.
Petri nets are not Turing-complete!
$\rightsquigarrow$ weak simulation of Turing machines/Minsky machines

## Minsky Machines

A Minsky machine is a pair $\left\langle P,\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{k}\right\}\right\rangle$, where $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}$ are counters and $P$ is a finite sequence of commands $l_{1} l_{2} \ldots l_{n}$, such that $l_{n}=$ HALT and $l_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n-1)$ is

1. $i: c_{j}:=c_{j}+1$; goto $k$, or
2. $i$ : if $c_{j}=0$ then goto $k_{1}$ else $c_{j}:=c_{j}-1$; goto $k_{2}$
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## Example 4.7

We consider two counter $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$.
1: if $c_{2}=0$ then goto 3 else $c_{2}:=c_{2}-1$; goto 2
2: $c_{1}:=c_{1}+1$; goto 1
3: HALT
If $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are initialized with $m$ and $n$, then the program halts with value $m+n$ in $c_{1}$.
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The labeling can be arbitrary but injective.
For input $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in \mathbb{N}$, define $m_{0}=\left\{c_{1} \mapsto x_{1}, \ldots, c_{n} \mapsto x_{n}, l_{1} \mapsto 1\right\}$.

## Petri Net Construction by Example



Undecidability of Language Equivalence: The Reduction


Undecidability of Bisimilarity: The Reduction


## The Coverability Graph

## Definition 4.8 ( $\omega$-marking)

For a net $(P, T, F), m: P \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup\{\omega\}$ is called an $\omega$-marking.
Note, $\omega>n$ and $\omega+/-n=\omega$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
For directed graph $G=(V, E)$ and $v \in V$, defined $v \Downarrow$ to be the smallest set, such that (1) $v \in v \Downarrow$ and (2) if $w \in v \Downarrow$ and $u \rightarrow w$, then $u \in v \Downarrow$.

## Definition 4.9

Let $N=\left(P, T, F, m_{0}, l\right)$ be a (labeled) Petri net. The coverability graph (of $N$ ) is the graph $\mathcal{C}(N)=(V, E)$, such that

1. $m_{0} \in V$;
2. if $m \in V$ and $m \xrightarrow{t} m^{\prime}$, then $\omega\left(m^{\prime}\right) \in V$ and $\left(m, \omega\left(m^{\prime}\right)\right) \in E$ such that for all $p \in P$,

$$
\omega\left(m^{\prime}\right)(p)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\omega & \text { if } m^{\prime \prime} \in m \Downarrow \text { with } m^{\prime \prime}(p)<m^{\prime}(p) \\
m^{\prime}(p) & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Properties of the Coverability Graph

Theorem 4.2: The coverability graph $\mathcal{C}(N)$ of a Petri net $N$ is finite.
$\rightsquigarrow$ follows the same argument as for the decidability proof of the boundedness problem.

## Properties of the Coverability Graph

Theorem 4.3: The coverability problem - given a Petri net $N$ and a marking $m$, is there a reachable marking $m^{\prime}$, such that $m \leq m^{\prime}$ ? - is decidable.

1. Construct $C(N)$
2. Check if there is an $\omega$-marking $m^{\omega}$ with $m \leq m^{\omega}$
3. Consider the path $m_{0} \xrightarrow{t_{1}} \ldots \xrightarrow{t_{n}} m^{\omega}$ and the marking $m^{\prime}$ reached after firing the sequence $t_{1} \ldots t_{n}$
4. If $m \leq m^{\prime}$, witness found.
5. If $m \not \leq m^{\prime}$, then there is at least one $\omega$ in $m^{\omega}$ and there are markings on the path from $m_{0}$ to $m^{\omega}$ that led to the addition of $\omega$
6. Repeat the respective firing sequences until a covering marking is reached.
7. Hence, it is sufficient to check only $m^{\omega}$.
8. If $m$ is not coverable, then there is no marking $m^{\prime}$ in the coverability graph with $m \leq m^{\prime}$.

## Equivalence of Unlabeled Nets

Theorem 4.4: Bisimilarity and language equivalence of Petri nets is decidable for unlabeled Petri nets.

- Given are $N_{1}=\left(P_{1}, T_{1}, F_{1}, m_{0}^{1}, l_{1}\right)$ and $N_{2}=\left(P_{2}, T_{2}, F_{2}, m_{0}^{2}, l_{2}\right)\left(P_{1} \cap P_{2}=\emptyset=T_{1} \cap T_{2}\right)$.
- Construct $N_{1}+N_{2}=\left(P_{1} \cup P_{2}, T_{1} \cup T_{2}, F_{1} \cup F_{2}, m_{0}^{1}+m_{0}^{2}\right)$.
- Each transition $t \in T_{1} \cup T_{2}$ is duplicated to $t^{\prime}$ with the same in-/outputs and label as $t$.
- Add a fresh place $p$ and add $\{p\} \times\left(T_{1} \cup T_{2}\right)$ and $\left\{t^{\prime} \mid t^{\prime}\right.$ is a duplicate $\} \times\{p\}$ to the arc relation.
- For each label $a \in \Sigma$, add places $p_{1}^{a}, p_{2}^{a}$ and for $t \in T_{i}$ with $l_{i}(t)=a$, add arcs $\left(t, p_{j}^{a}\right),\left(p_{j}^{a}, u^{\prime}\right)$ for transition duplicate $u^{\prime}$ with $l_{j}(u)=a$.
- If the nets are language equivalent, then every transition firing of $t \in T_{i}$ can be reproduced in $N_{j}$ by $u^{\prime}$, such that $l_{i}(t)=l_{j}(u)$.
- If the nets are not language equivalent, then there is a shortest word $w$ of $L\left(N_{i}\right) \backslash L\left(N_{j}\right)$. After firing the last transition of $w$ in $N_{i}$, no duplicate can be fired in $N_{j}$.
- Unlabledness is important to not leave $N_{j}$ the chance to use more clever $a$-labeled transitions. $102 / 104$

