

DATABASE THEORY

Lecture 4: Complexity of FO Query Answering

Markus Krötzsch

Knowledge-Based Systems

TU Dresden, 17th Apr 2018

An Algorithm for Evaluating FO Queries

```
function \operatorname{Eval}(\varphi, I)
         switch(\varphi)
  02
                case p(c_1, \ldots, c_n): return \langle c_1, \ldots, c_n \rangle \in p^I
  03
                case \neg \psi: return \neg \text{Eval}(\psi, I)
  04
                case \psi_1 \wedge \psi_2: return Eval(\psi_1, I) \wedge \text{Eval}(\psi_2, I)
  05
                case \exists x.\psi:
                        for c \in \Delta^I {
  06
  07
                               if Eval(\psi[x \mapsto c], I) then return true
  80
  09
                       return false
  10
```

How to Measure Query Answering Complexity

Query answering as decision problem

→ consider Boolean queries

Various notions of complexity:

- Combined complexity (complexity w.r.t. size of query and database instance)
- Data complexity (worst case complexity for any fixed query)
- Query complexity (worst case complexity for any fixed database instance)

Various common complexity classes:

 $L \subseteq NL \subseteq P \subseteq NP \subseteq PSpace \subseteq ExpTime$

Markus Krötzsch, 17th Apr 2018

Database Theory

slide 2 of 11

FO Algorithm Worst-Case Runtime

Let m be the size of φ , and let $n = |\mathcal{I}|$ (total table sizes)

- How many recursive calls of Eval are there?
 - \rightarrow one per subexpression: at most m
- Maximum depth of recursion?
- \rightarrow bounded by total number of calls: at most m
- Maximum number of iterations of for loop?
 - $\rightarrow |\Delta^I| \le n$ per recursion level
- \rightarrow at most n^m iterations
- Checking $\langle c_1, \dots, c_n \rangle \in p^I$ can be done in linear time w.r.t. n

Runtime in $m \cdot n^m \cdot n = m \cdot n^{m+1}$

 Markus Krötzsch, 17th Apr 2018
 Database Theory
 slide 3 of 11
 Markus Krötzsch, 17th Apr 2018
 Database Theory
 slide 4 of 11

Time Complexity of FO Algorithm

Let m be the size of φ , and let $n = |\mathcal{I}|$ (total table sizes)

Runtime in $m \cdot n^{m+1}$

Time complexity of FO query evaluation

- Combined complexity: in ExpTime
- Data complexity (*m* is constant): in P
- Query complexity (*n* is constant): in ExpTime

Markus Krötzsch, 17th Apr 2018

Database Theory

slide 5 of 11

Space Complexity of FO Algorithm

Let m be the size of φ , and let $n = |\mathcal{I}|$ (total table sizes)

Memory in $m \log m + (m+1) \log n$

Space complexity of FO query evaluation

- Combined complexity: in PSpace
- Data complexity (m is constant): in L
- Query complexity (n is constant): in PSpace

FO Algorithm Worst-Case Memory Usage

We can get better complexity bounds by looking at memory

Let *m* be the size of φ , and let $n = |\mathcal{I}|$ (total table sizes)

- For each (recursive) call, store pointer to current subexpression of φ : $\log m$
- For each variable in φ (at most m), store current constant assignment (as a pointer): $m \cdot \log n$
- Checking $\langle c_1, \ldots, c_n \rangle \in p^{\mathcal{I}}$ can be done in logarithmic space w.r.t. n

Memory in $m \log m + m \log n + \log n = m \log m + (m+1) \log n$

Markus Krötzsch, 17th Apr 2018

Database Theory

slide 6 of 11

FO Combined Complexity

The algorithm shows that FO query evaluation is in PSpace. Is this the best we can get?

Hardness proof: reduce a known PSpace-hard problem to FO query evaluation → QBF satisfiability

Let $Q_1X_1.Q_2X_2...Q_nX_n.\varphi[X_1,...,X_n]$ be a QBF (with $Q_i \in \{\forall,\exists\}$)

- Database instance I with $\Delta^I = \{0, 1\}$
- One table with one row: true(1)
- Transform input QBF into Boolean FO query

$$Q_1x_1.Q_2x_2...Q_nx_n.\varphi[X_1 \mapsto \mathsf{true}(x_1),...,X_n \mapsto \mathsf{true}(x_n)]$$

It is easy to check that this yields the required reduction.

Markus Krötzsch, 17th Apr 2018 Database Theory slide 7 of 11 Markus Krötzsch, 17th Apr 2018 Database Theory slide 8 of 11

PSpace-hardness for DI Queries

The previous reduction from QBF may lead to a query that is not domain independent

Example: QBF $\exists p. \neg p$ leads to FO query $\exists x. \neg true(x)$

Better approach:

- Consider QBF $Q_1X_1.Q_2X_2...Q_nX_n.\varphi[X_1,...,X_n]$ with φ in negation normal form: negations only occur directly before variables X_i (still PSpace-complete: exercise)
- Database instance I with $\Delta^{I} = \{0, 1\}$
- Two tables with one row each: true(1) and false(0)
- Transform input QBF into Boolean FO query

$$Q_1x_1 Q_2x_2 \cdots Q_nx_n \varphi'$$

where φ' is obtained by replacing each negated variable $\neg X_i$ with false(x_i) and each non-negated variable X_i with true(x_i).

Markus Krötzsch, 17th Apr 2018 Database Theory slide 9 of 11

Summary and Outlook

The evaluation of FO queries is

- PSpace-complete for combined complexity
- PSpace-complete for query complexity

Open questions:

- What is the data complexity of FO queries?
- Are there query languages with lower complexities? (next lecture)
- Which other computing problems are interesting?

Markus Krötzsch, 17th Apr 2018 Database Theory slide 11 of 11

Combined Complexity of FO Query Answering

Summing up, we obtain:

Theorem 4.1: The evaluation of FO queries is PSpace-complete with respect to combined complexity.

We have actually shown something stronger:

Theorem 4.2: The evaluation of FO queries is PSpace-complete with respect to query complexity.

Markus Krötzsch, 17th Apr 2018 Database Theory slide 10 of 11