

Faculty of Computer Science Institute of Theoretical Computer Science, Chair of Automata Theory

Introduction to Automatic StructuresSummer Semester 2016Exercise Sheet 3 – Word Automatic Structures and Logic10th May 2016PD Dr.-Ing. habil. Anni-Yasmin Turhan & Dipl.-Math. Francesco Kriegel10th May 2016

**Exercise 3.1** Let  $f: \{0,1\}^* \times \{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}^*$  be a bijection, and consider the structure

 $\mathcal{A}_f \coloneqq \left( \{0,1\}^*; \mathsf{graph}(f) \right) \quad \text{where} \quad \mathsf{graph}(f) \coloneqq \left\{ \left( u, v, f(u,v) \right) \, | \, u, v \in \{0,1\}^* \, \right\}.$ 

Use the Constant Growth Lemma to prove that  $\mathcal{A}_f$  is not automatic.

**Exercise 3.2** Let *R* be a *n*-ary relation on  $\Sigma^*$ . We define the following notions:

- *R* is *locally bounded* if there is a  $k \ge 0$  such that for all  $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} \in \Sigma^*$ , there are at most k words  $x_n \in \Sigma^*$  such that  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in R$ .
- *R* is *locally finite* if for all  $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} \in \Sigma^*$ , there are only finitely many words  $x_n \in \Sigma^*$  such that  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in R$ .

Prove or refute the following generalizations of the Constant Growth Lemma:

- (a) If *R* is automatic and locally bounded, then there is a constant  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in R$  the inequality  $|x_n| \leq \max\{|x_1|, \ldots, |x_{n-1}|\} + c$  is satisfied.
- (b) If *R* is automatic and locally finite, then there is a constant  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in R$  the inequality  $|x_n| \le \max\{|x_1|, \ldots, |x_{n-1}|\} + c$  is satisfied.
- (c) If *R* is automatic, then there is a constant  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in R$  the inequality  $|x_n| \leq \max\{|x_1|, \ldots, |x_{n-1}|\} + c$  is satisfied.

**Exercise 3.3** Let  $\Sigma$  be an alphabet with a total order  $\leq$ .

(a) The *lexicographic order*  $\leq_{\mathsf{lex}}$  on  $\Sigma^*$  is defined by

 $a_1 \dots a_m \leq_{\mathsf{lex}} b_1 \dots b_n \Leftrightarrow m = 0$ , or  $a_1 < b_1$ , or  $a_1 = b_1$  and  $a_2 \dots a_m \leq_{\mathsf{lex}} b_2 \dots b_n$ .

Show that  $(\Sigma^*; \leq_{\mathsf{lex}})$  is automatic.

(b) The *length-lexicographic order*  $\leq_{\text{llex}}$  is a binary relation on  $\Sigma^*$  where

 $w_1 \leq_{\mathsf{llex}} w_2 \Leftrightarrow \mathsf{length}(w_1) < \mathsf{length}(w_2), \text{ or } \mathsf{length}(w_1) = \mathsf{length}(w_2) \text{ and } w_1 \leq_{\mathsf{lex}} w_2.$ 

Show that  $(\Sigma^*; \leq_{\mathsf{llex}})$  is automatic.

**Exercise 3.4** Complete the construction of the automaton  $M_{\alpha}$  in the proof of Theorem 3.1 for the remaining cases: equality, negation, and disjunction.

**Exercise 3.5** Let A be a structure. Which of the following statements are true? Justify your answer.

- (a) If model checking is decidable for A, then the FOL-theory of A is also decidable.
- (b) If there is an algorithm for query evaluation for A, then there is also an algorithm that decides model checking for A.
- (c) If the FOL-theory of A is decidable, then model checking for A is also decidable.

Exercise 3.6 Consider the structure

$$\mathcal{A} = (\{0,1\}^*; \preceq, S_0, S_1, \mathsf{EqualLength})$$

from Example 2.5, where  $\leq$  is the prefix relation,  $S_0$  and  $S_1$  append 0 and 1, respectively, and EqualLength checks for equal length. For each of the following relations  $R_i$ , give a FOL-formula  $\phi_i$  such that  $(\mathcal{A}, \overline{a}) \models \phi_i(\overline{x})$  if, and only if,  $\overline{a} \in R_i$ .

- (a)  $R_1 \coloneqq \{ (u, v) \in (\{0, 1\}^*)^2 \mid \mathsf{length}(u) \le \mathsf{length}(v) \}$
- (b)  $R_2 := \{ (u, v) \in (\{0, 1\}^*)^2 \mid \text{the } |v| \text{-th symbol in } u \text{ is } 0 \}$
- (c)  $R_3 := \{ (u, v, w) \in (\{0, 1\}^*)^3 \mid u \text{ and } v \text{ differ in the } |w| \text{-th symbol } \}$
- (d)  $R_4 := \{ (u, v, w) \in (\{0, 1\}^*)^3 \mid u \text{ is the longest common prefix of } v \text{ and } w \}$

**Exercise 3.7** Let  $(L; \leq)$  be a poset.

- (a) Give a FOX-formula to describe the pairs (x, y) such that the interval [x, y] contains an even number of elements.
- (b) Give a FO-formula that is valid if, and only if,  $(L; \leq)$  is a tree.
- (c) Define a FO<sup> $\infty$ </sup>-formula that describes those elements in (*L*;  $\leq$ ) with infinitely many lower neighbors.
- (d) Define a FO-formula that characterizes elements having a supremum in  $(L; \leq)$ .

of being greater than both x and y. Hiut: A supremum of two elements x and y is an element that is smallest w.r.t. the property