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The IDP ${ }^{3}$ System and the $\mathrm{FO}(\cdot)$ Language Overview

- IDP $^{3}$ : Inductive Definition Programming
- $\mathrm{FO}(\cdot)$ : First Order + Extensions
https://dtai.cs.kuleuven.be/software/idp
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Many-sorted Logic (informally)

- variables have an associated type, and
- each type has an associated domain.

Aggregate Terms

- functions over a set of domain elements and associated num. values,
- mapped e.g. to the sum, cardinality, minimum value of the set.
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FO(.) in Detail

An FO $(\cdot)$ specification consists of several named logical components:

- Vocabularies
- Declare a set of types and typed symbols. $\rightsquigarrow$ Predicate names (types) and (typed) constants.
- Theories
- Consist of sentences and definitions over a vocabulary.
$\rightsquigarrow$ Definitions are of the form $\forall \bar{x}: p(\bar{x}) \leftarrow \phi[\bar{x}]$, where $\phi$ is an FO $(\cdot)$ formula
- Structures
- Specify factual data over some vocabulary.
$\rightsquigarrow$ Thus, a (partial) interpretation of the symbols in its vocabulary.

The IDP ${ }^{3}$ System and the $\mathrm{FO}(\cdot)$ Language
IDP ${ }^{3}$ main inference tasks

The model expansion inference
Given a theory $\mathcal{T}$ and a vocabulary $\Sigma$, a partial interpretation $\mathcal{I}$ over $\Sigma$ and an "output" vocabulary $\Sigma_{\text {out }} \subseteq \Sigma$.

- Search for interpretation of $\Sigma_{\text {out }}$ such that an extension exists to $\Sigma$ that also extends $\mathcal{I}$ and is a model of $\mathcal{T}$.

