EXERCISE 1 Science of Computational Logic

Sebastian Rudolph, Tobias Philipp

International Masters Programme in Computational Logic — winter semester 2014/2015

Problem 1.1

In the lectures the following example from Description Logics was presented:

- $$\begin{split} \mathcal{K}_T : & \text{woman} \sqsubseteq \text{person}, & \mathcal{K}_A : \\ & \text{man} \sqsubseteq \text{person}, \\ & \text{mother} = \text{woman} \sqcap \exists \text{child} : \text{person}, \\ & \text{father} = \text{man} \sqcap \exists \text{child} : \text{person}, \\ & \text{parent} = \text{mother} \sqcup \texttt{father}, \\ & \text{grandparent} = \text{parent} \sqcap \exists \text{child} : \text{parent}, \\ & \text{father_without_son} = \text{father} \sqcap \forall \text{child} : \neg \text{man} \end{split}$$
 - parent(carl), parent(conny), child(conny, joe), child(conny, carl), man(joe), man(carl), woman(conny).

Are the following consequences valid? Justify your answers.

- 1. $\mathcal{K}_T \cup \mathcal{K}_A \models \mathsf{grandparent}(\mathsf{conny})$
- 2. $\mathcal{K}_T \cup \mathcal{K}_A \models \mathsf{father}(\mathsf{carl})$
- 3. $\mathcal{K}_T \cup \mathcal{K}_A \models \mathsf{father_without_son(carl)}$

Problem 1.2

Prove that $F \sqsubseteq G \equiv F \sqcap \neg G = \bot$

Problem 1.3

Show that the grandparent \sqsubseteq_T parent by reducing subsumption into concept satisfiability, where T is the T-Box from the lectures.

Problem 1.4

Is the concept (father \sqcap mother) satisfiable w.r.t. T of the lectures?

Problem 1.5

1. Which generalized concept axioms must be added to prevent that a person is female and male?

2. Is there a single generalized concept axiom that prevents that a person is female and male?

Problem 1.6

Give an equivalent concept without the construct \sqcap and $\exists r.C$ for (woman $\sqcap \exists$ child.person)

Problem 1.7

Prove that $\, K \models (\forall r.C)(a) \, \text{ and } \, K \models r(a,b)$, then $\, K \models C(b)$