Formal Concept Analysis Welcome and Organizational Issues

Sebastian Rudolph

Computational Logic Group Technische Universität Dresden

3

通 ト イヨ ト イヨト







Sebastian Rudolph (TUD)

3

・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Organization

Lectures and Exercises

lecture Thursday, 9:20 – 10:50, room INF E005 hands-on exercise Wednesday, 9:20 – 10:50, room INF E005

Course Web Page

http://www.inf.tu-dresden.de/?node_id=3482&ln=en

Contact

Sebastian Rudolph sebastian.rudolph@tu-dresden.de, room 2035, scheduled individually ...or at the Open House

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

Organization

hands-on exercise means

- *autonomous work* on the practice sheet in small teams of 3-4 students, under supervision
- no general repetition of lecture material
- no demonstration of the sample solution (will be provided later)

necessary for that is

- making notes during the lecture
- performing autonomous follow-up course work before the exercise
- bringing material and your notes to the exercise
- developing own activity

Organization

Why this exercise concept?

- active development of the lecture material is more effective
- discovering relationships in the material
- learning structured thinking and autonomous working
- learning team work
- learning to explain things
- exercise for the exams ;-)
- You have finished your study of ... Your personal strengths include pro-activity and team work, you are communicative and willing to cooperate. (typical job advertisement)







3

・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Overview

Organization

I Contexts, Concepts, and Concept Lattices

- Concept Lattices
- Ø Multi-valued Contexts and Conceptual Scales
- II Closure Systems and Implications
 - Olosure Systems
 - Implications
- III Knowledge Discovery
 - 6 Attribute Exploration
 - 6 Rule Exploration
 - Attribute Exploration with Background Knowledge
- IV Extensions of FCA
 - Triadic Formal Concept Analysis

Formal Concept Analysis Applications

Sebastian Rudolph

Computational Logic Group Technische Universität Dresden

3

- 4 週 ト - 4 三 ト - 4 三 ト

History of Formal Concept Analysis

Formal Concept Analysis (FCA) originated in Darmstadt, Germany around 1980 as a mathematical theory that delivers a formalization of the concept of a "concept".

Since then, FCA spread into different areas of computer science, e.g.,

- data analysis
- knowledge discovery
- software engineering

Starting from datasets, FCA derives concept hierarchies. FCA allows you to create and visualize concept hierarchies based on well-grounded mathematical foundations.

STIFTUNG WARE	NTES	KAFF	EEM H	ASCI ALTE	HINE! Kani	N MIT NE (81	T WARM- bis 10 Tassen)		
lesi nui	/IFA3	2							
	Mittlerer Preis in DM ca.	Preis für Er- setzkanne/ Glaseinsatz in DM ca.	Kaffee- qualität	Tech- nische Prü- fung	Sicher- heit	Hand- ha- bung	tost- Qualitätsurteil		
Gewichtung			35 %	30 %	10 %	25 %			
Neckermann BegNr 8628/409	40,-	35,-7/0	baugi. mit	Otto Harsa	k. 4327357	zufriedenst.			
Otto Hanseatic Best -Nr. 4327357	40,-	30,-7/0	0	+	++	10	zufriedenst.		
Quelle Privileg BestNr. 7030720	40,-	24,50 / 17,50	baugi mit	Otto Hares	atic BestN	k. 4327357	zufriedenst.		
Severin KA 9660	50,-	35,-123,-	beugi mit	Otto Hares	k. 4327357	zufriedenst.			
Severin KA 4050	80,-	50,-/ 🖬	+	+	+	0	gut		
Tchibo Aroma Garant Art -Nr 48469	80,-	27,50 / 19,50	+	+	+	0	gut		
Ismet KM 582 starlight	84,-	47,-114,-	+	+	++	0	gut		



• • = • • = •

Example: Comparison of Coffee Machines



Database Marketing at Jelmoli AG, Zurich¹



¹ J. Hereth, G. Stumme, R. Wille, and U. Wille. Conceptual knowledge discovery and data analysis. In *Proc. ICCS 2000*, volume 1867 of *LNCS*, pages 421–437. Springer, Berlin/Heidelberg, 2000... $\rightarrow \bigcirc$

э

Analysis of Plane Movements at Frankfurt Airport²



IT Security Management³



Sebastian Rudolph (TUD)

K. Becker, G. Stumme, R. Wille, U. Wille, and M. Zickwolff. Conceptual information systems discussed through an it-security tool. In Rose Dieng and Olivier Corby, editors, *Knowledge Engineering and Knowledge Management Methods, Models, and Tools*, volume 1937 of *LNCS*, pages 352–365. Springer, Berlin/Heidelberg, 2000.

Text Clustering⁴



э

Date Beatretten Anscht Gehn Nacht der Nacht der Dischen Nacht Nacht der Ungelesen Insgesamt ist Imal notification Eucle Löschen Einer Imal notification Eucle Datum Priodtal Imal notification Eucle Löschen Einer Einer Imal notification Eucle Löschen Einer <	🚿 list of referees: ICCS-2000 - IC	CCS2000 - Netscape-Ordner					_ 🗆 🗵				
Nacht. abr. Neuer Nacht. Antwolt Antwolt Nachte Ducken Löch Löch No Name Ungelesen Insgesamt if Image insgesamt if<	Datei Bearbeiten Ansicht Gehe	Nachricht Communicator Hilfe									
Name Ungelesen Ingesamt Privatal P	Nachr. abr. Neue Nachr.	Antwort Antwort an alle	/eiterleiten Ableger	Nächste	d ⇒ Drucken	Stop	\mathbf{N}				
Data indirotification indirotifi	Name	Ungelesen Insgesamt 4 🕨	■ Betreff	•	Absender	Datum 🗸	Priorität				
Participances Participances 31 05 2000 12:40 Percent of Participances 31 05 2000 12:40	Drats Templates Sent Trash AUSTRALIA AU	2 1651 2 1639 94 777 26 73 777 777 17	final notific ICCS2000 Re. Retur status of al expenses (Re. Colim. additional r list of refere other refere ifst of refere ifst of refere ifst of refere	ation papers overed to go t exiton ICCS2000 eviewer for ICC es: ICCS-2000 es: reminder es: reminder es: envinder	Guy Mineau Janos Satbo Alex Borgida Guy Mineau Guy Mineau Gui Aingelova Harry Delugach Guy Mineau Guy Mineau Guy Mineau Utikie Satter	25.05.2001 16.37 26.05.2001 15.20 26.05.2001 15.20 26.05.2001 15.00 26.05.2002 01.25 30.05.2000 01.25 30.05.2000 02.9 30.05.2000 21.32 30.05.2000 21.32 30.05.2000 11.2 31.05.2000 11.2					
Betreff: list of referees: ICCS-2000 Datum: Wed, 31 May 2000 11:01:11 +0200 (MEST) Von: Peter Eklund <peter. @sophia.inria.fr="" eklund=""> Conferences/ICCS2000 vs. AUSTRALIA/eklund.peter Summer union Summer union Output Summer union Betraff: Betraff: Betraff: Summer union Betraff: Betraff:</peter.>	ECALLPOHPARENS	1 1 26 262	Re: List of Re: List of Re: ICCS 2 Please hel	eviewers • Referees • 000 • with accomo •	Pavel Kocura Deborah L. McGuinn Guy Mineau	a1.05.2000 11:46 31.05.2000 12:40 ess 31.05.2000 20:38 31.05.2000 21:20					
<i>vs.</i> <i>AUSTRALIA/eklund.peter</i> ^{terel} ^{tere}		777 777 777 777 777 777 777 777 777 77	Betreff: list Datum: We Von: <u>Pet</u> An: <u>stu</u> CC: <u>gar</u>	of referees: IC d, 31 May 2000 er Eklund <pete mme@mathema ter@math.tu-d:</pete 	CS-2000 11:01:11 +0200 (N er.Eklund@sophia ttik.tu-darmstadt. resden.de	1EST) a.inria.fr≥ de					
Votragende 777 Be ICS 2001 Nachrichten insgesamt: 187 Nachrichten Nachrichten Nachrichten Nachrichten Nachrichten	Conterences/ICCS2000 vs. AUSTRALIA/eklund.peter refe in a tree structure $refe$ \rightarrow only one search path exists which must										
	Votragende CCS2001	??? 333 ▼	Richard Bernd C	ady bee	en set wh	en saving the	mail				
		uniunuuriningosallit: 107 Uligen	ene waannente								

Concept Email Manager

<u>File Lattice View</u>

 See See See See See See See See See See	165 • • Bi: 1878 • • Fr 1431 • Fr	ank Navii can be tagged with several keywords.
 □ From Darmstadt Group □ From Rudolf Wille □ From Jo Hereth □ From Gerd Stumme □ From Gerd 	308 J Ge 0 · Ge 10 · Ge 298 J J Ge	rd Stumme Incs.cls rd Stumme Paper rd Stumme Re: [Fwd: Umschlagsentv
From stumme@ From g.stumme@ From Darmstadt From Mailing List	286 • • to 12 • • < 46 • fro 2617 • So 328 • • So	:: "r.cole@gu.edu.au" <r.cole@gu.edu.au> stumme@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de> om: "Gerd Stumme" <g.stumme@gu.edu.au ubject: Paper</g.stumme@gu.edu.au </r.cole@gu.edu.au>
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	i Richard, ere's the Tex-File of our paper. : lncs.cls, please have a look at th ollow the links to the Springer Au _C
Sebastian Rudolph (TUD)	Formal Concept Analysis	9 / 12





Sebastian Rudolph (TUD)



Formal Concept Analysis I Contexts, Concepts, and Concept Lattices

Sebastian Rudolph

Computational Logic Group Technische Universität Dresden

slides based on a lecture by Prof. Gerd Stumme

通 ト イヨ ト イヨト

Agenda

Concept Lattices

- What is a concept?
- Formal Context
- Derivation Operators
- Formal Concept
- Concept Lattice
- Computing All Concepts
- Drawing Concept Lattices
- Clarifying and Reducing a Formal Context
- Interlude: ConExp
- Additive Line Diagrams
- Nested Line Diagrams

What is a concept?

Formal Concept Analysis models concepts as units of thought that consist of two parts:

- The *concept extent* comprises all objects that belong to the concept.
- The *concept intent* contains all attributes that all of the objects have in common.

FCA is used, amongst others, data analysis, information retrieval, data mining and software engineering.

What is a concept?

DIN 2330/ISO 704: Concepts and their Denomination

FCA is working on the conceptual layer. The representational layer plays only a minor role.



- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

Formal Context

Def.: A formal context is a triple (G, M, I). where

- G is a set of objects,
- *M* is a set of attributes, and
- I is a relation between G and M.

We read $(g,m) \in I$ as "object g has attribute m".

National Parks in California	NPS Guided Tours	Hiking	Horseback Riding	Swimming	Boating	Fishing	Bicycle Trail	Cross Country Trail
Cabrillo Natl. Mon.						×	×	
Channel Islands Natl. Park		×		×		×		
Death Valley Natl. Mon.	×	×	×	×			×	
Devils Postpile Natl. Mon.	×	×	×	×		×		
Fort Point Natl. Historic Site	×					×		
Golden Gate Natl. Recreation Area	×	×	×	×		×	×	
John Muir Natl. Historic Site	×							
Joshua Tree Natl. Mon.	×	×	×					
Kings Canyon Natl. Park	×	×	×			×		×
Lassen Volcanic Natl. Park	×	×	×	×	×	×		×
Lava Beds Natl. Mon.	×	×						
Muir Woods Natl. Mon.		×						
Pinnacles Natl. Mon.		×						
Point Reyes Natl. Seashore	×	×	×	×		×	×	
Redwood Natl. Park	×	×	×	×		×		
Santa Monica Mts. Natl. Recr. Area	×	×	×	×	×	×		
Sequoia Natl. Park	×	×	×			×		×
Whiskeytown-Shasta-Trinity Natl. Recr. Area	×	×	×	×	×	×		
Yosemite Natl. Park	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×

3

Derivation Operators

For $A \subseteq G$ we define $A' := \{m \in M \mid \forall g \in A : (g, m) \in I\}.$

For $B \subseteq M$ we define $B' := \{g \in G \mid \forall m \in B : (g,m) \in I\}.$

(X' is spoken"X prime")

	National Parks in California	NPS Guided Tours	Hiking	Horseback Riding	Swimming	Boating	Fishing	Bicycle Trail	Cross Country Trail
Γ	Cabrillo Natl. Mon.						×	×	
	Channel Islands Natl. Park		×		×		×		
Г	Death Valley Natl. Mon.	×	×	×	×			×	
	Devils Postpile Natl. Mon.	×	×	×	×		×		
	Fort Point Natl. Historic Site	×					×		
Г	Golden Gate Natl. Recreation Area	×	×	×	×		×	×	
Γ	John Muir Natl. Historic Site	×							
Г	Joshua Tree Natl. Mon.	×	×	×					
Г	Kings Canyon Natl. Park	×	×	×			×		×
Γ	Lassen Volcanic Natl. Park	×	×	×	×	×	×		×
Г	Lava Beds Natl. Mon.	×	×						
Г	Muir Woods Natl. Mon.		×						
Γ	Pinnacles Natl. Mon.		×						
Г	Point Reyes Natl. Seashore	×	×	×	×		×	×	
Г	Redwood Natl. Park	×	×	×	×		×		
Γ	Santa Monica Mts. Natl. Recr. Area	×	×	×	×	×	×		
./	Sequoia Natl. Park	×	×	×			×		×
$A \setminus$	Whiskeytown-Shasta-Trinity Natl. Recr. Area	×	×	×	×	×	×		
	Yosemite Natl. Park	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×

3

- 4 週 ト - 4 三 ト - 4 三 ト -

Derivation Operators

For $A \subseteq G$ we define $A' := \{m \in M \mid \forall g \in A : (g, m) \in I\}.$

For $B \subseteq M$ we define $B' := \{g \in G \mid \forall m \in B : (g,m) \in I\}.$

(X' is spoken"X prime")

Since In California Since In California Since In California Since In California Cabrillo Natl. Mon. Image: Since In California Image: Since In California Image: Since In California Cabrillo Natl. Mon. Image: Since In California Image: Since In California Image: Since In California Cabrillo Natl. Non. Image: Since In California Image: Since In California Image: Since In California Cabrillo Natl. Non. Image: Since In California Image: Since In California Image: Since In California Death Valley Natl. Mon. Image: Since In California Image: Since In California Image: Since In California Fort Point Natl. Historic Site Image: Since In California Image: Since In California Image: Since In California John Muir Natl. Historic Site Image: Since In California Image: Since In California Image: Since In California John Muir Natl. Historic Site Image: Since In California Image: Since In California Image: Since In California John Muir Natl. Historic Site Image: Since In California Image: Since In California Image: Since In California John Muir Natl. Historic Site Image: Since In California Image: Since In California Image: Since In California M	
Cabrillo Natl. Mon. × × × Channel Islands Natl. Park × × × Death Valley Natl. Mon. × × × Devils Postpile Natl. Mon. × × × Fort Point Natl. Historic Site × × × Golden Gate Natl. Recreation Area × × × John Muir Natl. Historic Site × × × John Muir Natl. Historic Site × × × John Muir Natl. Historic Site × × × Joshua Tree Natl. Mon. × × × Kings Canyon Natl. Park × × ×	Cross Country Trail
Channel Islands Natl. Park××××Death Valley Natl. Mon.××××Devils Postpile Natl. Mon.××××Fort Point Natl. Historic Site××××Golden Gate Natl. Recreation Area××××John Muir Natl. Historic Site××××John Muir Natl. Historic Site××××John Muir Natl. Historic Site××××Joshua Tree Natl. Mon.××××Kings Canyon Natl. Park××××	
Death Valley Natl. Mon. × × × × × Devils Postpile Natl. Mon. × × × × × Fort Point Natl. Historic Site × × × × × Golden Gate Natl. Recreation Area × × × × × John Muir Natl. Historic Site × × × × × Joshua Tree Natl. Mon. × × × × × Kings Canyon Natl. Park × × × × ×	
Devils Postpile Natl. Mon.××××Fort Point Natl. Historic Site×××Golden Gate Natl. Recreation Area××××John Muir Natl. Historic Site××Joshua Tree Natl. Mon.××××Kings Canyon Natl. Park××××	
Fort Point Natl. Historic Site × × × × Golden Gate Natl. Recreation Area × × × × × John Muir Natl. Historic Site × × × × × × Joshua Tree Natl. Mon. × × × × × × Kings Canyon Natl. Park × × × × ×	
Golden Gate Natl. Recreation Area ×	
John Muir Natl. Historic Site × Joshua Tree Natl. Mon. × × Kings Canyon Natl. Park × ×	
Joshua Tree Natl. Mon. ×	
Kings Canyon Natl. Park × × × × ×	
	×
Lassen Voicanic INati. Park	×
Lava Beds Natl. Mon.	
Muir Woods Natl. Mon.	
Pinnacles Natl. Mon. ×	
Point Reyes Natl. Seashore × </td <td></td>	
Redwood Natl. Park ×	
Santa Monica Mts. Natl. Recr. Area × × × × × × ×	
Sequoia Natl. Park	×
$A \setminus$ Whiskeytown-Shasta-Trinity Natl. Recr. Area $ imes$	
Yosemite Natl. Park ×	×

. .

- 4 週 ト - 4 三 ト - 4 三 ト -

Derivation Operators: Properties

For $A, A_1, A_2 \subseteq G$
• $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \Rightarrow$
$A_2' \subseteq A_1'$
• $A \subseteq A''$
• $A' = A'''$
holds.
For $B, B_1, B_2 \subseteq M$
• $B_1 \subseteq B_2 \Rightarrow$
$B_2' \subseteq B_1'$
• $B \subseteq B''$
• $B' = B'''$
holds.

National Parks	× ×					
	Horseback Ri	Swimming	Boating	Fishing	Bicycle Trail	Cross Country Trail
Cabrillo Natl. Mon.				×	×	
Channel Islands Natl. Park ×		×		×		
Death Valley Natl. Mon. × ×	×	×			×	
Devils Postpile Natl. Mon. × ×	×	×		×		
Fort Point Natl. Historic Site ×				×		
Golden Gate Natl. Recreation Area	×	×		×	×	
John Muir Natl. Historic Site ×						
Joshua Tree Natl. Mon. × ×	×					
Kings Canyon Natl. Park × ×	×			×		×
Lassen Volcanic Natl. Park × ×	×	×	×	×		×
Lava Beds Natl. Mon. × ×						
Muir Woods Natl. Mon. ×						
Pinnacles Natl. Mon. ×						
Point Reyes Natl. Seashore × ×	×	×		×	×	
Redwood Natl. Park × ×	×	×		×		
Santa Monica Mts. Natl. Recr. Area 🛛 🛛 🗙 🗡	×	×	×	×		
Sequoia Natl. Park	×			×		×
Whiskeytown-Shasta-Trinity Natl. Recr. Area 🛛 🗴 🗡	×	×	×	×		
Yosemite Natl. Park × ×	×	×	×	×	×	×

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

Formal Concept

Def.: A formal concept is a pair (A, B) with

- $A \subseteq G$ and $B \subseteq M$
- A' = B
- B' = A

A is the *extent* and B the *intent* of the concept.

extent

	-		Int	en	C			
National Parks in California	NPS Guided Tours	Hiking	Horseback Riding	Swimming	Boating	Fishing	Bicycle Trail	Cross Country Trail
Cabrillo Natl. Mon.						×	×	
Channel Islands Natl. Park		×		×		×		
Death Valley Natl. Mon.	×	×	×	×			×	
Devils Postpile Natl. Mon.	×	×	×	×		×		
Fort Point Natl. Historic Site	×					×		
Golden Gate Natl. Recreation Area	×	×	×	×		×	×	
John Muir Natl. Historic Site	×							
Joshua Tree Natl. Mon.	×	×	×					
Kings Canyon Natl. Park	×	×	×			×		×
Lassen Volcanic Natl. Park	×	×	×	×	×	×		×
Lava Beds Natl. Mon.	×	×						
Muir Woods Natl. Mon.		×						
Pinnacles Natl. Mon.		×						
Point Reyes Natl. Seashore	×	×	×	×		×	×	
Redwood Natl. Park	×	×	×	×		×		
Santa Monica Mts. Natl. Recr. Area	×	×	×	×	×	×		
Sequoia Natl. Park	×	×	×			×		×
Whiskeytown-Shasta-Trinity Natl. Recr. Area	×	×	×	×	×	×		
Yosemite Natl. Park	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×

· .

A B M A B M

Formal Concept

Lemma: (A, B) is a formal concept iff $A \subseteq G, B \subseteq M$ and A and B are both maximal with respect to $A \times B \subseteq I$. I.e., every concept corresponds to a maximal rectangle in the relation I.

Def.: The set of all concepts of (G, M, I) is depicted as $\mathfrak{B}(G, M, I)$.

	_		Int	en	٢			
National Parks in California	NPS Guided Tours	Hiking	Horseback Riding	Swimming	Boating	Fishing	Bicycle Trail	Cross Country Trail
Cabrillo Natl. Mon.						×	×	
Channel Islands Natl. Park		×		×		×		
Death Valley Natl. Mon.	×	×	×	×			×	
Devils Postpile Natl. Mon.	×	×	×	×		×		
Fort Point Natl. Historic Site	×					×		
Golden Gate Natl. Recreation Area	×	×	×	×		×	×	
John Muir Natl. Historic Site	×							
Joshua Tree Natl. Mon.	×	×	×					
Kings Canyon Natl. Park	×	×	×			×		×
Lassen Volcanic Natl. Park	×	×	×	×	×	×		×
Lava Beds Natl. Mon.	×	×						
Muir Woods Natl. Mon.		×						
Pinnacles Natl. Mon.		×						
Point Reyes Natl. Seashore	×	×	×	×		×	×	
Redwood Natl. Park	×	×	×	×		×		
Santa Monica Mts. Natl. Recr. Area	×	×	×	×	×	×		
Sequoia Natl. Park	×	×	×			×		×
Whiskeytown-Shasta-Trinity Natl. Recr. Area	×	×	×	×	×	×		
Yosemite Natl. Park	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×

. .

くほと くほと くほと

Formal Concept: Subconcept and Superconcept

The blue concept is a *subconcept* of the yellow concept because

- the blue extent is contained in the yellow extent
- (⇔ the yellow intent is contained in the blue intent)

Def.: $(A_1, B_1) \leq (A_2, B_2)$ $\Rightarrow A_1 \subseteq A_2$ $(\Leftrightarrow B_1 \supseteq B_2)$

National Parks in California	NPS Guided Tours	Hiking	Horseback Riding	Swimming	Boating	Fishing	Bicycle Trail	Cross Country Tra
Cabrillo Natl. Mon.	1					×	×	
Channel Islands Natl. Park		×		×		×		
Death Valley Natl. Mon.	×	×	×	×			×	
Devils Postpile Natl. Mon.	×	×	×	×		×		
Fort Point Natl. Historic Site	×					×		
Golden Gate Natl. Recreation Area	×	×	×	×		×	×	
John Muir Natl. Historic Site	×							
Joshua Tree Natl. Mon.	×	×	×					
Kings Canyon Natl. Park	×	×	×			×		×
Lassen Volcanic Natl. Park	×	×	×	×	×	\times		×
Lava Beds Natl. Mon.	×	×						
Muir Woods Natl. Mon.		×						
Pinnacles Natl. Mon.		×						
Point Reyes Natl. Seashore	×	×	×	×		×	×	
Redwood Natl. Park	×	×	×	×		×		
Santa Monica Mts. Natl. Recr. Area	×	×	×	×	×	\times		
Sequoia Natl. Park	×	×	×			×		×
Whiskeytown-Shasta-Trinity Natl. Recr. Area	×	×	×	×	×	×		
Yosemite Natl. Park	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×

Concept Lattice (Recapitulation: Partial Order)

Def. (recap.): $(A_1, B_1) \leq (A_2, B_2) : \Leftrightarrow A_1 \subseteq A_2 (\Leftrightarrow B_1 \supseteq B_2)$

Def.: The set of all concepts $\mathfrak{B}(G, M, I)$ together with the partial order \leq is the *concept lattice* of the context (G, M, I) and is depicted with $\mathfrak{B}(G, M, I)$.

On the blackboard:

- definition of partial order
- definition of total order
- examples

通 ト イヨ ト イヨト

Concept Lattice: as Line Diagram Bicycle Trail NPS Guided Tours The *concept* Hiking Fishing Muir Woods John lattice for the Muir Pinnacles Horseback Riding national park Lava Beds context. Swimming Fort Point Joshuas Tree Cabrillo . Channel Islands National Parks in California Cross Country Death Valley Ski Trail sbrillo Nati. Mon. Nannel Klande Nati. Fark **Devils** Postpile Kings Canyon Boating Redwood Sequoia Golden Gate Point Rayes Lassen Volcanic Santa Monica Mountains Yosemite Whiskeytown-Shasta-Trinity

Sebastian Rudolph (TUD)

Formal Concept Analysis

12 / 41

Concept Lattice: Implications (Preview)

Def.: An *implication* $X \rightarrow Y$ *holds* in a context, if every object that has all attributes from X also has all attributes from Y.



소리가 소문가 소문가 소문가 ...

Examples:

- $\{Swimming\} \rightarrow \{Hiking\}$
- $\{Boating\} \rightarrow \{Swimming, Hiking, NPS Guided Tours, Fishing, Horseback Riding\}$
- {Bicycle Trail, NPS Guided Tours} \rightarrow {Swimming, Hiking, Horseback Riding}

Concept Lattice: Dual Context



3

(日) (同) (日) (日) (日)

Concept Lattice

Recapitulation: Lattices

On the blackboard:

- lower bound, upper bound
- infimum (join, \wedge), supremum (meet, \vee)
- Lemma: For two formal concepts (A_1, B_1) , (A_2, B_2) we get
 - the infimum $(A_1, B_1) \land (A_2, B_2)$ as $(A_1 \cap A_2, (B_1 \cup B_2)'')$
 - ▶ the supremum $(A_1, B_1) \lor (A_2, B_2)$ as $((A_1 \cup A_2)'', B_1 \cap B_2)$
- Def. (complete) lattice (V, \leqslant)
- $\mathbf{0}_V$, $\mathbf{1}_V$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

Concept Lattice: The Basic Theorem on Concept Lattices

- On the blackboard:
 - supremum/infimum reducible, irreducible, dense
 - isomorphisms of lattices
 - Basic Theorem



(日) (周) (三) (三)

Concept Lattice: The Duality Principle

- Let (V, \leq) be a (complete) lattice. Then (V, \geq) is also a (complete) lattice.
- (cf. with the definition of the dual context)
- If a theorem holds for (complete) lattices, then the 'dual theorem' also holds, i.e., the theorem where all occurrences of ≤, ∩, ∪, ∧, ∨, 1_V, 0_V, etc. have been replaced by ≥, ∪, ∩, ∨, ∧, 0_V, 1_V, etc.

通 ト イヨ ト イヨ ト

Computing All Concepts

There are several algorithms to compute all concepts:

- naive approach
- intersection method
- Next-Closure (Ganter 1984) → Chapter 3
- TITANIC (Stumme et al. 2001) \rightarrow Chapter 3
- and several incremental algorithms

Computing All Concepts: Naive Approach

Theorem

Each concept of a context (G, M, I) has the form (X'', X') for some subset $X \subseteq G$ and (Y', Y'') for some subset $Y \subseteq M$. Conversely, all such pairs are concepts.

Algorithm

Determine for every subset Y of M the pair (Y', Y'').

Computing All Concepts: Naive Approach

Theorem

Each concept of a context (G, M, I) has the form (X'', X') for some subset $X \subseteq G$ and (Y', Y'') for some subset $Y \subseteq M$. Conversely, all such pairs are concepts.

Algorithm

```
Determine for every subset Y of M the pair (Y', Y'').
```

Inefficient! (Too) many concepts are generated multiple times.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Computing All Concepts: Intersection Method

- Suitable for manual computation (Wille 1982)
- Best worst-case time complexity (Nourine, Raynoud 1999)
- Based on the following

Theorem

Every extent is the intersection of attribute extents. (I.e., the closure system of all extents is generated by the attribute extents.)

Which intersections of attribute extents should we take?

\land On the blackboard: attribute extent $\{m\}'$, closure system

Computing All Concepts: Intersection Method

How to determine all formal concepts of a formal context:

- **(**) For each attribute $m \in M$ compute the attribute extent $\{m\}'$.
- For any two sets in this list, compute their intersection. If it is not yet contained in the list, add it.
- Sepeat until no new extents are generated.
- \bullet If G is not yet contained in the list, add it.
- § For every extent A in the list compute the corresponding intent A'.

Computing All Concepts: Intersection Method

А_вс

On the blackboard: "triangle" example

	Triangle	A	ttributes		a	b		
abbreviation	coordina	ates	diagram	symbol	T1		\times	
T1	(0,0) $(6,0)$	(3,1)	$\langle \rangle$	a	equilateral	T2		\times
ТP	(0,0) $(1,0)$	$(1 \ 1)$		b	isoceles	T3		
12	(0,0) $(1,0)$	(1,1)		с	acute angled	T4	\times	\times
13	(0,0) $(4,0)$	(1,2)		d	obtuse angled	T5		
Τ4	(0,0) $(2,0)$	$(1,\sqrt{3})$	\triangle	е	right angled	T6		\times
T5	(0,0) $(2,0)$	(5,1)				T7		
TTC	(0,0) $(0,0)$	(1.9)	\wedge					
10	(0,0) $(2,0)$	(1,3)						
T7	(0,0) $(2,0)$	(0,1)						

 $c \mid d$

×

×

×

• • = • • = •

×

×

×

Drawing Concept Lattices

How to draw a concept lattice by hand:

- **1** Draw a small circle for the extent G at the top.
- For every extent (starting with the one's with the most elements) draw a small circle and connect it with the lowest circle(s) whose extent contains the current extent.
- Every attribute is written slightly above the circle of its attribute extent.
- Every object is written slightly below the circle that is exactly below the circles that are labeled with the attributes of the object.

Drawing Concept Lattices

How you can check the drawn diagram:

- Is it really a lattice? (that's often skipped)
 - Is every concept with exactly one upper neighbor labeled with at least one attribute?
 - Is every concept with exactly one lower neighbor labeled with at least one object?
- Is for every $g \in G$ and $m \in M$ the label of the object g below the label of the attribute m iff $(g,m) \in I$ holds?





Clarifying and Reducing a Formal Context

- On the blackboard:
 - proper subconcept (<)
 - Iower neighbor (<)</p>
 - reducible objects/attributes
 - clarifying and reducing
 - reduced context, standard context

Theorem

A finite context and its reduced context have isomorphic concept lattices. For every finite lattice L there is (up to isomorphism) exactly one reduced context, the concept lattice of which is isomorphic to L, namely its standard context.

Interlude: ConExp



3

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Interlude: ConExp



3

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Additive Line Diagrams

Def.: An attribute $m \in M$ is called *irreducible*, if there is no set X of attributes with $m \notin X$ such that $\{m\}' = \bigcap_{x \in X} \{x\}'$. The set of irreducible attributes is depicted as M_{irr} .

We define the map $\operatorname{irr}: \underline{\mathfrak{B}}(G, M, I) \to \mathfrak{P}(M_{irr})$ as

 $\operatorname{irr}(A, B) := \{ m \in B \mid m \text{ irreducible} \}.$

Let vec : $M_{irr} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{<0}$. Then

pos:
$$\underline{\mathfrak{B}}(G, M, I) \to \mathbb{R}^2$$
 with $pos(A, B) := \sum_{m \in irr(A, B)} vec(m)$

is an additive line diagram of the concept lattice $\underline{\mathfrak{B}}(G, M, I)$.

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト 二耳

Additive Line Diagrams

An additive line diagram of the triangles context.

The position of the attribute concepts defines the position of all remaining concepts. If we consider the distance between $1_{\underline{\mathfrak{B}}}$ and the attribute extents as vectors, then the position of any concept is equal to the sum of the vectors that belong to its concept intent.



< 3 > < 3 >

Additive Line Diagrams



Nested Line Diagrams: Motivation and Idea

- readability of line diagrams often lost for many concepts (≥ 50)
- *nested line diagrams* allow us to go further
- and: support the visualization of changes caused by the addition of further attributes
- basic idea: cluster parts of an ordinary diagram and replace bundles of parallel lines between these parts by one line each





The previous concept lattice as ordinary line diagram and as nested line diagram. (For simplification, object and attribute labels have been omitted.)

Nested Line Diagrams

- a nested line diagram consists of boxes which contain parts of the ordinary diagram and which are connected by lines
- simplest case: two boxes that are connected by a line are congruent → corresponding circles are direct neighbors
- double lines between two boxes: every element of the upper box is larger than every element of the lower box



Nested Line Diagrams

- two boxes connected by a single line need not be congruent but contain a part of two congruent figures
- the two congruent figures are drawn as "background structure" into the boxes
- elements are drawn as bold circles if they are part of the respective substructure
- the line connecting both boxes indicates that the respective pairs of elements of the background shall be connected with each other



Nested Line Diagrams: Drawing Example

Die Ducks. Psychogramm einer Sippe.

	generation			S	ex	financial status				
	older	middle	younger	Q	Q	rich	carefree	indebted		
Tick			×	×			×			
Trick			×	×			×			
Track			×	×			×			
Donald		×		×				Х		
Daisy		×			×		Х			
Gustav		×		×			×			
Dagobert	×			×		×				
Annette	×				×		×			
Primus	×			×			Х			
v. Quack										

Taken from: Grobian Gans: *Die Ducks. Psychogramm einer Sippe.* Rowohlt, Reinbek bei Hamburg 1972, ISBN 3-499-11481-X

- 4 週 ト - 4 三 ト - 4 三 ト

Nested Line Diagrams: Construction

- **1** split the attribute set: $M = M_1 \cup M_2$ (needs not be disjoint, more important: both sets bear meaning)
- I draw the line diagrams of the subcontexts

$$\mathbb{K}_i := (G, M_i, I \cap G \times M_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$$

and label them with with objects and attributes, as usual

```
On the blackboard: Theorem 2 (script, p. 35)
```

 ${f 0}$ sketch a nested diagram of the product of the concept lattices ${f \underline{\mathfrak B}}({\Bbb K}_i)$

draw a large diagram of <u>B</u>(K₁) where the concepts are large boxes
 draw a copy of B(K₂) into each box

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

Nested Line Diagrams: Labeling

- by Theorem 2, $\underline{\mathfrak{B}}(G,M,I)$ is embedded in this product as $\bigvee\!\!\!\!/\text{-semilattice}$
- if a list of elements of $\underline{\mathfrak{B}}(G,M,I)$ exists, enter them according to their intents
- otherwise, enter the object concepts (whose intents can be read off directly from the context) and form all suprema

This gives us another method for determining a concept lattice by hand:

- split up the attribute set as appropriate
- determine the (small) concept lattices of the subcontexts
- draw their product as nested line diagram
- enter the object concepts and close against suprema

This is particularly advisable in order to arrive at a useful diagram quickly.

・ロン ・四 ・ ・ ヨン ・ ヨン

Baurecht in Nordrhein-Westfalen

Taken from: D. Eschenfelder, W. Kollewe, M. Skorsky, R. Wille: *Ein Erkundungssystem zum Baurecht: Methoden der Entwicklung eines TOSCANA-Systems*. In: G. Stumme, R. Wille (Eds.): Begriffliche Wissensverarbeitung – Methoden und Anwendungen. Springer 2000

	Dach	Decke	Wand	Brandwand	Treppen	Treppenraum	Fundament	Kellerfußboden	Schornstein
BauONW 15	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$
BauONW 16	X	ŕ	1	-	1	-	-	X	Ŷ
BauONW 17	X	X	X	X	X	X			Ŷ
BauONW 18 Abs. 1	X	X	X	X	-	X		X	$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$
BauONW 18 Abs. 2	X	X	X	X	X	X		ŕ	-
BauONW 25			X	X	-				
BauONW 26			X	X					
BauONW 27			X	X					
BauONW 28			X	X					
BauONW 29			1	X					
BauONW 30		X		ŕ					
BauONW 31	X	ŕ							
BauONW 32					X	X			
BauONW 33						X			
BauONW 36						1			
BauONW 39								X	X
BauONW 40								1	1
BimSchG	-								
BauPG		X			X	X		X	
EnEG	X	X	X	X	-	X		X	
WHG								1	\mathbf{X}
LWG									X
WärmeschutzV	X	X	X	X		X		X	1
HeizAnIV		F		1		1		ŕ	
BImSchV									
VGS									X
DIN 1054							X	X	X
DIN 1055	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
DIN 4102	X	X	X	X	X	X			
DIN 4108 Teil 1 u. 2	X	X	X	X		X		X	
DIN 4108 Teil 3	X		X	X		X		1	
DIN 4109	X	X	X	X		X			
DIN 18150									X
DIN 18160									X
DIN 18195	X		X	X		X	X	X	M
DIN 18531	X								
DIN 68800	X				-			1	
DIN-Normen für Feuerungsanlagen	F								
DIN-Normen für Entwässerung									
ATV-Merkblätter									X

Baurecht in Nordrhein-Westfalen



Sebastian Rudolph (TUD)

Baurecht in Nordrhein-Westfalen



3

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

Baurecht in Nordrhein-Westfalen



Sebastian Rudolph (TUD)

Nested Line Diagrams: Reading off Implications

• implications within the inner scale can be read off at the top concept:

 $\{\mathsf{Treppen}\} \Rightarrow \{\mathsf{Treppenraum}\}$

• implications within the outer scale can be read off at it:

$$\label{eq:Wand} \begin{split} & \{\mathsf{Wand}\} \Rightarrow \{\mathsf{Brandwand}\} \\ & \{\mathsf{Decke}, \ \mathsf{Brandwand}\} \Rightarrow \{\mathsf{Wand}, \ \mathsf{Brandwand}\} \\ & \{\mathsf{Decke}, \ \mathsf{Fundament}\} \Rightarrow \{?\} \end{split}$$

• implications between the inner and the outer scale are shown by "not realized" concepts: premise = intent of the not-realized concept, conclusion = intent of the largest realized subconcept:

{Decke, Kellerfußboden} \Rightarrow {Treppenraum} {Treppenraum, Schornstein} \Rightarrow {Decke, Wand, Brandwand, Dach} {Fundament} \Rightarrow {?} {Wand, Dach, Schornstein} \Rightarrow {?}

(日) (四) (王) (王) (王)