# **Exercise 2: First-Order Queries**

Database Theory
2022-04-19
Maximilian Marx, Markus Krötzsch

**Exercise.** Express the queries from Exercise 1.1 as domain-independent FO-queries.

| Films                  |               |             |     | Venues    |                          |         |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----|-----------|--------------------------|---------|
| Title                  | Director      | Actor       | ۱ [ | Cinema    | Address                  | Phone   |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Cumberbatch | 1 ì | UFA       | St. Petersburger Str. 24 | 4825825 |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Knightley   | ٦ſ  | Schauburg | Königsbrücker Str. 55    | 8032185 |
|                        |               |             | ٦ſ  | CinemaxX  | Hüblerstr. 8             | 3158910 |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Swartz      | ٦ſ  |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Lessig      | 1)  |           |                          | •       |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Berners-Lee | ٦.  | Program   |                          |         |
|                        |               |             | 7 ( | Cinema    | Title                    | Time    |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Damon       | 7 ( | Schauburg | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Affleck     | 7 ( | Schauburg | Dogma                    | 20:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Morissette  | 7 ( | UFA       | The Imitation Game       | 22:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Smith       | ] [ | CinemaxX  | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |

**Exercise.** Express the queries from Exercise 1.1 as domain-independent FO-queries.

| Films                  |               |             |     | Venues    |                          |         |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----|-----------|--------------------------|---------|
| Title                  | Director      | Actor       | ۱ [ | Cinema    | Address                  | Phone   |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Cumberbatch | l   | UFA       | St. Petersburger Str. 24 | 4825825 |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Knightley   | l   | Schauburg | Königsbrücker Str. 55    | 8032185 |
|                        |               |             | l   | CinemaxX  | Hüblerstr. 8             | 3158910 |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Swartz      | l   |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Lessig      | ] ` |           | •                        | •       |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Berners-Lee | 1.  | Program   |                          |         |
|                        |               |             | 11  | Cinema    | Title                    | Time    |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Damon       | 1 ( | Schauburg | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Affleck     | 1 ( | Schauburg | Dogma                    | 20:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Morissette  | 1 ( | UFA       | The Imitation Game       | 22:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Smith       | ] [ | CinemaxX  | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |

Solution.

**Exercise.** Express the queries from Exercise 1.1 as domain-independent FO-queries.

| Films                  |               |             |     | Venues    |                          |         |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----|-----------|--------------------------|---------|
| Title                  | Director      | Actor       | ۱ [ | Cinema    | Address                  | Phone   |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Cumberbatch | l   | UFA       | St. Petersburger Str. 24 | 4825825 |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Knightley   | l   | Schauburg | Königsbrücker Str. 55    | 8032185 |
|                        |               |             | l   | CinemaxX  | Hüblerstr. 8             | 3158910 |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Swartz      | l   |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Lessig      | ] ` |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Berners-Lee | 1.  | Program   |                          |         |
|                        |               |             | 11  | Cinema    | Title                    | Time    |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Damon       | 1 ( | Schauburg | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Affleck     | 1 ( | Schauburg | Dogma                    | 20:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Morissette  | 1 ( | UFA       | The Imitation Game       | 22:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Smith       | ] [ | CinemaxX  | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |

## Solution.

1. Who is the director of "The Imitation Game"?

**Exercise.** Express the queries from Exercise 1.1 as domain-independent FO-queries.

| Films                  |               |             |     | Venues    |                          |         |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----|-----------|--------------------------|---------|
| Title                  | Director      | Actor       | ٦ſ  | Cinema    | Address                  | Phone   |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Cumberbatch | ١ſ  | UFA       | St. Petersburger Str. 24 | 4825825 |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Knightley   | ١٢  | Schauburg | Königsbrücker Str. 55    | 8032185 |
|                        |               |             | ١٢  | CinemaxX  | Hüblerstr. 8             | 3158910 |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Swartz      | ١٢  |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Lessig      | ٦`  |           | •                        | •       |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Berners-Lee | ٦.  | Program   |                          |         |
|                        |               |             | ٦ ( | Cinema    | Title                    | Time    |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Damon       | ٦ [ | Schauburg | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Affleck     | ٦ [ | Schauburg | Dogma                    | 20:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Morissette  | ٦ [ | UFA       | The Imitation Game       | 22:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Smith       | ] [ | CinemaxX  | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |

### Solution.

1. Who is the director of "The Imitation Game"?

$$\exists y_A$$
. Films("The Imitation Game",  $x_D$ ,  $y_A$ )[ $x_D$ ]

**Exercise.** Express the queries from Exercise 1.1 as domain-independent FO-queries.

| Films                  |               |             |     | Venues    |                          |         |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----|-----------|--------------------------|---------|
| Title                  | Director      | Actor       | ۱ [ | Cinema    | Address                  | Phone   |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Cumberbatch | ٦ſ  | UFA       | St. Petersburger Str. 24 | 4825825 |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Knightley   | ٦ſ  | Schauburg | Königsbrücker Str. 55    | 8032185 |
|                        |               |             | ٦ſ  | CinemaxX  | Hüblerstr. 8             | 3158910 |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Swartz      | ٦ſ  |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Lessig      | ] ` |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Berners-Lee | ٦.  | Program   |                          |         |
|                        |               |             | 7 ( | Cinema    | Title                    | Time    |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Damon       | 7 ( | Schauburg | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Affleck     | 7 ( | Schauburg | Dogma                    | 20:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Morissette  | 7 ( | UFA       | The Imitation Game       | 22:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Smith       | ] [ | CinemaxX  | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |

#### Solution.

1. Who is the director of "The Imitation Game"?

$$\exists y_A$$
. Films("The Imitation Game",  $x_D$ ,  $y_A$ )[ $x_D$ ]

2. Which cinemas feature "The Imitation Game"?

**Exercise.** Express the queries from Exercise 1.1 as domain-independent FO-queries.

| Films                  |               |             |     | Venues    |                          |         |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----|-----------|--------------------------|---------|
| Title                  | Director      | Actor       | ۱ [ | Cinema    | Address                  | Phone   |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Cumberbatch | l   | UFA       | St. Petersburger Str. 24 | 4825825 |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Knightley   | l   | Schauburg | Königsbrücker Str. 55    | 8032185 |
|                        |               |             | l   | CinemaxX  | Hüblerstr. 8             | 3158910 |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Swartz      | l   |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Lessig      | ] ` |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Berners-Lee | 1.  | Program   |                          |         |
|                        |               |             | 11  | Cinema    | Title                    | Time    |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Damon       | 1 ( | Schauburg | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Affleck     | 1 ( | Schauburg | Dogma                    | 20:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Morissette  | 1 ( | UFA       | The Imitation Game       | 22:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Smith       | ] [ | CinemaxX  | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |

#### Solution.

1. Who is the director of "The Imitation Game"?

$$\exists y_A$$
. Films("The Imitation Game",  $x_D$ ,  $y_A$ )[ $x_D$ ]

2. Which cinemas feature "The Imitation Game"?

$$\exists y_T$$
. Program $(x_C,$  "The Imitation Game",  $y_T)[x_C]$ 

**Exercise.** Express the queries from Exercise 1.1 as domain-independent FO-queries.

| Films                  |               |             |     | Venues    |                          |         |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----|-----------|--------------------------|---------|
| Title                  | Director      | Actor       | 1   | Cinema    | Address                  | Phone   |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Cumberbatch | l   | UFA       | St. Petersburger Str. 24 | 4825825 |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Knightley   | l   | Schauburg | Königsbrücker Str. 55    | 8032185 |
|                        |               |             | l   | CinemaxX  | Hüblerstr. 8             | 3158910 |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Swartz      | l   |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Lessig      | 1   |           | •                        | •       |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Berners-Lee | 1.  | Program   |                          |         |
|                        |               |             | 11  | Cinema    | Title                    | Time    |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Damon       | 1 ( | Schauburg | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Affleck     | 1 ( | Schauburg | Dogma                    | 20:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Morissette  | 1 ( | UFA       | The Imitation Game       | 22:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Smith       | ] [ | CinemaxX  | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |

### Solution.

3. What are the address and phone number of "Schauburg"?

**Exercise.** Express the queries from Exercise 1.1 as domain-independent FO-queries.

| Films                  |               |             |     | Venues    |                          |         |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----|-----------|--------------------------|---------|
| Title                  | Director      | Actor       | ۱ [ | Cinema    | Address                  | Phone   |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Cumberbatch | ٦ſ  | UFA       | St. Petersburger Str. 24 | 4825825 |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Knightley   | ٦ſ  | Schauburg | Königsbrücker Str. 55    | 8032185 |
|                        |               |             | ٦ſ  | CinemaxX  | Hüblerstr. 8             | 3158910 |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Swartz      | ٦ſ  |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Lessig      | ] ` |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Berners-Lee | ٦.  | Program   |                          |         |
|                        |               |             | 7 ( | Cinema    | Title                    | Time    |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Damon       | 7 ( | Schauburg | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Affleck     | 7 ( | Schauburg | Dogma                    | 20:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Morissette  | 7 ( | UFA       | The Imitation Game       | 22:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Smith       | ] [ | CinemaxX  | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |

### Solution.

3. What are the address and phone number of "Schauburg"?

$${\sf Venues("Schauburg"}, x_A, x_P)[x_A, x_P]}$$

**Exercise.** Express the queries from Exercise 1.1 as domain-independent FO-queries.

| Films                  |               |             |     | Venues    |                          |         |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----|-----------|--------------------------|---------|
| Title                  | Director      | Actor       | ۱ [ | Cinema    | Address                  | Phone   |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Cumberbatch | l   | UFA       | St. Petersburger Str. 24 | 4825825 |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Knightley   | l   | Schauburg | Königsbrücker Str. 55    | 8032185 |
|                        |               |             | l   | CinemaxX  | Hüblerstr. 8             | 3158910 |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Swartz      | l   |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Lessig      | ] ` |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Berners-Lee | 1.  | Program   |                          |         |
|                        |               |             | 11  | Cinema    | Title                    | Time    |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Damon       | 1 ( | Schauburg | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Affleck     | 1 ( | Schauburg | Dogma                    | 20:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Morissette  | 1 ( | UFA       | The Imitation Game       | 22:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Smith       | ] [ | CinemaxX  | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |

#### Solution.

3. What are the address and phone number of "Schauburg"?

$$Venues("Schauburg", x_A, x_P)[x_A, x_P]$$

4. Boolean query: Is a film directed by "Smith" playing in Dresden?

**Exercise.** Express the queries from Exercise 1.1 as domain-independent FO-queries.

| Films                  |               |             |     | Venues    |                          |         |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----|-----------|--------------------------|---------|
| Title                  | Director      | Actor       | 1   | Cinema    | Address                  | Phone   |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Cumberbatch | l   | UFA       | St. Petersburger Str. 24 | 4825825 |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Knightley   | l   | Schauburg | Königsbrücker Str. 55    | 8032185 |
|                        |               |             | l   | CinemaxX  | Hüblerstr. 8             | 3158910 |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Swartz      | l   |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Lessig      | 1   |           | •                        | •       |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Berners-Lee | 1.  | Program   |                          |         |
|                        |               |             | 11  | Cinema    | Title                    | Time    |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Damon       | 1 ( | Schauburg | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Affleck     | 1 ( | Schauburg | Dogma                    | 20:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Morissette  | 1 ( | UFA       | The Imitation Game       | 22:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Smith       | ] [ | CinemaxX  | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |

#### Solution.

3. What are the address and phone number of "Schauburg"?

$$Venues("Schauburg", x_A, x_P)[x_A, x_P]$$

4. Boolean query: Is a film directed by "Smith" playing in Dresden?

$$\exists y_T, y_A, y_C, z_T$$
. Films $(y_T, "Smith", y_A) \land Program(y_C, y_T, z_T)$ 

**Exercise.** Express the queries from Exercise 1.1 as domain-independent FO-queries.

| Films                  |               |             |     | Venues    |                          |         |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----|-----------|--------------------------|---------|
| Title                  | Director      | Actor       | ۱ [ | Cinema    | Address                  | Phone   |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Cumberbatch | ٦ſ  | UFA       | St. Petersburger Str. 24 | 4825825 |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Knightley   | ٦ſ  | Schauburg | Königsbrücker Str. 55    | 8032185 |
|                        |               |             | ٦ſ  | CinemaxX  | Hüblerstr. 8             | 3158910 |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Swartz      | ٦ſ  |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Lessig      | 1)  |           | •                        | •       |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Berners-Lee | ٦.  | Program   |                          |         |
|                        |               |             | 7 ( | Cinema    | Title                    | Time    |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Damon       | 7 ( | Schauburg | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Affleck     | 7 ( | Schauburg | Dogma                    | 20:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Morissette  | 7 ( | UFA       | The Imitation Game       | 22:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Smith       | ] [ | CinemaxX  | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |

## Solution.

5. List the pairs of persons such that the first directed the second in a film, and vice versa.

**Exercise.** Express the queries from Exercise 1.1 as domain-independent FO-queries.

| Films                  |               |             |     | Venues    |                          |         |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----|-----------|--------------------------|---------|
| Title                  | Director      | Actor       | ٦ſ  | Cinema    | Address                  | Phone   |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Cumberbatch | ۱ſ  | UFA       | St. Petersburger Str. 24 | 4825825 |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Knightley   | ۱ſ  | Schauburg | Königsbrücker Str. 55    | 8032185 |
|                        |               |             | 1 F | CinemaxX  | Hüblerstr. 8             | 3158910 |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Swartz      | 1 F |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Lessig      | 1`  |           | •                        | •       |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Berners-Lee | ٦.  | Program   |                          |         |
|                        |               |             | ٦ ( | Cinema    | Title                    | Time    |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Damon       | 7 [ | Schauburg | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Affleck     | 7 [ | Schauburg | Dogma                    | 20:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Morissette  | 1 [ | UFA       | The Imitation Game       | 22:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Smith       | ] [ | CinemaxX  | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |

### Solution.

5. List the pairs of persons such that the first directed the second in a film, and vice versa.

$$\exists y_T, z_T. \; \mathsf{Films}\big(y_T, x_D, x_A\big) \land \mathsf{Films}\big(z_T, x_A, x_D\big)[x_D, x_A]$$

**Exercise.** Express the queries from Exercise 1.1 as domain-independent FO-queries.

| Films                  |               |             |     | Venues    |                          |         |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----|-----------|--------------------------|---------|
| Title                  | Director      | Actor       | ۱ [ | Cinema    | Address                  | Phone   |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Cumberbatch | ٦ſ  | UFA       | St. Petersburger Str. 24 | 4825825 |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Knightley   | ٦ſ  | Schauburg | Königsbrücker Str. 55    | 8032185 |
|                        |               |             | ٦ſ  | CinemaxX  | Hüblerstr. 8             | 3158910 |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Swartz      | ٦ſ  |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Lessig      | ] ` |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Berners-Lee | ٦.  | Program   |                          |         |
|                        |               |             | 7 ( | Cinema    | Title                    | Time    |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Damon       | 7 ( | Schauburg | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Affleck     | 7 ( | Schauburg | Dogma                    | 20:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Morissette  | 7 ( | UFA       | The Imitation Game       | 22:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Smith       | ] [ | CinemaxX  | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |

#### Solution.

5. List the pairs of persons such that the first directed the second in a film, and vice versa.

$$\exists y_T, z_T$$
. Films $(y_T, x_D, x_A) \land \mathsf{Films}(z_T, x_A, x_D)[x_D, x_A]$ 

6. List the names of directors who have acted in a film they directed.

**Exercise.** Express the queries from Exercise 1.1 as domain-independent FO-queries.

| Films                  |               |             |     | Venues    |                          |         |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----|-----------|--------------------------|---------|
| Title                  | Director      | Actor       | ۱ [ | Cinema    | Address                  | Phone   |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Cumberbatch | l   | UFA       | St. Petersburger Str. 24 | 4825825 |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Knightley   | l   | Schauburg | Königsbrücker Str. 55    | 8032185 |
|                        |               |             | l   | CinemaxX  | Hüblerstr. 8             | 3158910 |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Swartz      | l   |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Lessig      | ] ` |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Berners-Lee | 1.  | Program   |                          |         |
|                        |               |             | 11  | Cinema    | Title                    | Time    |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Damon       | 1 ( | Schauburg | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Affleck     | 1 ( | Schauburg | Dogma                    | 20:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Morissette  | 1 ( | UFA       | The Imitation Game       | 22:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Smith       | ] [ | CinemaxX  | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |

#### Solution.

5. List the pairs of persons such that the first directed the second in a film, and vice versa.

$$\exists y_T, z_T$$
. Films $(y_T, x_D, x_A) \land \text{Films}(z_T, x_A, x_D)[x_D, x_A]$ 

6. List the names of directors who have acted in a film they directed.

$$\exists y_T$$
. Films $(y_T, x_D, x_D)[x_D]$ 

**Exercise.** Express the queries from Exercise 1.1 as domain-independent FO-queries.

| Films                  |               |             |     | Venues    |                          |         |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----|-----------|--------------------------|---------|
| Title                  | Director      | Actor       | ۱ [ | Cinema    | Address                  | Phone   |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Cumberbatch | ٦ſ  | UFA       | St. Petersburger Str. 24 | 4825825 |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Knightley   | ٦ſ  | Schauburg | Königsbrücker Str. 55    | 8032185 |
|                        |               |             | ٦ſ  | CinemaxX  | Hüblerstr. 8             | 3158910 |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Swartz      | ٦ſ  |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Lessig      | 1)  |           | •                        | •       |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Berners-Lee | ٦.  | Program   |                          |         |
|                        |               |             | 7 ( | Cinema    | Title                    | Time    |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Damon       | 7 ( | Schauburg | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Affleck     | 7 ( | Schauburg | Dogma                    | 20:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Morissette  | 7 ( | UFA       | The Imitation Game       | 22:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Smith       | ] [ | CinemaxX  | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |

#### Solution.

7. Always return {Title  $\mapsto$  "Apocalypse Now", Director  $\mapsto$  "Coppola"} as the answer.

**Exercise.** Express the queries from Exercise 1.1 as domain-independent FO-queries.

| Films                  |               |             |     | Venues    |                          |         |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----|-----------|--------------------------|---------|
| Title                  | Director      | Actor       | ۱ [ | Cinema    | Address                  | Phone   |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Cumberbatch | ٦ſ  | UFA       | St. Petersburger Str. 24 | 4825825 |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Knightley   | ٦ſ  | Schauburg | Königsbrücker Str. 55    | 8032185 |
|                        |               |             | ٦ſ  | CinemaxX  | Hüblerstr. 8             | 3158910 |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Swartz      | ٦ſ  |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Lessig      | 1)  |           | •                        | •       |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Berners-Lee | ٦.  | Program   |                          |         |
|                        |               |             | 7 ( | Cinema    | Title                    | Time    |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Damon       | 7 ( | Schauburg | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Affleck     | 7 ( | Schauburg | Dogma                    | 20:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Morissette  | 7 ( | UFA       | The Imitation Game       | 22:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Smith       | 7 ( | CinemaxX  | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |

#### Solution.

7. Always return {Title  $\mapsto$  "Apocalypse Now", Director  $\mapsto$  "Coppola"} as the answer.

 $\big\{ \texttt{DirectedBy("Apocalypse Now", "Coppola")} \big\}$ 

Note: FO queries always use the unnamed perspective.

**Exercise.** Express the queries from Exercise 1.1 as domain-independent FO-queries.

| Films                  |               |             |     | Venues    |                          |         |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----|-----------|--------------------------|---------|
| Title                  | Director      | Actor       | ۱ [ | Cinema    | Address                  | Phone   |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Cumberbatch | ٦ſ  | UFA       | St. Petersburger Str. 24 | 4825825 |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Knightley   | ٦ſ  | Schauburg | Königsbrücker Str. 55    | 8032185 |
|                        |               |             | ٦ſ  | CinemaxX  | Hüblerstr. 8             | 3158910 |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Swartz      | ٦ſ  |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Lessig      | ] ` |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Berners-Lee | ٦.  | Program   |                          |         |
|                        |               |             | 7 ( | Cinema    | Title                    | Time    |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Damon       | 7 ( | Schauburg | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Affleck     | 7 ( | Schauburg | Dogma                    | 20:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Morissette  | 7 ( | UFA       | The Imitation Game       | 22:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Smith       | ] [ | CinemaxX  | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |

#### Solution.

7. Always return {Title  $\mapsto$  "Apocalypse Now", Director  $\mapsto$  "Coppola"} as the answer.

$$\left\{ \texttt{DirectedBy("Apocalypse Now", "Coppola")} \right\}$$

Note: FO gueries always use the unnamed perspective.

8. Find the actors cast in at least one film by "Smith".

**Exercise.** Express the queries from Exercise 1.1 as domain-independent FO-queries.

| Films                  |               |             |     | Venues    |                          |         |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----|-----------|--------------------------|---------|
| Title                  | Director      | Actor       | ۱ [ | Cinema    | Address                  | Phone   |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Cumberbatch | ٦ſ  | UFA       | St. Petersburger Str. 24 | 4825825 |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Knightley   | ٦ſ  | Schauburg | Königsbrücker Str. 55    | 8032185 |
|                        |               |             | ٦ſ  | CinemaxX  | Hüblerstr. 8             | 3158910 |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Swartz      | ٦ſ  |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Lessig      | 1)  |           | •                        | •       |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Berners-Lee | ٦.  | Program   |                          |         |
|                        |               |             | 7 ( | Cinema    | Title                    | Time    |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Damon       | 7 ( | Schauburg | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Affleck     | 7 ( | Schauburg | Dogma                    | 20:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Morissette  | 7 ( | UFA       | The Imitation Game       | 22:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Smith       | 7 ( | CinemaxX  | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |

#### Solution.

7. Always return {Title  $\mapsto$  "Apocalypse Now", Director  $\mapsto$  "Coppola"} as the answer.

$$\big\{ \texttt{DirectedBy("Apocalypse Now", "Coppola")} \big\}$$

Note: FO gueries always use the unnamed perspective.

8. Find the actors cast in at least one film by "Smith".

$$\exists y_T$$
. Films $(y_T, "Smith", x_A)[x_A]$ 

**Exercise.** Express the queries from Exercise 1.1 as domain-independent FO-queries.

| Films                  |               |             | Venues    |                          |         |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|--------------------------|---------|
| Title                  | Director      | Actor       | Cinema    | Address                  | Phone   |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Cumberbatch | UFA       | St. Petersburger Str. 24 | 4825825 |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Knightley   | Schauburg | Königsbrücker Str. 55    | 8032185 |
|                        |               |             | CinemaxX  | Hüblerstr. 8             | 3158910 |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Swartz      |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Lessig      |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Berners-Lee | Program   |                          |         |
|                        |               |             | Cinema    | Title                    | Time    |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Damon       | Schauburg | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Affleck     | Schauburg | Dogma                    | 20:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Morissette  | UFA       | The Imitation Game       | 22:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Smith       | CinemaxX  | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |

## Solution.

9. Find the actors cast in every film by "Smith."

**Exercise.** Express the queries from Exercise 1.1 as domain-independent FO-queries.

| Films                  |               |             |     | Venues    |                          |         |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----|-----------|--------------------------|---------|
| Title                  | Director      | Actor       | ۱ [ | Cinema    | Address                  | Phone   |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Cumberbatch | l   | UFA       | St. Petersburger Str. 24 | 4825825 |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Knightley   | l   | Schauburg | Königsbrücker Str. 55    | 8032185 |
|                        |               |             | l   | CinemaxX  | Hüblerstr. 8             | 3158910 |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Swartz      | l   |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Lessig      | ] ` |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Berners-Lee | 1.  | Program   |                          |         |
|                        |               |             | 11  | Cinema    | Title                    | Time    |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Damon       | 1 ( | Schauburg | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Affleck     | 1 ( | Schauburg | Dogma                    | 20:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Morissette  | 1 ( | UFA       | The Imitation Game       | 22:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Smith       | ] [ | CinemaxX  | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |

#### Solution.

9. Find the actors cast in every film by "Smith."

$$\exists y_T, y_D. \left(\mathsf{Films}(y_T, y_D, x_A) \land \forall z_T, z_A. \left(\mathsf{Films}(z_T, \mathsf{"Smith"}, z_A) \to \mathsf{Films}(z_T, \mathsf{"Smith"}, x_A)\right)\right) [x_A]$$

**Exercise.** Express the queries from Exercise 1.1 as domain-independent FO-queries.

| Films                  |               |             |     | Venues    |                          |         |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----|-----------|--------------------------|---------|
| Title                  | Director      | Actor       | ٦ſ  | Cinema    | Address                  | Phone   |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Cumberbatch | ١ſ  | UFA       | St. Petersburger Str. 24 | 4825825 |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Knightley   | ١٢  | Schauburg | Königsbrücker Str. 55    | 8032185 |
|                        |               |             | ١٢  | CinemaxX  | Hüblerstr. 8             | 3158910 |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Swartz      | ١٢  |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Lessig      | ٦`  |           | •                        | •       |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Berners-Lee | ٦.  | Program   |                          |         |
|                        |               |             | ٦ ( | Cinema    | Title                    | Time    |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Damon       | ٦ [ | Schauburg | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Affleck     | ٦ [ | Schauburg | Dogma                    | 20:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Morissette  | ٦ [ | UFA       | The Imitation Game       | 22:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Smith       | ] [ | CinemaxX  | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |

#### Solution.

9. Find the actors cast in every film by "Smith."

$$\exists y_T, y_D. \left(\mathsf{Films}(y_T, y_D, x_A) \land \forall z_T, z_A. \left(\mathsf{Films}(z_T, \mathsf{"Smith"}, z_A) \to \mathsf{Films}(z_T, \mathsf{"Smith"}, x_A)\right)\right) [x_A]$$

10. Find the actors cast only in films by "Smith."

Exercise. Express the queries from Exercise 1.1 as domain-independent FO-queries.

| Films                  |               |             |     | Venues    |                          |         |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----|-----------|--------------------------|---------|
| Title                  | Director      | Actor       | ۱ [ | Cinema    | Address                  | Phone   |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Cumberbatch | l   | UFA       | St. Petersburger Str. 24 | 4825825 |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Knightley   | l   | Schauburg | Königsbrücker Str. 55    | 8032185 |
|                        |               |             | l   | CinemaxX  | Hüblerstr. 8             | 3158910 |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Swartz      | l   |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Lessig      | ] ` |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Berners-Lee | 1.  | Program   |                          |         |
|                        |               |             | 11  | Cinema    | Title                    | Time    |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Damon       | 1 ( | Schauburg | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Affleck     | 1 ( | Schauburg | Dogma                    | 20:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Morissette  | 1 ( | UFA       | The Imitation Game       | 22:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Smith       | ] [ | CinemaxX  | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |

#### Solution.

9. Find the actors cast in every film by "Smith."

$$\exists y_T, y_D. \left(\mathsf{Films}(y_T, y_D, x_A) \land \forall z_T, z_A. \left(\mathsf{Films}(z_T, \mathsf{"Smith"}, z_A) \to \mathsf{Films}(z_T, \mathsf{"Smith"}, x_A)\right)\right) [x_A]$$

10. Find the actors cast only in films by "Smith."

$$\exists y_T, y_D. \left(\mathsf{Films}(y_T, y_D, x_A) \land \forall z_T. \ \exists z_D. \ \left(\mathsf{Films}(z_T, z_D, x_A) \to z_D \approx \mathsf{"Smith"}\right)\right) [x_A]$$

**Exercise.** Express the queries from Exercise 1.1 as domain-independent FO-queries.

| Films                  |               |             |     | Venues    |                          |         |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----|-----------|--------------------------|---------|
| Title                  | Director      | Actor       | ۱۲  | Cinema    | Address                  | Phone   |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Cumberbatch | ٦ſ  | UFA       | St. Petersburger Str. 24 | 4825825 |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Knightley   | ٦ſ  | Schauburg | Königsbrücker Str. 55    | 8032185 |
|                        |               |             | ٦ſ  | CinemaxX  | Hüblerstr. 8             | 3158910 |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Swartz      | ٦ſ  |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Lessig      | 1)  |           | •                        | •       |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Berners-Lee | ٦.  | Program   |                          |         |
|                        |               |             | 7 ( | Cinema    | Title                    | Time    |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Damon       | 7 ( | Schauburg | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Affleck     | 7 ( | Schauburg | Dogma                    | 20:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Morissette  | 7 ( | UFA       | The Imitation Game       | 22:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Smith       | 7 ( | CinemaxX  | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |

## Solution.

11. Find all pairs of actors who act together in at least one film.

**Exercise.** Express the queries from Exercise 1.1 as domain-independent FO-queries.

| Films                  |               |             |     | Venues    |                          |         |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----|-----------|--------------------------|---------|
| Title                  | Director      | Actor       | ۱ [ | Cinema    | Address                  | Phone   |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Cumberbatch | ٦ſ  | UFA       | St. Petersburger Str. 24 | 4825825 |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Knightley   | ٦ſ  | Schauburg | Königsbrücker Str. 55    | 8032185 |
|                        |               |             | ٦ſ  | CinemaxX  | Hüblerstr. 8             | 3158910 |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Swartz      | ٦ſ  |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Lessig      | ] ` |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Berners-Lee | ٦.  | Program   |                          |         |
|                        |               |             | 7 ( | Cinema    | Title                    | Time    |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Damon       | 7 ( | Schauburg | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Affleck     | 7 ( | Schauburg | Dogma                    | 20:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Morissette  | 7 ( | UFA       | The Imitation Game       | 22:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Smith       | ] [ | CinemaxX  | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |

#### Solution.

11. Find all pairs of actors who act together in at least one film.

$$\exists y_T, y_D$$
. Films $(y_T, y_D, x_A) \land \text{Films}(y_T, y_D, x_{A'}) \land x_A \not\approx x_{A'}[x_A, x_{A'}]$ 

**Exercise.** Express the queries from Exercise 1.1 as domain-independent FO-queries.

| Films                  |               |             |     | Venues    |                          |         |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----|-----------|--------------------------|---------|
| Title                  | Director      | Actor       | ٦ſ  | Cinema    | Address                  | Phone   |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Cumberbatch | ١٢  | UFA       | St. Petersburger Str. 24 | 4825825 |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Knightley   | ١٢  | Schauburg | Königsbrücker Str. 55    | 8032185 |
|                        |               |             | ١٢  | CinemaxX  | Hüblerstr. 8             | 3158910 |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Swartz      | ١٢  |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Lessig      | ٦`  |           | •                        | •       |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Berners-Lee | ٦.  | Program   |                          |         |
|                        |               |             | ٦ ( | Cinema    | Title                    | Time    |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Damon       | ٦ [ | Schauburg | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Affleck     | ٦ [ | Schauburg | Dogma                    | 20:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Morissette  | ٦ [ | UFA       | The Imitation Game       | 22:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Smith       | ] [ | CinemaxX  | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |

#### Solution.

11. Find all pairs of actors who act together in at least one film.

$$\exists y_T, y_D$$
.  $\mathsf{Films}(y_T, y_D, x_A) \land \mathsf{Films}(y_T, y_D, x_{A'}) \land x_A \not\approx x_{A'}[x_A, x_{A'}]$ 

12. Find all pairs of actors cast in exactly the same films.

Exercise. Express the queries from Exercise 1.1 as domain-independent FO-queries.

| Films                  |               |             |     | Venues    |                          |         |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----|-----------|--------------------------|---------|
| Title                  | Director      | Actor       | 1   | Cinema    | Address                  | Phone   |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Cumberbatch | l   | UFA       | St. Petersburger Str. 24 | 4825825 |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Knightley   | l   | Schauburg | Königsbrücker Str. 55    | 8032185 |
|                        |               |             | l   | CinemaxX  | Hüblerstr. 8             | 3158910 |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Swartz      | l   |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Lessig      | 1   |           | •                        | •       |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Berners-Lee | 1.  | Program   |                          |         |
|                        |               |             | 11  | Cinema    | Title                    | Time    |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Damon       | 1 ( | Schauburg | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Affleck     | 1 ( | Schauburg | Dogma                    | 20:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Morissette  | 1 ( | UFA       | The Imitation Game       | 22:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Smith       | ] [ | CinemaxX  | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |

#### Solution.

11. Find all pairs of actors who act together in at least one film.

$$\exists y_T, y_D$$
. Films $(y_T, y_D, x_A) \land \text{Films}(y_T, y_D, x_{A'}) \land x_A \not\approx x_{A'}[x_A, x_{A'}]$ 

12. Find all pairs of actors cast in exactly the same films.

**Exercise.** Express the queries from Exercise 1.1 as domain-independent FO-queries.

| Films                  |               |             |    | Venues    |                          |         |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------|----|-----------|--------------------------|---------|
| Title                  | Director      | Actor       | 1  | Cinema    | Address                  | Phone   |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Cumberbatch | 11 | UFA       | St. Petersburger Str. 24 | 4825825 |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Knightley   | П  | Schauburg | Königsbrücker Str. 55    | 8032185 |
|                        |               |             | П  | CinemaxX  | Hüblerstr. 8             | 3158910 |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Swartz      | П  |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Lessig      | 1  |           | •                        | •       |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Berners-Lee | 1  | Program   |                          |         |
|                        |               |             | 11 | Cinema    | Title                    | Time    |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Damon       | 11 | Schauburg | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Affleck     | 11 | Schauburg | Dogma                    | 20:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Morissette  | 11 | UFA       | The Imitation Game       | 22:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Smith       | 11 | CinemaxX  | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |

## Solution.

13. Find the directors such that every actor is cast in one of their films.

**Exercise.** Express the queries from Exercise 1.1 as domain-independent FO-queries.

| Films                  |               |             | Venues    |                          |         |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|--------------------------|---------|
| Title                  | Director      | Actor       | Cinema    | Address                  | Phone   |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Cumberbatch | UFA       | St. Petersburger Str. 24 | 4825825 |
| The Imitation Game     | Tyldum        | Knightley   | Schauburg | Königsbrücker Str. 55    | 8032185 |
|                        |               |             | CinemaxX  | Hüblerstr. 8             | 3158910 |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Swartz      |           |                          |         |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Lessig      |           |                          | •       |
| The Internet's Own Boy | Knappenberger | Berners-Lee | Program   |                          |         |
|                        |               |             | Cinema    | Title                    | Time    |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Damon       | Schauburg | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Affleck     | Schauburg | Dogma                    | 20:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Morissette  | UFA       | The Imitation Game       | 22:45   |
| Dogma                  | Smith         | Smith       | CinemaxX  | The Imitation Game       | 19:30   |

#### Solution.

13. Find the directors such that every actor is cast in one of their films.

$$\exists y_T, y_A. \left(\mathsf{Films}(y_T, x_D, y_A) \land \forall z_T, z_D, z_A. \left(\mathsf{Films}(z_T, z_D, z_A) \to \exists w_T. \mathsf{Films}(w_T, x_D, z_A)\right)\right) [x_D]$$

**Exercise.** Let R[A, B] be a table. Express the following  $RA_{named}$  query as a  $DI_{unnamed}$  query:

$$q[A,B] = (\pi_A(R) \bowtie \pi_B(R)) - (R \bowtie (\delta_{B,A \to A,B}(R)))$$

**Exercise.** Let R[A, B] be a table. Express the following  $RA_{named}$  query as a  $DI_{unnamed}$  query:

$$q[A,B] = (\pi_A(R) \bowtie \pi_B(R)) - (R \bowtie (\delta_{B,A \to A,B}(R)))$$

Solution.

**Exercise.** Let R[A, B] be a table. Express the following  $RA_{named}$  query as a  $DI_{unnamed}$  query:

$$q[A,B] = (\pi_A(R) \bowtie \pi_B(R)) - (R \bowtie (\delta_{B,A\to A,B}(R)))$$

#### Solution.

## Definition (Lecture 2, Slide 19/20, excerpt)

- If q = R with signature  $R[A_1, \ldots, A_n]$ , then  $\varphi_q = R(x_{A_1}, \ldots, x_{A_n})[x_{A_1}, \ldots, x_{A_n}]$ ;
- if  $q = \delta_{B_1, \dots, B_n \to A_1, \dots, A_n} q'$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists y_{B_1}, \dots, y_{B_n}$ .  $(x_{A_1} \approx y_{B_1}) \wedge \dots \wedge (x_{A_n} \approx y_{B_n}) \wedge \varphi_{q'} [y_{A_1}, \dots, y_{A_n}]$ ; Assumption:  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  in  $\delta_{B_1, \dots, B_n \to A_1, \dots, A_n}$  are written in attribute order;  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  may be in arbitrary order.
- if  $q = \pi_{A_1,\ldots,A_n}(q')$  for a subquery  $q'[B_1,\ldots,B_m]$  with  $\{B_1,\ldots,B_m\} = \{A_1,\ldots,A_n\} \cup \{C_1,\ldots,C_k\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists x_{C_1},\ldots,x_{C_k},\varphi_{q'}$ ;
- if  $q=q_1\bowtie q_2$ , then  $\varphi_q=\varphi_{q_1}\wedge\varphi_{q_2}$ ; and
- if  $q=q_1-q_2$ , then  $\varphi_q=\varphi_{q_1}\wedge\neg\varphi_{q_2}$ .

**Exercise.** Let R[A, B] be a table. Express the following RA<sub>named</sub> query as a DI<sub>unnamed</sub> query:

$$q[A,B] = (\pi_A(R) \bowtie \pi_B(R)) - (R \bowtie (\delta_{B,A \rightarrow A,B}(R)))$$

#### Solution.

## Definition (Lecture 2, Slide 19/20, excerpt)

- If q = R with signature  $R[A_1, \ldots, A_n]$ , then  $\varphi_q = R(x_{A_1}, \ldots, x_{A_n})[x_{A_1}, \ldots, x_{A_n}]$ ;
- if  $q = \delta_{B_1, \dots, B_n \to A_1, \dots, A_n} q'$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists y_{B_1}, \dots, y_{B_n}$ .  $(x_{A_1} \approx y_{B_1}) \wedge \dots \wedge (x_{A_n} \approx y_{B_n}) \wedge \varphi_{q'} [y_{A_1}, \dots, y_{A_n}]$ ; Assumption:  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  in  $\delta_{B_1, \dots, B_n \to A_1, \dots, A_n}$  are written in attribute order;  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  may be in arbitrary order.
- if  $q = \pi_{A_1,\dots,A_n}(q')$  for a subquery  $q'[B_1,\dots,B_m]$  with  $\{B_1,\dots,B_m\} = \{A_1,\dots,A_n\} \cup \{C_1,\dots,C_k\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists x_{C_1},\dots,x_{C_k},\varphi_{q'}$ ;
- if  $q=q_1\bowtie q_2$ , then  $\varphi_q=\varphi_{q_1}\wedge\varphi_{q_2}$ ; and
- if  $q = q_1 q_2$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q_1} \wedge \neg \varphi_{q_2}$ .

$$\varphi_{\pi_A(R)}[x_A] = \exists y_B. \ R(x_A, y_B)[x_A]$$

**Exercise.** Let R[A, B] be a table. Express the following RA<sub>named</sub> query as a DI<sub>unnamed</sub> query:

$$q[A,B] = (\pi_A(R) \bowtie \pi_B(R)) - (R \bowtie (\delta_{B,A\to A,B}(R)))$$

#### Solution.

## Definition (Lecture 2, Slide 19/20, excerpt)

- If q = R with signature  $R[A_1, \ldots, A_n]$ , then  $\varphi_q = R(x_{A_1}, \ldots, x_{A_n})[x_{A_1}, \ldots, x_{A_n}]$ ;
- if  $q = \delta_{B_1, \dots, B_n \to A_1, \dots, A_n} q'$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists y_{B_1}, \dots, y_{B_n}$ .  $(x_{A_1} \approx y_{B_1}) \wedge \dots \wedge (x_{A_n} \approx y_{B_n}) \wedge \varphi_{q'} [y_{A_1}, \dots, y_{A_n}]$ ; Assumption:  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  in  $\delta_{B_1, \dots, B_n \to A_1, \dots, A_n}$  are written in attribute order;  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  may be in arbitrary order.
- if  $q = \pi_{A_1,\dots,A_n}(q')$  for a subquery  $q'[B_1,\dots,B_m]$  with  $\{B_1,\dots,B_m\} = \{A_1,\dots,A_n\} \cup \{C_1,\dots,C_k\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists x_{C_1},\dots,x_{C_k},\varphi_{q'}$ ;
- if  $q=q_1\bowtie q_2$ , then  $\varphi_q=\varphi_{q_1}\wedge\varphi_{q_2}$ ; and
- if  $q = q_1 q_2$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q_1} \wedge \neg \varphi_{q_2}$ .

$$\varphi_{\pi_{A}(R)}[x_{A}] = \exists y_{B}. \ R(x_{A}, y_{B})[x_{A}]$$
  $\varphi_{\pi_{B}(R)}[x_{B}] = \exists y_{A}. \ R(y_{A}, x_{B})[x_{B}]$ 

**Exercise.** Let R[A, B] be a table. Express the following RA<sub>named</sub> query as a DI<sub>unnamed</sub> query:

$$q[A,B] = (\pi_A(R) \bowtie \pi_B(R)) - (R \bowtie (\delta_{B,A\to A,B}(R)))$$

#### Solution.

## Definition (Lecture 2, Slide 19/20, excerpt)

- If q = R with signature  $R[A_1, \ldots, A_n]$ , then  $\varphi_q = R(x_{A_1}, \ldots, x_{A_n})[x_{A_1}, \ldots, x_{A_n}]$ ;
- if  $q = \delta_{B_1, \dots, B_n \to A_1, \dots, A_n} q'$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists y_{B_1}, \dots, y_{B_n}$ .  $(x_{A_1} \approx y_{B_1}) \wedge \dots \wedge (x_{A_n} \approx y_{B_n}) \wedge \varphi_{q'} [y_{A_1}, \dots, y_{A_n}]$ ; Assumption:  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  in  $\delta_{B_1, \dots, B_n \to A_1, \dots, A_n}$  are written in attribute order;  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  may be in arbitrary order.
- if  $q = \pi_{A_1,\dots,A_n}(q')$  for a subquery  $q'[B_1,\dots,B_m]$  with  $\{B_1,\dots,B_m\} = \{A_1,\dots,A_n\} \cup \{C_1,\dots,C_k\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists x_{C_1},\dots,x_{C_k},\varphi_{q'}$ ;
- if  $q=q_1\bowtie q_2$ , then  $\varphi_q=\varphi_{q_1}\wedge\varphi_{q_2}$ ; and
- if  $q = q_1 q_2$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q_1} \wedge \neg \varphi_{q_2}$ .

$$\varphi_{\pi_A(R)}[x_A] = \exists y_B. \ R(x_A, y_B)[x_A]$$
 
$$\varphi_{\pi_B(R)}[x_B] = \exists y_A. \ R(y_A, x_B)[x_B]$$
 
$$\varphi_{\pi_B(R)}[x_B] = R(x_A, x_B)[x_A, x_B]$$

**Exercise.** Let R[A, B] be a table. Express the following RA<sub>named</sub> query as a DI<sub>unnamed</sub> query:

$$q[A,B] = (\pi_A(R) \bowtie \pi_B(R)) - (R \bowtie (\delta_{B,A\to A,B}(R)))$$

#### Solution.

## Definition (Lecture 2, Slide 19/20, excerpt)

- If q = R with signature  $R[A_1, \ldots, A_n]$ , then  $\varphi_q = R(x_{A_1}, \ldots, x_{A_n})[x_{A_1}, \ldots, x_{A_n}]$ ;
- if  $q = \delta_{B_1, \dots, B_n \to A_1, \dots, A_n} q'$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists y_{B_1}, \dots, y_{B_n}$ .  $(x_{A_1} \approx y_{B_1}) \wedge \dots \wedge (x_{A_n} \approx y_{B_n}) \wedge \varphi_{q'} [y_{A_1}, \dots, y_{A_n}]$ ; Assumption:  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  in  $\delta_{B_1, \dots, B_n \to A_1, \dots, A_n}$  are written in attribute order;  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  may be in arbitrary order.
- if  $q = \pi_{A_1,\dots,A_n}(q')$  for a subquery  $q'[B_1,\dots,B_m]$  with  $\{B_1,\dots,B_m\} = \{A_1,\dots,A_n\} \cup \{C_1,\dots,C_k\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists x_{C_1},\dots,x_{C_k},\varphi_{q'}$ ;
- if  $q=q_1\bowtie q_2$ , then  $\varphi_q=\varphi_{q_1}\wedge\varphi_{q_2}$ ; and
- if  $q = q_1 q_2$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q_1} \wedge \neg \varphi_{q_2}$ .

**Exercise.** Let R[A, B] be a table. Express the following  $RA_{named}$  query as a  $DI_{unnamed}$  query:

$$q[A,B] = (\pi_A(R) \bowtie \pi_B(R)) - (R \bowtie (\delta_{B,A\to A,B}(R)))$$

#### Solution.

# Definition (Lecture 2, Slide 19/20, excerpt)

For an RA query  $q[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ , let  $\varphi_a[x_{a_1}, \ldots, x_{a_n}]$  be the DI query defined as follows:

- If q = R with signature  $R[A_1, \ldots, A_n]$ , then  $\varphi_q = R(x_{A_1}, \ldots, x_{A_n})[x_{A_1}, \ldots, x_{A_n}]$ ;
- if  $q = \delta_{B_1, \dots, B_n \to A_1, \dots, A_n} q'$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists y_{B_1}, \dots, y_{B_n}$ .  $(x_{A_1} \approx y_{B_1}) \land \dots \land (x_{A_n} \approx y_{B_n}) \land \varphi_{q'}[y_{A_1}, \dots, y_{A_n}]$ ; Assumption:  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  in  $\delta_{B_1, \dots, B_n \to A_1, \dots, A_n}$  are written in attribute order;  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  may be in arbitrary order.
- if  $q = \pi_{A_1,\dots,A_n}(q')$  for a subquery  $q'[B_1,\dots,B_m]$  with  $\{B_1,\dots,B_m\} = \{A_1,\dots,A_n\} \cup \{C_1,\dots,C_k\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists x_{C_1},\dots,x_{C_k},\varphi_{q'}$ ;
- if  $q=q_1\bowtie q_2$ , then  $\varphi_q=\varphi_{q_1}\wedge\varphi_{q_2}$ ; and
- if  $q=q_1-q_2$ , then  $\varphi_q=\varphi_{q_1}\wedge\neg\varphi_{q_2}$ .

$$\varphi_{\pi_{A}(R)}[x_{A}] = \exists y_{B}. R(x_{A}, y_{B})[x_{A}] \qquad \qquad \varphi_{\pi_{B}(R)}[x_{B}] = \exists y_{A}. R(y_{A}, x_{B})[x_{B}]$$

$$\varphi_{R}[x_{A}, x_{B}] = R(x_{A}, x_{B})[x_{A}, x_{B}] \qquad \qquad \varphi_{\pi_{A}(R) \bowtie \pi_{B}(R)}[x_{A}, x_{B}] = \varphi_{\pi_{A}(R)} \land \varphi_{\pi_{B}(R)}[x_{A}, x_{B}]$$

$$\varphi_{(\delta_{B,A \rightarrow A,B}(R))}[x_{A}, x_{B}] = \exists y_{B}, y_{A}. (x_{A} \approx y_{B}) \land (x_{B} \approx y_{A})$$

$$\land R(y_{A}, y_{B})[x_{A}, x_{B}]$$

**Exercise.** Let R[A, B] be a table. Express the following  $RA_{named}$  query as a  $DI_{unnamed}$  query:

$$q[A,B] = (\pi_A(R) \bowtie \pi_B(R)) - (R \bowtie (\delta_{B,A\to A,B}(R)))$$

#### Solution.

# Definition (Lecture 2, Slide 19/20, excerpt)

For an RA query  $q[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ , let  $\varphi_a[x_{a_1}, \ldots, x_{a_n}]$  be the DI query defined as follows:

- If q = R with signature  $R[A_1, \ldots, A_n]$ , then  $\varphi_q = R(x_{A_1}, \ldots, x_{A_n})[x_{A_1}, \ldots, x_{A_n}]$ ;
- if  $q = \delta_{B_1, \dots, B_n \to A_1, \dots, A_n} q'$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists y_{B_1}, \dots, y_{B_n}$ .  $(x_{A_1} \approx y_{B_1}) \land \dots \land (x_{A_n} \approx y_{B_n}) \land \varphi_{q'}[y_{A_1}, \dots, y_{A_n}]$ ; Assumption:  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  in  $\delta_{B_1, \dots, B_n \to A_1, \dots, A_n}$  are written in attribute order;  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  may be in arbitrary order.
- if  $q = \pi_{A_1,\dots,A_n}(q')$  for a subquery  $q'[B_1,\dots,B_m]$  with  $\{B_1,\dots,B_m\} = \{A_1,\dots,A_n\} \cup \{C_1,\dots,C_k\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists x_{C_1},\dots,x_{C_k},\varphi_{q'}$ ;
- if  $q=q_1\bowtie q_2$ , then  $\varphi_q=\varphi_{q_1}\wedge\varphi_{q_2}$ ; and
- if  $q = q_1 q_2$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q_1} \wedge \neg \varphi_{q_2}$ .

$$\varphi_{\pi_{A}(R)}[x_{A}] = \exists y_{B}. R(x_{A}, y_{B})[x_{A}] \qquad \qquad \varphi_{\pi_{B}(R)}[x_{B}] = \exists y_{A}. R(y_{A}, x_{B})[x_{B}]$$

$$\varphi_{R}[x_{A}, x_{B}] = R(x_{A}, x_{B})[x_{A}, x_{B}] \qquad \qquad \varphi_{\pi_{A}(R) \bowtie \pi_{B}(R)}[x_{A}, x_{B}] = \varphi_{\pi_{A}(R)} \land \varphi_{\pi_{B}(R)}[x_{A}, x_{B}]$$

$$\varphi(\delta_{B,A \rightarrow A,B}(R))[x_{A}, x_{B}] = \exists y_{B}, y_{A}. (x_{A} \approx y_{B}) \land (x_{B} \approx y_{A}) \qquad \qquad \varphi_{R \bowtie (\delta_{B,A \rightarrow A,B}(R))}[x_{A}, x_{B}] = \varphi_{R} \land \varphi(\delta_{B,A \rightarrow A,B}(R))[x_{A}, x_{B}]$$

$$\uparrow R(y_{A}, y_{B})[x_{A}, x_{B}]$$

**Exercise.** Let R[A, B] be a table. Express the following  $RA_{named}$  query as a  $DI_{unnamed}$  query:

$$q[A,B] = (\pi_A(R) \bowtie \pi_B(R)) - (R \bowtie (\delta_{B,A\to A,B}(R)))$$

Solution.

# Definition (Lecture 2, Slide 19/20, excerpt)

For an RA query  $q[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ , let  $\varphi_q[x_{a_1}, \ldots, x_{a_n}]$  be the DI query defined as follows:

- If q = R with signature  $R[A_1, \ldots, A_n]$ , then  $\varphi_q = R(x_{A_1}, \ldots, x_{A_n})[x_{A_1}, \ldots, x_{A_n}]$ ;
- if  $q = \delta_{B_1, \dots, B_n \to A_1, \dots, A_n} q'$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists y_{B_1}, \dots, y_{B_n}$ .  $(x_{A_1} \approx y_{B_1}) \land \dots \land (x_{A_n} \approx y_{B_n}) \land \varphi_{q'}[y_{A_1}, \dots, y_{A_n}]$ ; Assumption:  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  in  $\delta_{B_1, \dots, B_n \to A_1, \dots, A_n}$  are written in attribute order;  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  may be in arbitrary order.
- if  $q = \pi_{A_1,\dots,A_n}(q')$  for a subquery  $q'[B_1,\dots,B_m]$  with  $\{B_1,\dots,B_m\} = \{A_1,\dots,A_n\} \cup \{C_1,\dots,C_k\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists x_{C_1},\dots,x_{C_k},\varphi_{q'}$ ;
- if  $q=q_1\bowtie q_2$ , then  $\varphi_q=\varphi_{q_1}\wedge\varphi_{q_2}$ ; and
- if  $q=q_1-q_2$ , then  $\varphi_q=\varphi_{q_1}\wedge\neg\varphi_{q_2}$ .

$$\varphi_{\pi_{A}(R)}[x_{A}] = \exists y_{B}. R(x_{A}, y_{B})[x_{A}] \qquad \qquad \varphi_{\pi_{B}(R)}[x_{B}] = \exists y_{A}. R(y_{A}, x_{B})[x_{B}]$$

$$\varphi_{R}[x_{A}, x_{B}] = R(x_{A}, x_{B})[x_{A}, x_{B}] \qquad \qquad \varphi_{\pi_{A}(R) \bowtie \pi_{B}(R)}[x_{A}, x_{B}] = \varphi_{\pi_{A}(R)} \land \varphi_{\pi_{B}(R)}[x_{A}, x_{B}]$$

$$\varphi_{(\delta_{B,A \rightarrow A,B}(R))}[x_{A}, x_{B}] = \exists y_{B}, y_{A}. (x_{A} \approx y_{B}) \land (x_{B} \approx y_{A}) \qquad \qquad \varphi_{R \bowtie (\delta_{B,A \rightarrow A,B}(R))}[x_{A}, x_{B}] = \varphi_{R} \land \varphi_{(\delta_{B,A \rightarrow A,B}(R))}[x_{A}, x_{B}]$$

$$\varphi_{R}[x_{A}, x_{B}] = \varphi_{R} \land \varphi_{(\delta_{B,A \rightarrow A,B}(R))}[x_{A}, x_{B}]$$

$$\varphi_{R}[x_{A}, x_{B}] = \varphi_{R} \land \varphi_{(\delta_{B,A \rightarrow A,B}(R))}[x_{A}, x_{B}]$$

$$\varphi_{R}[x_{A}, x_{B}] = \varphi_{R} \land \varphi_{(\delta_{B,A \rightarrow A,B}(R))}[x_{A}, x_{B}]$$

$$\varphi_{R}[x_{A}, x_{B}] = \varphi_{R} \land \varphi_{(\delta_{B,A \rightarrow A,B}(R))}[x_{A}, x_{B}]$$

$$\varphi_{R}[x_{A}, x_{B}] = \varphi_{R} \land \varphi_{(\delta_{B,A \rightarrow A,B}(R))}[x_{A}, x_{B}]$$

**Exercise.** It was stated in the lecture (Lecture 2, slide 17) that query mappings under named perspective can be translated into query mappings under unnamed perspective. Specify this translation.

**Exercise.** It was stated in the lecture (Lecture 2, slide 17) that query mappings under named perspective can be translated into query mappings under unnamed perspective. Specify this translation. **Solution.** 

**Exercise.** It was stated in the lecture (Lecture 2, slide 17) that query mappings under named perspective can be translated into query mappings under unnamed perspective. Specify this translation. **Solution.** 

Let  $\mathbb{D}_n$  and  $\mathbb{D}_u$  be the sets of all database instances over a named and an unnamed perspective, respectively, and let  $\mathbb{T}_n$  and  $\mathbb{T}_u$  be the sets of all database tables over a named and an unnamed perspective.

**Exercise.** It was stated in the lecture (Lecture 2, slide 17) that query mappings under named perspective can be translated into query mappings under unnamed perspective. Specify this translation. **Solution.** 

- Let  $\mathbb{D}_n$  and  $\mathbb{D}_u$  be the sets of all database instances over a named and an unnamed perspective, respectively, and let  $\mathbb{T}_n$  and  $\mathbb{T}_u$  be the sets of all database tables over a named and an unnamed perspective.
- ▶ Consider a query mapping  $M[q] : \mathbb{D}_n \to \mathbb{T}_n$ .

**Exercise.** It was stated in the lecture (Lecture 2, slide 17) that query mappings under named perspective can be translated into query mappings under unnamed perspective. Specify this translation. **Solution.** 

- Let  $\mathbb{D}_n$  and  $\mathbb{D}_u$  be the sets of all database instances over a named and an unnamed perspective, respectively, and let  $\mathbb{T}_n$  and  $\mathbb{T}_u$  be the sets of all database tables over a named and an unnamed perspective.
- ▶ Consider a query mapping  $M[q] : \mathbb{D}_n \to \mathbb{T}_n$ .
- ▶ Define  $v : \mathbb{T}_n \to \mathbb{T}_u$  as the function taking named database tables  $R[A_1, \dots, A_n]$  to unnamed database tables  $R^{\mathcal{I}}$ , such that attribute  $A_i$  is mapped to column i:

$$\nu(R^{\mathcal{I}}) = \left\{ \langle r(A_1), \dots, r(A_n) \rangle \,\middle|\, (r : \{A_1, \dots, A_n\} \to \mathsf{dom}) \in R^{\mathcal{I}} \right\}$$

**Exercise.** It was stated in the lecture (Lecture 2, slide 17) that query mappings under named perspective can be translated into query mappings under unnamed perspective. Specify this translation. **Solution.** 

- Let  $\mathbb{D}_n$  and  $\mathbb{D}_u$  be the sets of all database instances over a named and an unnamed perspective, respectively, and let  $\mathbb{T}_n$  and  $\mathbb{T}_u$  be the sets of all database tables over a named and an unnamed perspective.
- ▶ Consider a query mapping  $M[q] : \mathbb{D}_n \to \mathbb{T}_n$ .
- ▶ Define  $\nu : \mathbb{T}_n \to \mathbb{T}_u$  as the function taking named database tables  $R[A_1, \dots, A_n]$  to unnamed database tables  $R^{\mathcal{J}}$ , such that attribute  $A_i$  is mapped to column i:

$$\nu(R^{\mathcal{I}}) = \left\{ \langle r(A_1), \dots, r(A_n) \rangle \middle| (r : \{A_1, \dots, A_n\} \to \mathsf{dom}) \in R^{\mathcal{I}} \right\}$$

▶ Conversely, let  $\mu : \mathbb{D}_u \to \mathbb{D}_n$  be the function taking unnamed database instances  $\mathcal{J}$  to named database instances I, by mapping each table  $R^{\mathcal{J}}$  to a named table taking attribute  $A_i$  from column i:

$$\mu(\mathcal{J}) = \left\{ \left\{ \left\{ A_1 \mapsto a_1, \dots, A_n \mapsto a_n \right\} \mid \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle \in R^{\mathcal{J}} \right\} \middle| R \in \mathcal{J} \right\}$$

**Exercise.** It was stated in the lecture (Lecture 2, slide 17) that query mappings under named perspective can be translated into query mappings under unnamed perspective. Specify this translation. **Solution.** 

- Let  $\mathbb{D}_n$  and  $\mathbb{D}_u$  be the sets of all database instances over a named and an unnamed perspective, respectively, and let  $\mathbb{T}_n$  and  $\mathbb{T}_u$  be the sets of all database tables over a named and an unnamed perspective.
- ▶ Consider a query mapping  $M[q] : \mathbb{D}_n \to \mathbb{T}_n$ .
- ▶ Define  $\nu : \mathbb{T}_n \to \mathbb{T}_u$  as the function taking named database tables  $R[A_1, \dots, A_n]$  to unnamed database tables  $R^{\mathcal{J}}$ , such that attribute  $A_i$  is mapped to column i:

$$\nu(R^{\mathcal{I}}) = \left\{ \langle r(A_1), \dots, r(A_n) \rangle \middle| (r : \{A_1, \dots, A_n\} \to \mathsf{dom}) \in R^{\mathcal{I}} \right\}$$

▶ Conversely, let  $\mu : \mathbb{D}_u \to \mathbb{D}_n$  be the function taking unnamed database instances  $\mathcal{J}$  to named database instances I, by mapping each table  $R^{\mathcal{J}}$  to a named table taking attribute  $A_i$  from column i:

$$\mu(\mathcal{J}) = \left\{ \left\{ \left\{ A_1 \mapsto a_1, \dots, A_n \mapsto a_n \right\} \mid \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle \in R^{\mathcal{J}} \right\} \middle| R \in \mathcal{J} \right\}$$

▶ Then  $\nu \circ M[q] \circ \mu : \mathbb{D}_{\mu} \to \mathbb{T}_{\mu}$  is the required translation of  $M[q] : \mathbb{D}_{n} \to \mathbb{T}_{n}$ .

$$\mathbb{D}_{n} \xrightarrow{M[q]} \mathbb{T}_{n}$$

$$\downarrow^{\mu} \qquad \downarrow^{\nu}$$

$$\mathbb{D}_{u} \xrightarrow{\nu \circ M[q] \circ \mu} \mathbb{T}_{u}$$

**Exercise.** Complete the proof that  $RA_{named} \sqsubseteq DI_{unnamed}$  by showing that the results of the transformation are (a) domain independent and (b) equivalent to the input query. In each case, show that the claimed property holds true for each case of the recursive construction under the assumption (induction hypothesis) that it has been established for all subqueries. Use the mappings from the previous exercise to compare named and unnamed results.

**Exercise.** Complete the proof that  $RA_{named} \sqsubseteq DI_{unnamed}$  by showing that the results of the transformation are (a) domain independent and (b) equivalent to the input query. In each case, show that the claimed property holds true for each case of the recursive construction under the assumption (induction hypothesis) that it has been established for all subqueries. Use the mappings from the previous exercise to compare named and unnamed results. **Solution.** 

**Exercise.** Complete the proof that  $RA_{named} \sqsubseteq DI_{unnamed}$  by showing that the results of the transformation are (a) domain independent and (b) equivalent to the input query. In each case, show that the claimed property holds true for each case of the recursive construction under the assumption (induction hypothesis) that it has been established for all subqueries. Use the mappings from the previous exercise to compare named and unnamed results. **Solution.** We show domain independence and equivalence by induction on the structure of the RA guery a.

**Exercise.** Complete the proof that  $RA_{named} \sqsubseteq DI_{unnamed}$  by showing that the results of the transformation are (a) domain independent and (b) equivalent to the input query. In each case, show that the claimed property holds true for each case of the recursive construction under the assumption (induction hypothesis) that it has been established for all subqueries. Use the mappings from the previous exercise to compare named and unnamed results. **Solution.** We show domain independence and equivalence by induction on the structure of the RA query q.

▶ If q = R with signature  $R[a_1, ..., a_n]$ , then  $\varphi_q = R(x_{a_1}, ..., x_{a_n})$ .

**Exercise.** Complete the proof that  $RA_{named} \sqsubseteq DI_{unnamed}$  by showing that the results of the transformation are (a) domain independent and (b) equivalent to the input query. In each case, show that the claimed property holds true for each case of the recursive construction under the assumption (induction hypothesis) that it has been established for all subqueries. Use the mappings from the previous exercise to compare named and unnamed results. **Solution.** We show domain independence and equivalence by induction on the structure of the RA query q.

▶ If q = R with signature  $R[a_1, ..., a_n]$ , then  $\varphi_q = R(x_{a_1}, ..., x_{a_n})$ . DI, since the values of  $x_{a_i}$  belong to  $adom(\{R^I\}) \subseteq adom(I)$ .

**Exercise.** Complete the proof that  $RA_{named} \subseteq DI_{unnamed}$  by showing that the results of the transformation are (a) *domain independent* and (b) equivalent to the input query. In each case, show that the claimed property holds true for each case of the recursive construction under the assumption (induction hypothesis) that it has been established for all subqueries. Use the mappings from the previous exercise to compare named and unnamed results. **Solution.** We show domain independence and equivalence by induction on the structure of the RA query a.

If q = R with signature  $R[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ , then  $\varphi_q = R(x_{a_1}, \ldots, x_{a_n})$ . DI, since the values of  $x_{a_i}$  belong to  $adom(\{R^I\}) \subseteq adom(I)$ . Equivalent, since  $I \models R(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$  iff  $\{a_1 \mapsto c_1, \ldots, a_n \mapsto c_n\} \in M[q](I)$ .

**Exercise.** Complete the proof that  $RA_{named} \sqsubseteq DI_{unnamed}$  by showing that the results of the transformation are (a) domain independent and (b) equivalent to the input query. In each case, show that the claimed property holds true for each case of the recursive construction under the assumption (induction hypothesis) that it has been established for all subqueries. Use the mappings from the previous exercise to compare named and unnamed results.

- ▶ If q = R with signature  $R[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ , then  $\varphi_q = R(x_{a_1}, \ldots, x_{a_n})$ . DI, since the values of  $x_{a_i}$  belong to  $adom(\{R^I\}) \subseteq adom(I)$ . Equivalent, since  $I \models R(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$  iff  $\{a_1 \mapsto c_1, \ldots, a_n \mapsto c_n\} \in M[q](I)$ .
- ▶ If  $q = \{\{a_1 \mapsto c\}\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = (x_{a_1} \approx c)$ .

**Exercise.** Complete the proof that  $RA_{named} \sqsubseteq DI_{unnamed}$  by showing that the results of the transformation are (a) domain independent and (b) equivalent to the input query. In each case, show that the claimed property holds true for each case of the recursive construction under the assumption (induction hypothesis) that it has been established for all subqueries. Use the mappings from the previous exercise to compare named and unnamed results.

- ▶ If q = R with signature  $R[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ , then  $\varphi_q = R(x_{a_1}, \ldots, x_{a_n})$ . DI, since the values of  $x_{a_i}$  belong to  $adom(\{R^I\}) \subseteq adom(I)$ . Equivalent, since  $I \models R(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$  iff  $\{a_1 \mapsto c_1, \ldots, a_n \mapsto c_n\} \in M[q](I)$ .
- ▶ If  $q = \{\{a_1 \mapsto c\}\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = (x_{a_1} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in \mathbf{adom}(q)$ .

**Exercise.** Complete the proof that  $RA_{named} \sqsubseteq DI_{unnamed}$  by showing that the results of the transformation are (a) domain independent and (b) equivalent to the input query. In each case, show that the claimed property holds true for each case of the recursive construction under the assumption (induction hypothesis) that it has been established for all subqueries. Use the mappings from the previous exercise to compare named and unnamed results.

- ▶ If q = R with signature  $R[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ , then  $\varphi_q = R(x_{a_1}, \ldots, x_{a_n})$ . DI, since the values of  $x_{a_i}$  belong to  $adom(\{R^I\}) \subseteq adom(I)$ . Equivalent, since  $I \models R(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$  iff  $\{a_1 \mapsto c_1, \ldots, a_n \mapsto c_n\} \in M[q](I)$ .
- ▶ If  $q = \{\{a_1 \mapsto c\}\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = (x_{a_1} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in \mathbf{adom}(q)$ . Equivalent, since  $\{\langle c \rangle\}$  is the only result.

**Exercise.** Complete the proof that  $RA_{named} \sqsubseteq DI_{unnamed}$  by showing that the results of the transformation are (a) domain independent and (b) equivalent to the input query. In each case, show that the claimed property holds true for each case of the recursive construction under the assumption (induction hypothesis) that it has been established for all subqueries. Use the mappings from the previous exercise to compare named and unnamed results.

- ▶ If q = R with signature  $R[a_1, ..., a_n]$ , then  $\varphi_q = R(x_{a_1}, ..., x_{a_n})$ . DI, since the values of  $x_{a_i}$  belong to  $adom(\{R^I\}) \subseteq adom(I)$ . Equivalent, since  $I \models R(c_1, ..., c_n)$  iff  $\{a_1 \mapsto c_1, ..., a_n \mapsto c_n\} \in M[q](I)$ .
- ▶ If  $q = \{\{a_1 \mapsto c\}\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = (x_{a_1} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in adom(q)$ . Equivalent, since  $\{\langle c \rangle\}$  is the only result.
- If  $q = \sigma_{a_i = c}(q')$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q'} \wedge (x_{a_i} \approx c)$ .

**Exercise.** Complete the proof that  $RA_{named} \sqsubseteq DI_{unnamed}$  by showing that the results of the transformation are (a) domain independent and (b) equivalent to the input query. In each case, show that the claimed property holds true for each case of the recursive construction under the assumption (induction hypothesis) that it has been established for all subqueries. Use the mappings from the previous exercise to compare named and unnamed results.

- ▶ If q = R with signature  $R[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ , then  $\varphi_q = R(x_{a_1}, \ldots, x_{a_n})$ . DI, since the values of  $x_{a_i}$  belong to  $adom(\{R^I\}) \subseteq adom(I)$ . Equivalent, since  $I \models R(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$  iff  $\{a_1 \mapsto c_1, \ldots, a_n \mapsto c_n\} \in M[q](I)$ .
- ▶ If  $q = \{\{a_1 \mapsto c\}\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = (x_{a_1} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in \mathbf{adom}(q)$ . Equivalent, since  $\{\langle c \rangle\}$  is the only result.
- ▶ If  $q = \sigma_{a_i = c}(q')$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q'} \land (x_{a_i} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in \mathbf{adom}(q)$ , and  $x_{a_i}$  occurs in  $\varphi_{q'}$ , which is DI by the induction hypotheses.

**Exercise.** Complete the proof that  $RA_{named} \sqsubseteq DI_{unnamed}$  by showing that the results of the transformation are (a) domain independent and (b) equivalent to the input query. In each case, show that the claimed property holds true for each case of the recursive construction under the assumption (induction hypothesis) that it has been established for all subqueries. Use the mappings from the previous exercise to compare named and unnamed results.

- ▶ If q = R with signature  $R[a_1, ..., a_n]$ , then  $\varphi_q = R(x_{a_1}, ..., x_{a_n})$ . DI, since the values of  $x_{a_i}$  belong to  $adom(\{R^I\}) \subseteq adom(I)$ . Equivalent, since  $I \models R(c_1, ..., c_n)$  iff  $\{a_1 \mapsto c_1, ..., a_n \mapsto c_n\} \in M[q](I)$ .
- ▶ If  $q = \{\{a_1 \mapsto c\}\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = (x_{a_1} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in \mathbf{adom}(q)$ . Equivalent, since  $\{\langle c \rangle\}$  is the only result.
- If  $q = \sigma_{a_i = c}(q')$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q'} \wedge (x_{a_i} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in \mathbf{adom}(q)$ , and  $x_{a_i}$  occurs in  $\varphi_{q'}$ , which is DI by the induction hypotheses. Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent and  $x_{a_i} = c$  for all answers.

**Exercise.** Complete the proof that  $RA_{named} \sqsubseteq DI_{unnamed}$  by showing that the results of the transformation are (a) domain independent and (b) equivalent to the input query. In each case, show that the claimed property holds true for each case of the recursive construction under the assumption (induction hypothesis) that it has been established for all subqueries. Use the mappings from the previous exercise to compare named and unnamed results.

- ▶ If q = R with signature  $R[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ , then  $\varphi_q = R(x_{a_1}, \ldots, x_{a_n})$ . DI, since the values of  $x_{a_i}$  belong to  $adom(\{R^I\}) \subseteq adom(I)$ . Equivalent, since  $I \models R(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$  iff  $\{a_1 \mapsto c_1, \ldots, a_n \mapsto c_n\} \in M[q](I)$ .
- ▶ If  $q = \{\{a_1 \mapsto c\}\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = (x_{a_1} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in \mathbf{adom}(q)$ . Equivalent, since  $\{\langle c \rangle\}$  is the only result.
- If  $q = \sigma_{a_i = c}(q')$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q'} \land (x_{a_i} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in \mathbf{adom}(q)$ , and  $x_{a_i}$  occurs in  $\varphi_{q'}$ , which is DI by the induction hypotheses. Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent and  $x_{a_i} = c$  for all answers.
- If  $q = \sigma_{a_i = a_j}(q')$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q'} \wedge (x_{a_i} \approx x_{a_j})$ .

**Exercise.** Complete the proof that  $RA_{named} \sqsubseteq DI_{unnamed}$  by showing that the results of the transformation are (a) domain independent and (b) equivalent to the input query. In each case, show that the claimed property holds true for each case of the recursive construction under the assumption (induction hypothesis) that it has been established for all subqueries. Use the mappings from the previous exercise to compare named and unnamed results.

- ▶ If q = R with signature  $R[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ , then  $\varphi_q = R(x_{a_1}, \ldots, x_{a_n})$ . DI, since the values of  $x_{a_i}$  belong to  $adom(\{R^I\}) \subseteq adom(I)$ . Equivalent, since  $I \models R(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$  iff  $\{a_1 \mapsto c_1, \ldots, a_n \mapsto c_n\} \in M[q](I)$ .
- ▶ If  $q = \{\{a_1 \mapsto c\}\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = (x_{a_1} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in \mathbf{adom}(q)$ . Equivalent, since  $\{\langle c \rangle\}$  is the only result.
- ▶ If  $q = \sigma_{a_i = c}(q')$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q'} \land (x_{a_i} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in \mathbf{adom}(q)$ , and  $x_{a_i}$  occurs in  $\varphi_{q'}$ , which is DI by the induction hypotheses. Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent and  $x_{a_i} = c$  for all answers.
- ▶ If  $q = \sigma_{a_i = a_j}(q')$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q'} \wedge (x_{a_i} \approx x_{a_j})$ . Analogous.

**Exercise.** Complete the proof that  $RA_{named} \sqsubseteq DI_{unnamed}$  by showing that the results of the transformation are (a) domain independent and (b) equivalent to the input query. In each case, show that the claimed property holds true for each case of the recursive construction under the assumption (induction hypothesis) that it has been established for all subqueries. Use the mappings from the previous exercise to compare named and unnamed results.

- ▶ If q = R with signature  $R[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ , then  $\varphi_q = R(x_{a_1}, \ldots, x_{a_n})$ . DI, since the values of  $x_{a_i}$  belong to  $adom(\{R^I\}) \subseteq adom(I)$ . Equivalent, since  $I \models R(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$  iff  $\{a_1 \mapsto c_1, \ldots, a_n \mapsto c_n\} \in M[q](I)$ .
- ▶ If  $q = \{\{a_1 \mapsto c\}\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = (x_{a_1} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in \mathbf{adom}(q)$ . Equivalent, since  $\{\langle c \rangle\}$  is the only result.
- If  $q = \sigma_{a_i = c}(q')$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q'} \land (x_{a_i} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in \mathbf{adom}(q)$ , and  $x_{a_i}$  occurs in  $\varphi_{q'}$ , which is DI by the induction hypotheses. Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent and  $x_{a_i} = c$  for all answers.
- ▶ If  $q = \sigma_{a_i = a_i}(q')$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q'} \wedge (x_{a_i} \approx x_{a_i})$ . Analogous.
- $If q = \delta_{b_1, \dots, b_n \to a_1, \dots, a_n} q', \text{ then } \varphi_q = \exists y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}. (x_{a_1} \approx y_{b_1}) \land \dots \land (x_{a_n} \approx y_{b_n}) \land \varphi_{q'}[y_{B_1}, \dots, y_{B_n}].$

**Exercise.** Complete the proof that  $RA_{named} \sqsubseteq DI_{unnamed}$  by showing that the results of the transformation are (a) domain independent and (b) equivalent to the input query. In each case, show that the claimed property holds true for each case of the recursive construction under the assumption (induction hypothesis) that it has been established for all subqueries. Use the mappings from the previous exercise to compare named and unnamed results.

- ▶ If q = R with signature  $R[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ , then  $\varphi_q = R(x_{a_1}, \ldots, x_{a_n})$ . DI, since the values of  $x_{a_i}$  belong to  $adom(\{R^I\}) \subseteq adom(I)$ . Equivalent, since  $I \models R(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$  iff  $\{a_1 \mapsto c_1, \ldots, a_n \mapsto c_n\} \in M[q](I)$ .
- ▶ If  $q = \{\{a_1 \mapsto c\}\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = (x_{a_1} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in adom(q)$ . Equivalent, since  $\{\langle c \rangle\}$  is the only result.
- If  $q = \sigma_{a_i = c}(q')$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q'} \land (x_{a_i} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in \mathbf{adom}(q)$ , and  $x_{a_i}$  occurs in  $\varphi_{q'}$ , which is DI by the induction hypotheses. Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent and  $x_{a_i} = c$  for all answers.
- If  $q = \sigma_{a_i = a_j}(q')$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q'} \wedge (x_{a_i} \approx x_{a_j})$ . Analogous.
- ▶ If  $q = \delta_{b_1, \dots, b_n \to a_1, \dots, a_n} q'$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}$ .  $(x_{a_1} \approx y_{b_1}) \land \dots \land (x_{a_n} \approx y_{b_n}) \land \varphi_{q'}[y_{B_1}, \dots, y_{B_n}]$ . DI, since  $\{y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}\} = \{y_{B_1}, \dots, y_{B_n}\}$ , and  $\varphi_{q'}$  is DI by induction. Thus, the values of  $y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}$  are DI, which restrict the values of  $x_{a_1}, \dots, x_{a_n}$ .

**Exercise.** Complete the proof that  $RA_{named} \subseteq DI_{unnamed}$  by showing that the results of the transformation are (a) domain independent and (b) equivalent to the input query. In each case, show that the claimed property holds true for each case of the recursive construction under the assumption (induction hypothesis) that it has been established for all subqueries. Use the mappings from the previous exercise to compare named and unnamed results.

- ▶ If q = R with signature  $R[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ , then  $\varphi_q = R(x_{a_1}, \ldots, x_{a_n})$ . DI, since the values of  $x_{a_i}$  belong to  $adom(\{R^I\}) \subseteq adom(I)$ . Equivalent, since  $I \models R(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$  iff  $\{a_1 \mapsto c_1, \ldots, a_n \mapsto c_n\} \in M[q](I)$ .
- ▶ If  $q = \{\{a_1 \mapsto c\}\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = (x_{a_1} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in adom(q)$ . Equivalent, since  $\{\langle c \rangle\}$  is the only result.
- ▶ If  $q = \sigma_{a_i = c}(q')$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q'} \land (x_{a_i} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in \mathbf{adom}(q)$ , and  $x_{a_i}$  occurs in  $\varphi_{q'}$ , which is DI by the induction hypotheses. Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent and  $x_{a_i} = c$  for all answers.
- ▶ If  $q = \sigma_{a_i = a_i}(q')$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q'} \wedge (x_{a_i} \approx x_{a_i})$ . Analogous.
- If  $q = \delta_{b_1, \dots, b_n \to a_1, \dots, a_n} q'$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}$ .  $(x_{a_1} \approx y_{b_1}) \land \dots \land (x_{a_n} \approx y_{b_n}) \land \varphi_{q'}[y_{B_1}, \dots, y_{B_n}]$ . DI, since  $\{y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}\} = \{y_{B_1}, \dots, y_{B_n}\}$ , and  $\varphi_{q'}$  is DI by induction. Thus, the values of  $y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}$  are DI, which restrict the values of  $x_{a_1}, \dots, x_{a_n}$ . Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent by induction, and  $I \models \varphi_q(c_{a_1}, \dots, c_{a_n})$  iff  $I \models \varphi_{q'}(c_{B_1}, \dots, c_{B_n})$ .

**Exercise.** Complete the proof that  $RA_{named} \subseteq DI_{unnamed}$  by showing that the results of the transformation are (a) domain independent and (b) equivalent to the input query. In each case, show that the claimed property holds true for each case of the recursive construction under the assumption (induction hypothesis) that it has been established for all subqueries. Use the mappings from the previous exercise to compare named and unnamed results.

- ▶ If q = R with signature  $R[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ , then  $\varphi_q = R(x_{a_1}, \ldots, x_{a_n})$ . DI, since the values of  $x_{a_i}$  belong to  $adom(\{R^I\}) \subseteq adom(I)$ . Equivalent, since  $I \models R(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$  iff  $\{a_1 \mapsto c_1, \ldots, a_n \mapsto c_n\} \in M[q](I)$ .
- ▶ If  $q = \{\{a_1 \mapsto c\}\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = (x_{a_1} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in \mathbf{adom}(q)$ . Equivalent, since  $\{\langle c \rangle\}$  is the only result.
- If  $q = \sigma_{a_i = c}(q')$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q'} \land (x_{a_i} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in \mathbf{adom}(q)$ , and  $x_{a_i}$  occurs in  $\varphi_{q'}$ , which is DI by the induction hypotheses. Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent and  $x_{a_i} = c$  for all answers.
- If  $q = \sigma_{a_i = a_i}(q')$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q'} \wedge (x_{a_i} \approx x_{a_i})$ . Analogous.
- If  $q = \delta_{b_1, \dots, b_n \to a_1, \dots, a_n} q'$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}$ .  $(x_{a_1} \approx y_{b_1}) \land \dots \land (x_{a_n} \approx y_{b_n}) \land \varphi_{q'}[y_{B_1}, \dots, y_{B_n}]$ . DI, since  $\{y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}\} = \{y_{B_1}, \dots, y_{B_n}\}$ , and  $\varphi_{q'}$  is DI by induction. Thus, the values of  $y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}$  are DI, which restrict the values of  $x_{a_1}, \dots, x_{a_n}$ . Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent by induction, and  $I \models \varphi_q(c_{a_1}, \dots, c_{a_n})$  iff  $I \models \varphi_{q'}(c_{B_1}, \dots, c_{B_n})$ .
- If  $q = \pi_{a_1, \dots, a_n}(q')$  for a subquery  $q'[b_1, \dots, b_m]$  with  $\{b_1, \dots, b_m\} = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \cup \{c_1, \dots, c_k\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists x_{c_1}, \dots, x_{c_k}, \varphi_{q'}$ .

**Exercise.** Complete the proof that  $RA_{named} \subseteq DI_{unnamed}$  by showing that the results of the transformation are (a) domain independent and (b) equivalent to the input query. In each case, show that the claimed property holds true for each case of the recursive construction under the assumption (induction hypothesis) that it has been established for all subqueries. Use the mappings from the previous exercise to compare named and unnamed results.

- ▶ If q = R with signature  $R[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ , then  $\varphi_q = R(x_{a_1}, \ldots, x_{a_n})$ . DI, since the values of  $x_{a_i}$  belong to  $adom(\{R^I\}) \subseteq adom(I)$ . Equivalent, since  $I \models R(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$  iff  $\{a_1 \mapsto c_1, \ldots, a_n \mapsto c_n\} \in M[q](I)$ .
- ▶ If  $q = \{\{a_1 \mapsto c\}\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = (x_{a_1} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in \mathbf{adom}(q)$ . Equivalent, since  $\{\langle c \rangle\}$  is the only result.
- ▶ If  $q = \sigma_{a_i = c}(q')$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q'} \land (x_{a_i} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in \mathbf{adom}(q)$ , and  $x_{a_i}$  occurs in  $\varphi_{q'}$ , which is DI by the induction hypotheses. Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent and  $x_{a_i} = c$  for all answers.
- If  $q = \sigma_{a_i = a_i}(q')$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q'} \wedge (x_{a_i} \approx x_{a_i})$ . Analogous.
- ▶ If  $q = \delta_{b_1, \dots, b_n \to a_1, \dots, a_n} q'$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}$ .  $(x_{a_1} \approx y_{b_1}) \land \dots \land (x_{a_n} \approx y_{b_n}) \land \varphi_{q'}[y_{B_1}, \dots, y_{B_n}]$ . DI, since  $\{y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}\} = \{y_{B_1}, \dots, y_{B_n}\}$ , and  $\varphi_{q'}$  is DI by induction. Thus, the values of  $y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}$  are DI, which restrict the values of  $x_{a_1}, \dots, x_{a_n}$ . Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent by induction, and  $I \models \varphi_q(c_{a_1}, \dots, c_{a_n})$  iff  $I \models \varphi_{q'}(c_{B_1}, \dots, c_{B_n})$ .
- If  $q = \pi_{a_1, \dots, a_n}(q')$  for a subquery  $q'[b_1, \dots, b_m]$  with  $\{b_1, \dots, b_m\} = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \cup \{c_1, \dots, c_k\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists x_{c_1}, \dots, x_{c_k}, \varphi_{q'}$ . DI, since all  $x_{c_i}$  occur in  $\varphi_{q'}$ , which is DI.

**Exercise.** Complete the proof that  $RA_{named} \sqsubseteq DI_{unnamed}$  by showing that the results of the transformation are (a) domain independent and (b) equivalent to the input query. In each case, show that the claimed property holds true for each case of the recursive construction under the assumption (induction hypothesis) that it has been established for all subqueries. Use the mappings from the previous exercise to compare named and unnamed results.

- ▶ If q = R with signature  $R[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ , then  $\varphi_q = R(x_{a_1}, \ldots, x_{a_n})$ . DI, since the values of  $x_{a_i}$  belong to  $adom(\{R^I\}) \subseteq adom(I)$ . Equivalent, since  $I \models R(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$  iff  $\{a_1 \mapsto c_1, \ldots, a_n \mapsto c_n\} \in M[q](I)$ .
- ▶ If  $q = \{\{a_1 \mapsto c\}\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = (x_{a_1} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in \mathbf{adom}(q)$ . Equivalent, since  $\{\langle c \rangle\}$  is the only result.
- ▶ If  $q = \sigma_{a_i = c}(q')$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q'} \land (x_{a_i} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in \mathbf{adom}(q)$ , and  $x_{a_i}$  occurs in  $\varphi_{q'}$ , which is DI by the induction hypotheses. Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent and  $x_{a_i} = c$  for all answers.
- If  $q = \sigma_{a_i = a_i}(q')$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q'} \wedge (x_{a_i} \approx x_{a_i})$ . Analogous.
- If  $q = \delta_{b_1, \dots, b_n \to a_1, \dots, a_n} q'$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}$ .  $(x_{a_1} \approx y_{b_1}) \land \dots \land (x_{a_n} \approx y_{b_n}) \land \varphi_{q'}[y_{B_1}, \dots, y_{B_n}]$ . DI, since  $\{y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}\} = \{y_{B_1}, \dots, y_{B_n}\}$ , and  $\varphi_{q'}$  is DI by induction. Thus, the values of  $y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}$  are DI, which restrict the values of  $x_{a_1}, \dots, x_{a_n}$ . Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent by induction, and  $I \models \varphi_q(c_{a_1}, \dots, c_{a_n})$  iff  $I \models \varphi_{q'}(c_{B_1}, \dots, c_{B_n})$ .
- If  $q = \pi_{a_1, \dots, a_n}(q')$  for a subquery  $q'[b_1, \dots, b_m]$  with  $\{b_1, \dots, b_m\} = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \cup \{c_1, \dots, c_k\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists x_{c_1}, \dots, x_{c_k} \cdot \varphi_{q'}$ . DI, since all  $x_{c_i}$  occur in  $\varphi_{q'}$ , which is DI. Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent by induction and  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} = \{b_1, \dots, b_m\} \setminus \{c_1, \dots, c_k\}$ .

**Exercise.** Complete the proof that  $RA_{named} \subseteq DI_{unnamed}$  by showing that the results of the transformation are (a) domain independent and (b) equivalent to the input query. In each case, show that the claimed property holds true for each case of the recursive construction under the assumption (induction hypothesis) that it has been established for all subqueries. Use the mappings from the previous exercise to compare named and unnamed results.

- ▶ If q = R with signature  $R[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ , then  $\varphi_q = R(x_{a_1}, \ldots, x_{a_n})$ . DI, since the values of  $x_{a_i}$  belong to  $adom(\{R^I\}) \subseteq adom(I)$ . Equivalent, since  $I \models R(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$  iff  $\{a_1 \mapsto c_1, \ldots, a_n \mapsto c_n\} \in M[q](I)$ .
- ▶ If  $q = \{\{a_1 \mapsto c\}\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = (x_{a_1} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in \mathbf{adom}(q)$ . Equivalent, since  $\{\langle c \rangle\}$  is the only result.
- ▶ If  $q = \sigma_{a_i = c}(q')$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q'} \land (x_{a_i} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in \mathbf{adom}(q)$ , and  $x_{a_i}$  occurs in  $\varphi_{q'}$ , which is DI by the induction hypotheses. Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent and  $x_{a_i} = c$  for all answers.
- If  $q = \sigma_{a_i = a_j}(q')$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q'} \wedge (x_{a_i} \approx x_{a_j})$ . Analogous.
- ▶ If  $q = \delta_{b_1, \dots, b_n \to a_1, \dots, a_n} q'$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}$ .  $(x_{a_1} \approx y_{b_1}) \land \dots \land (x_{a_n} \approx y_{b_n}) \land \varphi_{q'}[y_{B_1}, \dots, y_{B_n}]$ . DI, since  $\{y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}\} = \{y_{B_1}, \dots, y_{B_n}\}$ , and  $\varphi_{q'}$  is DI by induction. Thus, the values of  $y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}$  are DI, which restrict the values of  $x_{a_1}, \dots, x_{a_n}$ . Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent by induction, and  $I \models \varphi_q(c_{a_1}, \dots, c_{a_n})$  iff  $I \models \varphi_{q'}(c_{B_1}, \dots, c_{B_n})$ .
- ▶ If  $q = \pi_{a_1,...,a_n}(q')$  for a subquery  $q'[b_1,...,b_m]$  with  $\{b_1,...,b_m\} = \{a_1,...,a_n\} \cup \{c_1,...,c_k\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists x_{c_1},...,x_{c_k}, \varphi_{q'}$ . DI, since all  $x_{c_1}$  occur in  $\varphi_{q'}$ , which is DI. Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent by induction and  $\{a_1,...,a_n\} = \{b_1,...,b_m\} \setminus \{c_1,...,c_k\}$ .
- If  $q = q_1 \bowtie q_2$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q_1} \wedge \varphi_{q_2}$ .

**Exercise.** Complete the proof that  $RA_{named} \subseteq DI_{unnamed}$  by showing that the results of the transformation are (a) domain independent and (b) equivalent to the input query. In each case, show that the claimed property holds true for each case of the recursive construction under the assumption (induction hypothesis) that it has been established for all subqueries. Use the mappings from the previous exercise to compare named and unnamed results.

- ▶ If q = R with signature  $R[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ , then  $\varphi_q = R(x_{a_1}, \ldots, x_{a_n})$ . DI, since the values of  $x_{a_i}$  belong to  $adom(\{R^I\}) \subseteq adom(I)$ . Equivalent, since  $I \models R(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$  iff  $\{a_1 \mapsto c_1, \ldots, a_n \mapsto c_n\} \in M[q](I)$ .
- ▶ If  $q = \{\{a_1 \mapsto c\}\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = (x_{a_1} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in \mathbf{adom}(q)$ . Equivalent, since  $\{\langle c \rangle\}$  is the only result.
- If  $q = \sigma_{a_i = c}(q')$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q'} \land (x_{a_i} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in \mathbf{adom}(q)$ , and  $x_{a_i}$  occurs in  $\varphi_{q'}$ , which is DI by the induction hypotheses. Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent and  $x_{a_i} = c$  for all answers.
- ▶ If  $q = \sigma_{a_i = a_j}(q')$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q'} \wedge (x_{a_i} \approx x_{a_j})$ . Analogous.
- ▶ If  $q = \delta_{b_1, \dots, b_n \to a_1, \dots, a_n} q'$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}$ .  $(x_{a_1} \approx y_{b_1}) \land \dots \land (x_{a_n} \approx y_{b_n}) \land \varphi_{q'}[y_{B_1}, \dots, y_{B_n}]$ . DI, since  $\{y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}\} = \{y_{B_1}, \dots, y_{B_n}\}$ , and  $\varphi_{q'}$  is DI by induction. Thus, the values of  $y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}$  are DI, which restrict the values of  $x_{a_1}, \dots, x_{a_n}$ . Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent by induction, and  $I \models \varphi_q(c_{a_1}, \dots, c_{a_n})$  iff  $I \models \varphi_{q'}(c_{B_1}, \dots, c_{B_n})$ .
- If  $q = \pi_{a_1, \dots, a_n}(q')$  for a subquery  $q'[b_1, \dots, b_m]$  with  $\{b_1, \dots, b_m\} = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \cup \{c_1, \dots, c_k\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists x_{c_1}, \dots, x_{c_k}, \varphi_{q'}$ . DI, since all  $x_{c_i}$  occur in  $\varphi_{q'}$ , which is DI. Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent by induction and  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} = \{b_1, \dots, b_m\} \setminus \{c_1, \dots, c_k\}$ .
- ▶ If  $q = q_1 \bowtie q_2$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q_1} \land \varphi_{q_2}$ . DI, since all variables occur in  $\varphi_{q_1}$  or  $\varphi_{q_2}$ , which are DI by induction.

**Exercise.** Complete the proof that  $RA_{named} \subseteq DI_{unnamed}$  by showing that the results of the transformation are (a) domain independent and (b) equivalent to the input query. In each case, show that the claimed property holds true for each case of the recursive construction under the assumption (induction hypothesis) that it has been established for all subqueries. Use the mappings from the previous exercise to compare named and unnamed results.

- ▶ If q = R with signature  $R[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ , then  $\varphi_q = R(x_{a_1}, \ldots, x_{a_n})$ . DI, since the values of  $x_{a_i}$  belong to  $adom(\{R^I\}) \subseteq adom(I)$ . Equivalent, since  $I \models R(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$  iff  $\{a_1 \mapsto c_1, \ldots, a_n \mapsto c_n\} \in M[q](I)$ .
- ▶ If  $q = \{\{a_1 \mapsto c\}\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = (x_{a_1} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in \mathbf{adom}(q)$ . Equivalent, since  $\{\langle c \rangle\}$  is the only result.
- ▶ If  $q = \sigma_{a_i = c}(q')$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q'} \land (x_{a_i} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in \mathbf{adom}(q)$ , and  $x_{a_i}$  occurs in  $\varphi_{q'}$ , which is DI by the induction hypotheses. Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent and  $x_{a_i} = c$  for all answers.
- ▶ If  $q = \sigma_{a_i = a_i}(q')$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q'} \wedge (x_{a_i} \approx x_{a_i})$ . Analogous.
- ▶ If  $q = \delta_{b_1, \dots, b_n \to a_1, \dots, a_n} q'$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}$ .  $(x_{a_1} \approx y_{b_1}) \land \dots \land (x_{a_n} \approx y_{b_n}) \land \varphi_{q'}[y_{B_1}, \dots, y_{B_n}]$ . DI, since  $\{y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}\} = \{y_{B_1}, \dots, y_{B_n}\}$ , and  $\varphi_{q'}$  is DI by induction. Thus, the values of  $y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}$  are DI, which restrict the values of  $x_{a_1}, \dots, x_{a_n}$ . Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent by induction, and  $I \models \varphi_q(c_{a_1}, \dots, c_{a_n})$  iff  $I \models \varphi_{q'}(c_{B_1}, \dots, c_{B_n})$ .
- If  $q = \pi_{a_1, \dots, a_n}(q')$  for a subquery  $q'[b_1, \dots, b_m]$  with  $\{b_1, \dots, b_m\} = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \cup \{c_1, \dots, c_k\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists x_{c_1}, \dots, x_{c_k}, \varphi_{q'}$ . DI, since all  $x_{c_i}$  occur in  $\varphi_{q'}$ , which is DI. Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent by induction and  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} = \{b_1, \dots, b_m\} \setminus \{c_1, \dots, c_k\}$ .
- ▶ If  $q = q_1 \bowtie q_2$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q_1} \wedge \varphi_{q_2}$ . DI, since all variables occur in  $\varphi_{q_1}$  or  $\varphi_{q_2}$ , which are DI by induction. Equivalent, since any answer to q contains answers to  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ .

**Exercise.** Complete the proof that  $RA_{named} \subseteq DI_{unnamed}$  by showing that the results of the transformation are (a) domain independent and (b) equivalent to the input query. In each case, show that the claimed property holds true for each case of the recursive construction under the assumption (induction hypothesis) that it has been established for all subqueries. Use the mappings from the previous exercise to compare named and unnamed results.

- ▶ If q = R with signature  $R[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ , then  $\varphi_q = R(x_{a_1}, \ldots, x_{a_n})$ . DI, since the values of  $x_{a_i}$  belong to  $adom(\{R^I\}) \subseteq adom(I)$ . Equivalent, since  $I \models R(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$  iff  $\{a_1 \mapsto c_1, \ldots, a_n \mapsto c_n\} \in M[q](I)$ .
- ▶ If  $q = \{\{a_1 \mapsto c\}\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = (x_{a_1} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in \mathbf{adom}(q)$ . Equivalent, since  $\{\langle c \rangle\}$  is the only result.
- ▶ If  $q = \sigma_{a_i = c}(q')$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q'} \land (x_{a_i} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in \mathbf{adom}(q)$ , and  $x_{a_i}$  occurs in  $\varphi_{q'}$ , which is DI by the induction hypotheses. Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent and  $x_{a_i} = c$  for all answers.
- ▶ If  $q = \sigma_{a_i = a_i}(q')$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q'} \wedge (x_{a_i} \approx x_{a_i})$ . Analogous.
- ▶ If  $q = \delta_{b_1, \dots, b_n \to a_1, \dots, a_n} q'$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}$ .  $(x_{a_1} \approx y_{b_1}) \land \dots \land (x_{a_n} \approx y_{b_n}) \land \varphi_{q'}[y_{B_1}, \dots, y_{B_n}]$ . DI, since  $\{y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}\} = \{y_{B_1}, \dots, y_{B_n}\}$ , and  $\varphi_{q'}$  is DI by induction. Thus, the values of  $y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}$  are DI, which restrict the values of  $x_{a_1}, \dots, x_{a_n}$ . Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent by induction, and  $I \models \varphi_q(c_{a_1}, \dots, c_{a_n})$  iff  $I \models \varphi_{q'}(c_{B_1}, \dots, c_{B_n})$ .
- ▶ If  $q = \pi_{a_1,...,a_n}(q')$  for a subquery  $q'[b_1,...,b_m]$  with  $\{b_1,...,b_m\} = \{a_1,...,a_n\} \cup \{c_1,...,c_k\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists x_{c_1},...,x_{c_k}, \varphi_{q'}$ . DI, since all  $x_{c_i}$  occur in  $\varphi_{q'}$ , which is DI. Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent by induction and  $\{a_1,...,a_n\} = \{b_1,...,b_m\} \setminus \{c_1,...,c_k\}$ .
- ▶ If  $q = q_1 \bowtie q_2$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q_1} \land \varphi_{q_2}$ . DI, since all variables occur in  $\varphi_{q_1}$  or  $\varphi_{q_2}$ , which are DI by induction. Equivalent, since any answer to q contains answers to  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ .
- If  $q = q_1 \cup q_2$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q_1} \vee \varphi_{q_2}$ .

**Exercise.** Complete the proof that  $RA_{named} \subseteq DI_{unnamed}$  by showing that the results of the transformation are (a) domain independent and (b) equivalent to the input query. In each case, show that the claimed property holds true for each case of the recursive construction under the assumption (induction hypothesis) that it has been established for all subqueries. Use the mappings from the previous exercise to compare named and unnamed results.

- ▶ If q = R with signature  $R[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ , then  $\varphi_q = R(x_{a_1}, \ldots, x_{a_n})$ . DI, since the values of  $x_{a_i}$  belong to  $adom(\{R^I\}) \subseteq adom(I)$ . Equivalent, since  $I \models R(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$  iff  $\{a_1 \mapsto c_1, \ldots, a_n \mapsto c_n\} \in M[q](I)$ .
- ▶ If  $q = \{\{a_1 \mapsto c\}\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = (x_{a_1} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in \mathbf{adom}(q)$ . Equivalent, since  $\{\langle c \rangle\}$  is the only result.
- If  $q = \sigma_{a_i = c}(q')$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q'} \land (x_{a_i} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in \mathbf{adom}(q)$ , and  $x_{a_i}$  occurs in  $\varphi_{q'}$ , which is DI by the induction hypotheses. Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent and  $x_{a_i} = c$  for all answers.
- If  $q = \sigma_{a_i = a_j}(q')$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q'} \wedge (x_{a_i} \approx x_{a_j})$ . Analogous.
- ▶ If  $q = \delta_{b_1, \dots, b_n \to a_1, \dots, a_n} q'$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}$ .  $(x_{a_1} \approx y_{b_1}) \land \dots \land (x_{a_n} \approx y_{b_n}) \land \varphi_{q'}[y_{B_1}, \dots, y_{B_n}]$ . DI, since  $\{y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}\} = \{y_{B_1}, \dots, y_{B_n}\}$ , and  $\varphi_{q'}$  is DI by induction. Thus, the values of  $y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}$  are DI, which restrict the values of  $x_{a_1}, \dots, x_{a_n}$ . Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent by induction, and  $I \models \varphi_q(c_{a_1}, \dots, c_{a_n})$  iff  $I \models \varphi_{q'}(c_{B_1}, \dots, c_{B_n})$ .
- ▶ If  $q = \pi_{a_1,...,a_n}(q')$  for a subquery  $q'[b_1,...,b_m]$  with  $\{b_1,...,b_m\} = \{a_1,...,a_n\} \cup \{c_1,...,c_k\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists x_{c_1},...,x_{c_k}, \varphi_{q'}$ . DI, since all  $x_{c_i}$  occur in  $\varphi_{q'}$ , which is DI. Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent by induction and  $\{a_1,...,a_n\} = \{b_1,...,b_m\} \setminus \{c_1,...,c_k\}$ .
- ▶ If  $q = q_1 \bowtie q_2$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q_1} \wedge \varphi_{q_2}$ . DI, since all variables occur in  $\varphi_{q_1}$  or  $\varphi_{q_2}$ , which are DI by induction. Equivalent, since any answer to q contains answers to  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ .
- ▶ If  $q=q_1\cup q_2$ , then  $\varphi_q=\varphi_{q_1}\vee \varphi_{q_2}$ . DI, since all variables occur in  $\varphi_{q_1}$ .

**Exercise.** Complete the proof that  $RA_{named} \subseteq DI_{unnamed}$  by showing that the results of the transformation are (a) domain independent and (b) equivalent to the input query. In each case, show that the claimed property holds true for each case of the recursive construction under the assumption (induction hypothesis) that it has been established for all subqueries. Use the mappings from the previous exercise to compare named and unnamed results.

- ▶ If q = R with signature  $R[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ , then  $\varphi_q = R(x_{a_1}, \ldots, x_{a_n})$ . DI, since the values of  $x_{a_i}$  belong to  $adom(\{R^I\}) \subseteq adom(I)$ . Equivalent, since  $I \models R(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$  iff  $\{a_1 \mapsto c_1, \ldots, a_n \mapsto c_n\} \in M[q](I)$ .
- ▶ If  $q = \{\{a_1 \mapsto c\}\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = (x_{a_1} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in \mathbf{adom}(q)$ . Equivalent, since  $\{\langle c \rangle\}$  is the only result.
- ▶ If  $q = \sigma_{a_i = c}(q')$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q'} \land (x_{a_i} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in \mathbf{adom}(q)$ , and  $x_{a_i}$  occurs in  $\varphi_{q'}$ , which is DI by the induction hypotheses. Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent and  $x_{a_i} = c$  for all answers.
- If  $q = \sigma_{a_i = a_j}(q')$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q'} \wedge (x_{a_i} \approx x_{a_j})$ . Analogous.
- ▶ If  $q = \delta_{b_1, \dots, b_n \to a_1, \dots, a_n} q'$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}$ .  $(x_{a_1} \approx y_{b_1}) \land \dots \land (x_{a_n} \approx y_{b_n}) \land \varphi_{q'}[y_{B_1}, \dots, y_{B_n}]$ . DI, since  $\{y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}\} = \{y_{B_1}, \dots, y_{B_n}\}$ , and  $\varphi_{q'}$  is DI by induction. Thus, the values of  $y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}$  are DI, which restrict the values of  $x_{a_1}, \dots, x_{a_n}$ . Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent by induction, and  $I \models \varphi_q(c_{a_1}, \dots, c_{a_n})$  iff  $I \models \varphi_{q'}(c_{B_1}, \dots, c_{B_n})$ .
- ▶ If  $q = \pi_{a_1,...,a_n}(q')$  for a subquery  $q'[b_1,...,b_m]$  with  $\{b_1,...,b_m\} = \{a_1,...,a_n\} \cup \{c_1,...,c_k\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists x_{c_1},...,x_{c_k}, \varphi_{q'}$ . DI, since all  $x_{c_i}$  occur in  $\varphi_{q'}$ , which is DI. Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent by induction and  $\{a_1,...,a_n\} = \{b_1,...,b_m\} \setminus \{c_1,...,c_k\}$ .
- ▶ If  $q = q_1 \bowtie q_2$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q_1} \land \varphi_{q_2}$ . DI, since all variables occur in  $\varphi_{q_1}$  or  $\varphi_{q_2}$ , which are DI by induction. Equivalent, since any answer to q contains answers to  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ .
- If  $q=q_1\cup q_2$ , then  $\varphi_q=\varphi_{q_1}\vee \varphi_{q_2}$ . DI, since all variables occur in  $\varphi_{q_1}$ . Clearly equivalent.

**Exercise.** Complete the proof that  $RA_{named} \subseteq DI_{unnamed}$  by showing that the results of the transformation are (a) domain independent and (b) equivalent to the input query. In each case, show that the claimed property holds true for each case of the recursive construction under the assumption (induction hypothesis) that it has been established for all subqueries. Use the mappings from the previous exercise to compare named and unnamed results.

**Solution.** We show domain independence and equivalence by induction on the structure of the RA query q.

- ▶ If q = R with signature  $R[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ , then  $\varphi_q = R(x_{a_1}, \ldots, x_{a_n})$ . DI, since the values of  $x_{a_i}$  belong to  $adom(\{R^I\}) \subseteq adom(I)$ . Equivalent, since  $I \models R(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$  iff  $\{a_1 \mapsto c_1, \ldots, a_n \mapsto c_n\} \in M[q](I)$ .
- ▶ If  $q = \{\{a_1 \mapsto c\}\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = (x_{a_1} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in \mathbf{adom}(q)$ . Equivalent, since  $\{\langle c \rangle\}$  is the only result.
- If  $q = \sigma_{a_i = c}(q')$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q'} \land (x_{a_i} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in \mathbf{adom}(q)$ , and  $x_{a_i}$  occurs in  $\varphi_{q'}$ , which is DI by the induction hypotheses. Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent and  $x_{a_i} = c$  for all answers.
- ▶ If  $q = \sigma_{a_i = a_i}(q')$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q'} \wedge (x_{a_i} \approx x_{a_i})$ . Analogous.
- ▶ If  $q = \delta_{b_1, \dots, b_n \to a_1, \dots, a_n} q'$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}$ .  $(x_{a_1} \approx y_{b_1}) \land \dots \land (x_{a_n} \approx y_{b_n}) \land \varphi_{q'}[y_{B_1}, \dots, y_{B_n}]$ . DI, since  $\{y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}\} = \{y_{B_1}, \dots, y_{B_n}\}$ , and  $\varphi_{q'}$  is DI by induction. Thus, the values of  $y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}$  are DI, which restrict the values of  $x_{a_1}, \dots, x_{a_n}$ . Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent by induction, and  $I \models \varphi_q(c_{a_1}, \dots, c_{a_n})$  iff  $I \models \varphi_{q'}(c_{B_1}, \dots, c_{B_n})$ .
- ▶ If  $q = \pi_{a_1,...,a_n}(q')$  for a subquery  $q'[b_1,...,b_m]$  with  $\{b_1,...,b_m\} = \{a_1,...,a_n\} \cup \{c_1,...,c_k\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists x_{c_1},...,x_{c_k}, \varphi_{q'}$ . DI, since all  $x_{c_i}$  occur in  $\varphi_{q'}$ , which is DI. Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent by induction and  $\{a_1,...,a_n\} = \{b_1,...,b_m\} \setminus \{c_1,...,c_k\}$ .
- ▶ If  $q = q_1 \bowtie q_2$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q_1} \wedge \varphi_{q_2}$ . DI, since all variables occur in  $\varphi_{q_1}$  or  $\varphi_{q_2}$ , which are DI by induction. Equivalent, since any answer to q contains answers to  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ .
- If  $q=q_1\cup q_2$ , then  $\varphi_q=\varphi_{q_1}\vee\varphi_{q_2}$ . DI, since all variables occur in  $\varphi_{q_1}$ . Clearly equivalent.
- ▶ If  $q = q_1 q_2$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q_1} \wedge \neg \varphi_{q_2}$ .

**Exercise.** Complete the proof that  $RA_{named} \subseteq DI_{unnamed}$  by showing that the results of the transformation are (a) domain independent and (b) equivalent to the input query. In each case, show that the claimed property holds true for each case of the recursive construction under the assumption (induction hypothesis) that it has been established for all subqueries. Use the mappings from the previous exercise to compare named and unnamed results.

**Solution.** We show domain independence and equivalence by induction on the structure of the RA query q.

- ▶ If q = R with signature  $R[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ , then  $\varphi_q = R(x_{a_1}, \ldots, x_{a_n})$ . DI, since the values of  $x_{a_i}$  belong to  $adom(\{R^I\}) \subseteq adom(I)$ . Equivalent, since  $I \models R(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$  iff  $\{a_1 \mapsto c_1, \ldots, a_n \mapsto c_n\} \in M[q](I)$ .
- ▶ If  $q = \{\{a_1 \mapsto c\}\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = (x_{a_1} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in \mathbf{adom}(q)$ . Equivalent, since  $\{\langle c \rangle\}$  is the only result.
- If  $q = \sigma_{a_i = c}(q')$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q'} \land (x_{a_i} \approx c)$ . DI, since  $c \in \mathbf{adom}(q)$ , and  $x_{a_i}$  occurs in  $\varphi_{q'}$ , which is DI by the induction hypotheses. Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent and  $x_{a_i} = c$  for all answers.
- ▶ If  $q = \sigma_{a_i = a_i}(q')$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q'} \wedge (x_{a_i} \approx x_{a_i})$ . Analogous.
- ▶ If  $q = \delta_{b_1, \dots, b_n \to a_1, \dots, a_n} q'$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}$ .  $(x_{a_1} \approx y_{b_1}) \land \dots \land (x_{a_n} \approx y_{b_n}) \land \varphi_{q'}[y_{B_1}, \dots, y_{B_n}]$ . DI, since  $\{y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}\} = \{y_{B_1}, \dots, y_{B_n}\}$ , and  $\varphi_{q'}$  is DI by induction. Thus, the values of  $y_{b_1}, \dots, y_{b_n}$  are DI, which restrict the values of  $x_{a_1}, \dots, x_{a_n}$ . Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent by induction, and  $I \models \varphi_q(c_{a_1}, \dots, c_{a_n})$  iff  $I \models \varphi_{q'}(c_{B_1}, \dots, c_{B_n})$ .
- ▶ If  $q = \pi_{a_1,...,a_n}(q')$  for a subquery  $q'[b_1,...,b_m]$  with  $\{b_1,...,b_m\} = \{a_1,...,a_n\} \cup \{c_1,...,c_k\}$ , then  $\varphi_q = \exists x_{c_1},...,x_{c_k}, \varphi_{q'}$ . DI, since all  $x_{c_i}$  occur in  $\varphi_{q'}$ , which is DI. Equivalent, since q' and  $\varphi_{q'}$  are equivalent by induction and  $\{a_1,...,a_n\} = \{b_1,...,b_m\} \setminus \{c_1,...,c_k\}$ .
- ▶ If  $q = q_1 \bowtie q_2$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q_1} \wedge \varphi_{q_2}$ . DI, since all variables occur in  $\varphi_{q_1}$  or  $\varphi_{q_2}$ , which are DI by induction. Equivalent, since any answer to q contains answers to  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ .
- If  $q=q_1\cup q_2$ , then  $\varphi_q=\varphi_{q_1}\vee\varphi_{q_2}$ . DI, since all variables occur in  $\varphi_{q_1}$ . Clearly equivalent.
- If  $q = q_1 q_2$ , then  $\varphi_q = \varphi_{q_1} \wedge \neg \varphi_{q_2}$ . Analogous.

**Exercise.** Consider a binary predicate *R* and the AD<sub>unnamed</sub> query

$$\varphi[x,y] = \neg (R(x,y) \land R(y,x)).$$

Use the construction from the lecture to express it as an  $\mathsf{RA}_\mathsf{named}$  query.

**Exercise.** Consider a binary predicate *R* and the AD<sub>unnamed</sub> query

$$\varphi[x,y] = \neg (R(x,y) \land R(y,x)).$$

Use the construction from the lecture to express it as an  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{RA}}_{named}$  query. Solution.

**Exercise.** Consider a binary predicate R and the AD<sub>unnamed</sub> query

$$\varphi[x,y] = \neg(R(x,y) \land R(y,x)).$$

Use the construction from the lecture to express it as an RA<sub>named</sub> query. **Solution.** 

## Definition (Lecture 2, Slide 22/23, excerpt)

Consider an AD query  $q = \varphi[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . For every attribute name a, there is an RA expression  $E_{a, adom}$  with  $E_{a, adom}(I) = \{\{a \mapsto c\} | c \in adom(I, q)\}$ . For every variable x, we use a fresh, distinct attribute name  $a_x$ .

- If  $\varphi = R(t_1, \dots, t_m)$  with signature  $R[a_1, \dots, a_m]$ , variables  $x_1 = t_{\nu_1}, \dots, x_n = t_{\nu_n}$  and constants  $c_1 = t_{w_1}, \dots, c_k = t_{w_k}$ , then  $E_{\varphi} = \delta_{a_{\nu_1} \dots a_{\nu_n} \to a_{x_1} \dots a_{x_n}} (\sigma_{a_{w_1} = c_1} (\dots \sigma_{a_{w_k} = c_k}(R) \dots))$ ;
- ightharpoonup if  $\varphi = \neg \psi$ , then  $E_{\varphi} = (E_{a_{x_1}, \, adom} \bowtie \ldots \bowtie E_{a_{x_n}, \, adom}) E_{\psi}$ ; and
- $\qquad \qquad \text{if } \varphi = \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2 \text{, then } E_\varphi = E_{\varphi_1} \bowtie E_{\varphi_2}.$

**Exercise.** Consider a binary predicate R and the AD<sub>unnamed</sub> query

$$\varphi[x,y] = \neg(R(x,y) \land R(y,x)).$$

Use the construction from the lecture to express it as an  $RA_{named}$  query. Solution.

# Definition (Lecture 2, Slide 22/23, excerpt)

Consider an AD query  $q = \varphi[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . For every attribute name a, there is an RA expression  $E_{a, \mathbf{adom}}$  with  $E_{a, \mathbf{adom}}(I) = \{\{a \mapsto c \mid | c \in \mathbf{adom}(I, q)\}$ . For every variable x, we use a fresh, distinct attribute name  $a_x$ .

- If  $\varphi = R(t_1, \dots, t_m)$  with signature  $R[a_1, \dots, a_m]$ , variables  $x_1 = t_{\nu_1}, \dots, x_n = t_{\nu_n}$  and constants  $c_1 = t_{w_1}, \dots, c_k = t_{w_k}$ , then  $E_{\varphi} = \delta_{a_{\nu_1} \dots a_{\nu_n} \to a_{x_1} \dots a_{x_n}}(\sigma_{a_{w_1} = c_1}(\dots \sigma_{a_{w_k} = c_k}(R)\dots))$ ;
- ightharpoonup if  $\varphi = \neg \psi$ , then  $E_{\varphi} = (E_{a_{x_1}, \, adom} \bowtie \ldots \bowtie E_{a_{x_n}, \, adom}) E_{\psi}$ ; and
- if  $\varphi = \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2$ , then  $E_{\varphi} = E_{\varphi_1} \bowtie E_{\varphi_2}$ .

$$\varphi[x,y] = \neg(R(x,y) \land R(y,x))$$

**Exercise.** Consider a binary predicate R and the AD<sub>unnamed</sub> query

$$\varphi[x,y] = \neg(R(x,y) \land R(y,x)).$$

Use the construction from the lecture to express it as an RA<sub>named</sub> query. **Solution.** 

# Definition (Lecture 2, Slide 22/23, excerpt)

Consider an AD query  $q = \varphi[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . For every attribute name a, there is an RA expression  $E_{a, adom}$  with  $E_{a, adom}(I) = \{\{a \mapsto c\} | c \in adom(I, q)\}$ . For every variable x, we use a fresh, distinct attribute name  $a_x$ .

- If  $\varphi = R(t_1, \dots, t_m)$  with signature  $R[a_1, \dots, a_m]$ , variables  $x_1 = t_{\nu_1}, \dots, x_n = t_{\nu_n}$  and constants  $c_1 = t_{w_1}, \dots, c_k = t_{w_k}$ , then  $E_{\varphi} = \delta_{a_{\nu_1} \dots a_{\nu_n} \to a_{x_1} \dots a_{x_n}}(\sigma_{a_{w_1} = c_1}(\dots \sigma_{a_{w_k} = c_k}(R)\dots))$ ;
- ightharpoonup if  $\varphi = \neg \psi$ , then  $E_{\varphi} = (E_{a_{x_1}, \, adom} \bowtie \ldots \bowtie E_{a_{x_n}, \, adom}) E_{\psi}$ ; and
- if  $\varphi = \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2$ , then  $E_{\varphi} = E_{\varphi_1} \bowtie E_{\varphi_2}$ .

$$\varphi[x,y] = \neg (R(x,y) \land R(y,x))$$
  
=  $(E_{a_x, adom} \bowtie E_{a_y, adom}) - E_{R(x,y) \land R(y,x)}$ 

**Exercise.** Consider a binary predicate R and the AD<sub>unnamed</sub> query

$$\varphi[x,y] = \neg(R(x,y) \land R(y,x)).$$

Use the construction from the lecture to express it as an RA<sub>named</sub> query. **Solution.** 

# Definition (Lecture 2, Slide 22/23, excerpt)

Consider an AD query  $q = \varphi[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . For every attribute name a, there is an RA expression  $E_{a, adom}$  with  $E_{a, adom}(I) = \{\{a \mapsto c \mid | c \in adom(I, q)\}$ . For every variable x, we use a fresh, distinct attribute name  $a_x$ .

- If  $\varphi = R(t_1, \dots, t_m)$  with signature  $R[a_1, \dots, a_m]$ , variables  $x_1 = t_{\nu_1}, \dots, x_n = t_{\nu_n}$  and constants  $c_1 = t_{w_1}, \dots, c_k = t_{w_k}$ , then  $E_{\varphi} = \delta_{a_{\nu_1} \dots a_{\nu_n} \to a_{x_1} \dots a_{x_n}}(\sigma_{a_{w_1} = c_1}(\dots \sigma_{a_{w_k} = c_k}(R)\dots))$ ;
- ightharpoonup if  $\varphi = \neg \psi$ , then  $E_{\varphi} = (E_{a_{x_1}, \, adom} \bowtie \ldots \bowtie E_{a_{x_n}, \, adom}) E_{\psi}$ ; and
- if  $\varphi = \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2$ , then  $E_{\varphi} = E_{\varphi_1} \bowtie E_{\varphi_2}$ .

$$\begin{split} \varphi[x,y] &= \neg (R(x,y) \land R(y,x)) \\ &= (E_{a_x, \, \text{adom}} \bowtie E_{a_y, \, \text{adom}}) - E_{R(x,y) \land R(y,x)} \\ &= (E_{a_x, \, \text{adom}} \bowtie E_{a_y, \, \text{adom}}) - \left(E_{R(x,y)} \bowtie E_{R(y,x)}\right) \end{split}$$

**Exercise.** Consider a binary predicate R and the AD<sub>unnamed</sub> query

$$\varphi[x,y] = \neg(R(x,y) \land R(y,x)).$$

Use the construction from the lecture to express it as an RA<sub>named</sub> query. **Solution.** 

## Definition (Lecture 2, Slide 22/23, excerpt)

Consider an AD query  $q = \varphi[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . For every attribute name a, there is an RA expression  $E_{a, adom}$  with  $E_{a, adom}(I) = \{\{a \mapsto c\} | c \in adom(I, q)\}$ . For every variable x, we use a fresh, distinct attribute name  $a_x$ .

- If  $\varphi = R(t_1, \dots, t_m)$  with signature  $R[a_1, \dots, a_m]$ , variables  $x_1 = t_{\nu_1}, \dots, x_n = t_{\nu_n}$  and constants  $c_1 = t_{w_1}, \dots, c_k = t_{w_k}$ , then  $E_{\varphi} = \delta_{a_{\nu_1} \dots a_{\nu_n} \to a_{x_1} \dots a_{x_n}}(\sigma_{a_{w_1} = c_1}(\dots \sigma_{a_{w_k} = c_k}(R)\dots))$ ;
- ightharpoonup if  $\varphi = \neg \psi$ , then  $E_{\varphi} = (E_{a_{x_1}, \, adom} \bowtie \ldots \bowtie E_{a_{x_n}, \, adom}) E_{\psi}$ ; and
- if  $\varphi = \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2$ , then  $E_{\varphi} = E_{\varphi_1} \bowtie E_{\varphi_2}$ .

$$\begin{split} \varphi[x,y] &= \neg (R(x,y) \land R(y,x)) \\ &= (E_{a_X,\, \mathsf{adom}} \bowtie E_{a_Y,\, \mathsf{adom}}) - E_{R(x,y) \land R(y,x)} \\ &= (E_{a_X,\, \mathsf{adom}} \bowtie E_{a_Y,\, \mathsf{adom}}) - \left(E_{R(x,y)} \bowtie E_{R(y,x)}\right) \\ &= (E_{a_X,\, \mathsf{adom}} \bowtie E_{a_Y,\, \mathsf{adom}}) - \left(\delta_{a_1,a_2 \rightarrow a_X,a_Y}(R) \bowtie \delta_{a_1,a_2 \rightarrow a_Y,a_X}(R)\right) \end{split}$$

**Exercise.** Complete the constructions for the proof of AD  $\sqsubseteq$  RA given in the lecture.

- 1. Define the relational algebra expression  $E_{a, adom}$ , such that  $E_{a, adom}(I) = \{\{a \mapsto c\} \mid c \in adom(I, q)\}$  (assume that the query and the database schema are known).
- 2. Define the expressions  $E_{\varphi}$  for  $\varphi = \varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2$  and  $\varphi = \forall y.\psi$  in terms of expressions that have already been defined in the lecture.
- 3. Give a direct definition for the expression  $E_{\varphi}$  for  $\varphi = \varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2 \equiv \neg(\neg \varphi_1 \wedge \neg \varphi_2)$ .

**Exercise.** Complete the constructions for the proof of AD  $\sqsubseteq$  RA given in the lecture.

- 1. Define the relational algebra expression  $E_{a, adom}$ , such that  $E_{a, adom}(I) = \{\{a \mapsto c\} \mid c \in adom(I, q)\}$  (assume that the query and the database schema are known).
- 2. Define the expressions  $E_{\varphi}$  for  $\varphi = \varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2$  and  $\varphi = \forall y.\psi$  in terms of expressions that have already been defined in the lecture.
- 3. Give a direct definition for the expression  $E_{\varphi}$  for  $\varphi = \varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2 \equiv \neg(\neg \varphi_1 \wedge \neg \varphi_2)$ .

# Solution.

**Exercise.** Complete the constructions for the proof of AD  $\sqsubseteq$  RA given in the lecture.

- 1. Define the relational algebra expression  $E_{a, adom}$ , such that  $E_{a, adom}(I) = \{\{a \mapsto c\} \mid c \in adom(I, q)\}$  (assume that the query and the database schema are known).
- 2. Define the expressions  $E_{\varphi}$  for  $\varphi = \varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2$  and  $\varphi = \forall y.\psi$  in terms of expressions that have already been defined in the lecture.
- 3. Give a direct definition for the expression  $E_{\varphi}$  for  $\varphi = \varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2 \equiv \neg(\neg \varphi_1 \wedge \neg \varphi_2)$ .

### Solution.

1. Assume that the database schema consists of tables  $R_1, \ldots, R_\ell$  with table schemata  $R_i[a_1^i, \ldots a_{|R_i|}^i]$ . Let q be the query and define

$$E_{a, \mathbf{adom}} = \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \bigcup_{j=1}^{|R_i|} \delta_{a_j^i \to a} \left( \pi_{a_j^i}(R_i) \right) \right) \cup \left\{ \left\{ a \mapsto c \right\} \middle| c \in \mathbf{adom}(q) \right\}.$$

**Exercise.** Complete the constructions for the proof of AD  $\sqsubseteq$  RA given in the lecture.

- 1. Define the relational algebra expression  $E_{a, adom}$ , such that  $E_{a, adom}(I) = \{\{a \mapsto c\} \mid c \in adom(I, q)\}$  (assume that the query and the database schema are known).
- 2. Define the expressions  $E_{\varphi}$  for  $\varphi = \varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2$  and  $\varphi = \forall y.\psi$  in terms of expressions that have already been defined in the lecture.
- 3. Give a direct definition for the expression  $E_{\varphi}$  for  $\varphi = \varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2 \equiv \neg(\neg \varphi_1 \wedge \neg \varphi_2)$ .

### Solution.

1. Assume that the database schema consists of tables  $R_1, \dots, R_\ell$  with table schemata  $R_i[a_1^i, \dots a_{|R_i|}^i]$ . Let q be the query and define

$$E_{a, \text{adom}} = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \bigcup_{j=1}^{|\mathcal{B}_i|} \delta_{a_j^i \to a} \left(\pi_{a_j^i}(\mathcal{B}_i)\right)\right) \cup \left\{\left\{a \mapsto c\right\} \middle| c \in \text{adom}(q)\right\}.$$

2.

$$E_{\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2} = E_{\neg (\neg \varphi_1 \wedge \neg \varphi_2)}$$

**Exercise.** Complete the constructions for the proof of AD  $\sqsubseteq$  RA given in the lecture.

- 1. Define the relational algebra expression  $E_{a, adom}$ , such that  $E_{a, adom}(I) = \{\{a \mapsto c\} \mid c \in adom(I, q)\}$  (assume that the query and the database schema are known).
- 2. Define the expressions  $E_{\varphi}$  for  $\varphi = \varphi_1 \lor \varphi_2$  and  $\varphi = \forall y.\psi$  in terms of expressions that have already been defined in the lecture.
- 3. Give a direct definition for the expression  $E_{\varphi}$  for  $\varphi = \varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2 \equiv \neg(\neg \varphi_1 \wedge \neg \varphi_2)$ .

### Solution.

1. Assume that the database schema consists of tables  $R_1, \ldots, R_\ell$  with table schemata  $R_i[a_1^i, \ldots a_{|R_i|}^i]$ . Let q be the query and define

$$E_{a, \text{adom}} = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \bigcup_{j=1}^{|\mathcal{B}_i|} \delta_{a_j^i \to a} \left(\pi_{a_j^i}(\mathcal{B}_i)\right)\right) \cup \left\{\left\{a \mapsto c\right\} \middle| c \in \text{adom}(q)\right\}.$$

2.

$$\mathsf{E}_{arphi_1ee arphi_2} = \mathsf{E}_{\neg (\neg arphi_1 \wedge \neg arphi_2)} \qquad \qquad \mathsf{E}_{\forall y.\ \psi} = \mathsf{E}_{\neg \exists y.\ \neg \psi}$$

**Exercise.** Complete the constructions for the proof of AD  $\sqsubseteq$  RA given in the lecture.

- 1. Define the relational algebra expression  $E_{a, adom}$ , such that  $E_{a, adom}(I) = \{\{a \mapsto c\} \mid c \in adom(I, q)\}$  (assume that the query and the database schema are known).
- 2. Define the expressions  $E_{\varphi}$  for  $\varphi = \varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2$  and  $\varphi = \forall y.\psi$  in terms of expressions that have already been defined in the lecture.
- 3. Give a direct definition for the expression  $E_{\varphi}$  for  $\varphi = \varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2 \equiv \neg(\neg \varphi_1 \wedge \neg \varphi_2)$ .

### Solution.

1. Assume that the database schema consists of tables  $R_1, \ldots, R_\ell$  with table schemata  $R_i[a_1^i, \ldots a_{|R_i|}^i]$ . Let q be the query and define

$$E_{a, \text{adom}} = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \bigcup_{j=1}^{|R_i|} \delta_{a_j^i \to a} \left(\pi_{a_j^i}(R_i)\right)\right) \cup \left\{\left\{a \mapsto c\right\} \middle| c \in \text{adom}(q)\right\}.$$

2.

$$\mathsf{E}_{arphi_1ee arphi_2} = \mathsf{E}_{\neg(\neg arphi_1 \land \neg arphi_2)} \qquad \qquad \mathsf{E}_{orall y_{\cdot} \neg \psi} = \mathsf{E}_{\neg \exists y_{\cdot} \neg \psi}$$

$$E_{\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2} =$$

**Exercise.** Complete the constructions for the proof of AD  $\sqsubseteq$  RA given in the lecture.

- 1. Define the relational algebra expression  $E_{a, adom}$ , such that  $E_{a, adom}(I) = \{\{a \mapsto c\} \mid c \in adom(I, q)\}$  (assume that the query and the database schema are known).
- Define the expressions E<sub>φ</sub> for φ = φ<sub>1</sub> ∨ φ<sub>2</sub> and φ = ∀y.ψ in terms of expressions that have already been defined in the lecture.
- 3. Give a direct definition for the expression  $E_{\varphi}$  for  $\varphi = \varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2 \equiv \neg(\neg \varphi_1 \wedge \neg \varphi_2)$ .

### Solution.

1. Assume that the database schema consists of tables  $R_1, \ldots, R_\ell$  with table schemata  $R_i[a_1^i, \ldots a_{|R_i|}^i]$ . Let q be the query and define

$$E_{a, \text{adom}} = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \bigcup_{j=1}^{|R_i|} \delta_{a_j^i \to a} \left(\pi_{a_j^i}(R_i)\right)\right) \cup \left\{\left\{a \mapsto c\right\} \middle| c \in \text{adom}(q)\right\}.$$

2.

$$\mathsf{E}_{arphi_1ee arphi_2} = \mathsf{E}_{\neg (\neg arphi_1 \wedge \neg arphi_2)} \qquad \qquad \mathsf{E}_{orall_{y_{\cdot}} \cdot \psi} = \mathsf{E}_{\neg \exists y_{\cdot}} \cdot \neg \psi$$

$$E_{\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2} = E_{a_{X_1, adom}} \bowtie \cdots \bowtie E_{a_{X_n, adom}} - E_{\neg \varphi_1 \wedge \neg \varphi_2}$$

**Exercise.** Complete the constructions for the proof of AD  $\sqsubseteq$  RA given in the lecture.

- 1. Define the relational algebra expression  $E_{a, adom}$ , such that  $E_{a, adom}(I) = \{\{a \mapsto c\} \mid c \in adom(I, q)\}$  (assume that the query and the database schema are known).
- 2. Define the expressions  $E_{\varphi}$  for  $\varphi = \varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2$  and  $\varphi = \forall y.\psi$  in terms of expressions that have already been defined in the lecture.
- 3. Give a direct definition for the expression  $E_{\varphi}$  for  $\varphi = \varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2 \equiv \neg(\neg \varphi_1 \wedge \neg \varphi_2)$ .

#### Solution.

1. Assume that the database schema consists of tables  $R_1, \ldots, R_\ell$  with table schemata  $R_i[a_1^i, \ldots a_{|R_i|}^i]$ . Let q be the query and define

$$E_{a, \text{adom}} = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \bigcup_{j=1}^{|R_i|} \delta_{a_j^i \to a} \left(\pi_{a_j^i}(R_i)\right)\right) \cup \left\{\left\{a \mapsto c\right\} \middle| c \in \text{adom}(q)\right\}.$$

2.

$$\mathsf{E}_{arphi_1ee arphi_2} = \mathsf{E}_{\neg (\neg arphi_1 \wedge \neg arphi_2)} \qquad \qquad \mathsf{E}_{orall_{y_{\cdot}} \cdot \psi} = \mathsf{E}_{\neg \exists y_{\cdot}} \cdot \neg \psi$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2} &= E_{a_{X_1,\, \text{adom}}} \bowtie \cdots \bowtie E_{a_{X_n},\, \text{adom}} - E_{\neg \varphi_1 \wedge \neg \varphi_2} \\ &= E_{a_{X_1,\, \text{adom}}} \bowtie \cdots \bowtie E_{a_{X_n},\, \text{adom}} - (E_{\neg \varphi_1} \bowtie E_{\neg \varphi_2}) \end{aligned}$$

**Exercise.** Complete the constructions for the proof of AD  $\sqsubseteq$  RA given in the lecture.

- 1. Define the relational algebra expression  $E_{a, adom}$ , such that  $E_{a, adom}(I) = \{\{a \mapsto c\} \mid c \in adom(I, q)\}$  (assume that the query and the database schema are known).
- 2. Define the expressions  $E_{\varphi}$  for  $\varphi = \varphi_1 \lor \varphi_2$  and  $\varphi = \forall y.\psi$  in terms of expressions that have already been defined in the lecture.
- 3. Give a direct definition for the expression  $E_{\varphi}$  for  $\varphi = \varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2 \equiv \neg(\neg \varphi_1 \wedge \neg \varphi_2)$ .

#### Solution.

1. Assume that the database schema consists of tables  $R_1, \ldots, R_\ell$  with table schemata  $R_i[a_1^i, \ldots a_{|R_i|}^i]$ . Let q be the query and define

$$E_{a, \text{adom}} = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \bigcup_{j=1}^{|R_i|} \delta_{a_j^i \to a} \left(\pi_{a_j^i}(R_i)\right)\right) \cup \left\{\left\{a \mapsto c\right\} \middle| c \in \text{adom}(q)\right\}.$$

2.

$$\mathsf{E}_{arphi_1ee arphi_2} = \mathsf{E}_{\neg (\neg arphi_1 \wedge \neg arphi_2)} \qquad \qquad \mathsf{E}_{orall_{y_{\cdot}} \cdot \psi} = \mathsf{E}_{\neg \exists y_{\cdot}} \cdot \neg \psi$$

$$\begin{split} E_{\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2} &= E_{a_{X_1, \text{adom}}} \bowtie \cdots \bowtie E_{a_{X_n, \text{adom}}} - E_{\neg \varphi_1 \wedge \neg \varphi_2} \\ &= E_{a_{X_1, \text{adom}}} \bowtie \cdots \bowtie E_{a_{X_n, \text{adom}}} - (E_{\neg \varphi_1} \bowtie E_{\neg \varphi_2}) \\ &= E_{a_{X_1, \text{adom}}} \bowtie \cdots \bowtie E_{a_{X_n, \text{adom}}} - ((E_{a_{Y_1, \text{adom}}} \bowtie \cdots \bowtie E_{a_{Y_t, \text{adom}}} - E_{\varphi_1}) \bowtie (E_{a_{Z_1, \text{adom}}} \bowtie \cdots \bowtie E_{a_{Z_k, \text{adom}}} - E_{\varphi_2})) \end{split}$$

Exercise. Use the function rr from the lecture to compute the set of range-restricted variables for the following queries:

- 1.  $\varphi_1 = \exists y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{Stop}}, y_{\text{To}}. \left( \text{Stops}(y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{Stop}}, \text{"true"}) \land \text{Connect}(y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{To}}, x_{\text{Line}}) \right) [x_{\text{Line}}]$
- 2.  $\varphi_2 = \neg \text{Lines}(x, \text{"bus"})[x]$
- 3.  $\varphi_3 = (Connect(x_1, "42", "85") \vee Connect("57", x_2, "85"))[x_1, x_2]$
- 4.  $\varphi_4 = \forall y. p(x,y)[x]$
- 5.  $\varphi_5 = \exists x. (((p(x) \rightarrow q(c)) \rightarrow p(x)) \rightarrow p(x))$

Which of these queries is a safe-range query? Which of the queries is domain independent?

Exercise. Use the function rr from the lecture to compute the set of range-restricted variables for the following queries:

- 1.  $\varphi_1 = \exists y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{Stop}}, y_{\text{To}}. \left( \text{Stops}(y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{Stop}}, \text{"true"}) \land \text{Connect}(y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{To}}, x_{\text{Line}}) \right) [x_{\text{Line}}]$
- 2.  $\varphi_2 = \neg \text{Lines}(x, \text{"bus"})[x]$
- 3.  $\varphi_3 = (Connect(x_1, "42", "85") \vee Connect("57", x_2, "85"))[x_1, x_2]$
- 4.  $\varphi_4 = \forall y. p(x,y)[x]$
- 5.  $\varphi_5 = \exists x. (((p(x) \rightarrow q(c)) \rightarrow p(x)) \rightarrow p(x))$

Which of these queries is a safe-range query? Which of the queries is domain independent? **Solution.** 

Exercise. Use the function rr from the lecture to compute the set of range-restricted variables for the following queries:

- 1.  $\varphi_1 = \exists y_{SID}, y_{Stop}, y_{To}. (Stops(y_{SID}, y_{Stop}, "true") \land Connect(y_{SID}, y_{To}, x_{Line}))[x_{Line}]$
- 2.  $\varphi_2 = \neg Lines(x, "bus")[x]$
- 3.  $\varphi_3 = (Connect(x_1, "42", "85") \vee Connect("57", x_2, "85"))[x_1, x_2]$
- 4.  $\varphi_4 = \forall y. \, p(x,y)[x]$
- 5.  $\varphi_5 = \exists x. (((p(x) \rightarrow q(c)) \rightarrow p(x)) \rightarrow p(x))$

Which of these queries is a safe-range query? Which of the queries is domain independent? **Solution.** 

## Definition (Lecture 2, Slide 26)

$$\operatorname{rr}(R(t_1,\ldots,t_n)) = \{x \mid x \text{ is a variable among the } t_1,\ldots,t_n\} \\ \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) \cup \{x,y\} & \text{if } \varphi_2 = (x \approx y) \text{ and } \{x,y\} \cap \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) \neq \emptyset \\ \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) \cup \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_2) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \operatorname{rr}(\exists y.\,\psi) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{rr}(\psi) \setminus \{y\} & \text{if } y \in \operatorname{rr}(\psi) \\ \operatorname{throw new NotSafeException}() & \text{if } y \notin \operatorname{rr}(\psi) \end{cases} \\ \operatorname{rr}(\neg \psi) = \emptyset & \text{if } \operatorname{rr}(\psi) \text{ is defined} \end{cases}$$

Exercise. Use the function rr from the lecture to compute the set of range-restricted variables for the following queries:

- 1.  $\varphi_1 = \exists y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{Stop}}, y_{\text{To}}. \left( \text{Stops}(y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{Stop}}, \text{"true"}) \land \text{Connect}(y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{To}}, x_{\text{Line}}) \right) [x_{\text{Line}}]$
- 2.  $\varphi_2 = \neg Lines(x, "bus")[x]$
- 3.  $\varphi_3 = (Connect(x_1, "42", "85") \vee Connect("57", x_2, "85"))[x_1, x_2]$
- 4.  $\varphi_4 = \forall y. p(x,y)[x]$
- 5.  $\varphi_5 = \exists x. (((p(x) \rightarrow q(c)) \rightarrow p(x)) \rightarrow p(x))$

Which of these queries is a safe-range query? Which of the queries is domain independent? **Solution**.

$$\operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) = \{x_{\operatorname{Line}}\}$$

## Definition (Lecture 2, Slide 26)

$$\operatorname{rr}(R(t_1,\ldots,t_n)) = \{x \mid x \text{ is a variable among the } t_1,\ldots,t_n\} \\ \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) \cup \{x,y\} & \text{if } \varphi_2 = (x \approx y) \text{ and } \{x,y\} \cap \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) \neq \emptyset \end{cases} \\ \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) \cup \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_2) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \operatorname{rr}(\exists y.\psi) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{rr}(\psi) \setminus \{y\} & \text{if } y \in \operatorname{rr}(\psi) \\ \text{throw new NotSafeException()} & \text{if } y \notin \operatorname{rr}(\psi) \end{cases} \\ \operatorname{rr}(\neg \psi) = \emptyset & \text{if } \operatorname{rr}(\psi) \text{ is defined} \end{cases}$$

Exercise. Use the function rr from the lecture to compute the set of range-restricted variables for the following queries:

- 1.  $\varphi_1 = \exists y_{SID}, y_{Stop}, y_{To}. (Stops(y_{SID}, y_{Stop}, "true") \land Connect(y_{SID}, y_{To}, x_{Line}))[x_{Line}]$
- 2.  $\varphi_2 = \neg Lines(x, "bus")[x]$
- 3.  $\varphi_3 = (Connect(x_1, "42", "85") \vee Connect("57", x_2, "85"))[x_1, x_2]$
- 4.  $\varphi_4 = \forall y. p(x,y)[x]$
- 5.  $\varphi_5 = \exists x. (((p(x) \rightarrow q(c)) \rightarrow p(x)) \rightarrow p(x))$

Which of these queries is a safe-range query? Which of the queries is domain independent? **Solution.** 

$$\operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) = \{x_{\operatorname{Line}}\} \qquad \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_2) = \emptyset$$

## Definition (Lecture 2, Slide 26)

$$\operatorname{rr}(R(t_1,\ldots,t_n)) = \{x \mid x \text{ is a variable among the } t_1,\ldots,t_n\} \\ \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) \cup \{x,y\} & \text{if } \varphi_2 = (x \approx y) \text{ and } \{x,y\} \cap \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) \neq \emptyset \\ \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) \cup \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_2) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \operatorname{rr}(\exists y.\,\psi) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{rr}(\psi) \setminus \{y\} & \text{if } y \in \operatorname{rr}(\psi) \\ \operatorname{throw new NotSafeException}() & \text{if } y \notin \operatorname{rr}(\psi) \end{cases} \\ \operatorname{rr}(\neg \psi) = \emptyset & \text{if } \operatorname{rr}(\psi) \text{ is defined} \end{cases}$$

Exercise. Use the function rr from the lecture to compute the set of range-restricted variables for the following queries:

1. 
$$\varphi_1 = \exists y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{Stop}}, y_{\text{To}}. \left( \text{Stops}(y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{Stop}}, \text{"true"}) \land \text{Connect}(y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{To}}, x_{\text{Line}}) \right) [x_{\text{Line}}]$$

2. 
$$\varphi_2 = \neg \text{Lines}(x, \text{"bus"})[x]$$

3. 
$$\varphi_3 = (Connect(x_1, "42", "85") \vee Connect("57", x_2, "85"))[x_1, x_2]$$

4. 
$$\varphi_4 = \forall y. p(x,y)[x]$$

5. 
$$\varphi_5 = \exists x. (((p(x) \rightarrow q(c)) \rightarrow p(x)) \rightarrow p(x))$$

Which of these queries is a safe-range query? Which of the queries is domain independent? **Solution.** 

$$\operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) = \{x_{\operatorname{Line}}\} \qquad \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_2) = \emptyset \qquad \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_3) = \emptyset$$

### Definition (Lecture 2, Slide 26)

$$\operatorname{rr}(R(t_1,\ldots,t_n)) = \{x \mid x \text{ is a variable among the } t_1,\ldots,t_n\} \qquad \operatorname{rr}(x \approx a) = \{x\}$$
 
$$\operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) \cup \{x,y\} & \text{if } \varphi_2 = (x \approx y) \text{ and } \{x,y\} \cap \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) \neq \emptyset \\ \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) \cup \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_2) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 
$$\operatorname{rr}(\exists y.\,\psi) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{rr}(\psi) \setminus \{y\} & \text{if } y \in \operatorname{rr}(\psi) \\ \operatorname{throw new NotSafeException}() & \text{if } y \notin \operatorname{rr}(\psi) \end{cases}$$
 
$$\operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) = \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) \cap \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_2)$$
 
$$\operatorname{rr}(\neg \psi) = \emptyset \quad \operatorname{if } \operatorname{rr}(\psi) \text{ is defined} \end{cases}$$

Exercise. Use the function rr from the lecture to compute the set of range-restricted variables for the following queries:

1. 
$$\varphi_1 = \exists y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{Stop}}, y_{\text{To}}. \left( \text{Stops}(y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{Stop}}, \text{"true"}) \land \text{Connect}(y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{To}}, x_{\text{Line}}) \right) [x_{\text{Line}}]$$

2. 
$$\varphi_2 = \neg Lines(x, "bus")[x]$$

3. 
$$\varphi_3 = (Connect(x_1, "42", "85") \vee Connect("57", x_2, "85"))[x_1, x_2]$$

4. 
$$\varphi_4 = \forall y. \ p(x,y)[x] = \neg \exists y. \ \neg p(x,y)[x]$$

5. 
$$\varphi_5 = \exists x. (((p(x) \rightarrow q(c)) \rightarrow p(x)) \rightarrow p(x))$$

Which of these queries is a safe-range query? Which of the queries is domain independent? **Solution.** 

$$\operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) = \{x_{\operatorname{Line}}\} \qquad \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_2) = \emptyset \qquad \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_3) = \emptyset$$

### Definition (Lecture 2, Slide 26)

$$\operatorname{rr}(R(t_1,\ldots,t_n)) = \{x \mid x \text{ is a variable among the } t_1,\ldots,t_n\} \qquad \operatorname{rr}(x \approx a) = \{x\}$$
 
$$\operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) \cup \{x,y\} & \text{if } \varphi_2 = (x \approx y) \text{ and } \{x,y\} \cap \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) \neq \emptyset \\ \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) \cup \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_2) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 
$$\operatorname{rr}(\exists y.\,\psi) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{rr}(\psi) \setminus \{y\} & \text{if } y \in \operatorname{rr}(\psi) \\ \operatorname{throw new NotSafeException}() & \text{if } y \notin \operatorname{rr}(\psi) \end{cases}$$
 
$$\operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) = \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) \cap \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_2)$$
 
$$\operatorname{rr}(\neg \psi) = \emptyset \quad \operatorname{if } \operatorname{rr}(\psi) \text{ is defined} \end{cases}$$

Exercise. Use the function rr from the lecture to compute the set of range-restricted variables for the following queries:

1. 
$$\varphi_1 = \exists y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{Stop}}, y_{\text{To}}. \left( \text{Stops}(y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{Stop}}, \text{"true"}) \land \text{Connect}(y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{To}}, x_{\text{Line}}) \right) [x_{\text{Line}}]$$

2. 
$$\varphi_2 = \neg Lines(x, "bus")[x]$$

3. 
$$\varphi_3 = (Connect(x_1, "42", "85") \vee Connect("57", x_2, "85"))[x_1, x_2]$$

4. 
$$\varphi_4 = \forall y. \ p(x,y)[x] = \neg \exists y. \ \neg p(x,y)[x]$$

5. 
$$\varphi_5 = \exists x. (((p(x) \rightarrow q(c)) \rightarrow p(x)) \rightarrow p(x))$$

Which of these queries is a safe-range query? Which of the queries is domain independent? **Solution.** 

$$\operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) = \{x_{\operatorname{Line}}\} \qquad \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_2) = \emptyset \qquad \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_3) = \emptyset \quad \operatorname{rr}(SNRF(\varphi_4)) = \operatorname{Exception}$$

### Definition (Lecture 2, Slide 26)

$$\operatorname{rr}(R(t_1,\ldots,t_n)) = \{x \mid x \text{ is a variable among the } t_1,\ldots,t_n\} \\ \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) \cup \{x,y\} & \text{if } \varphi_2 = (x \approx y) \text{ and } \{x,y\} \cap \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) \neq \emptyset \\ \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) \cup \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_2) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \operatorname{rr}(\exists y.\,\psi) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{rr}(\psi) \setminus \{y\} & \text{if } y \in \operatorname{rr}(\psi) \\ \operatorname{throw new NotSafeException}() & \text{if } y \notin \operatorname{rr}(\psi) \end{cases} \\ \operatorname{rr}(\neg \psi) = \emptyset & \text{if } \operatorname{rr}(\psi) \text{ is defined} \end{cases}$$

Exercise. Use the function rr from the lecture to compute the set of range-restricted variables for the following queries:

1. 
$$\varphi_1 = \exists y_{SID}, y_{Stop}, y_{To}. (Stops(y_{SID}, y_{Stop}, "true") \land Connect(y_{SID}, y_{To}, x_{Line}))[x_{Line}]$$

2. 
$$\varphi_2 = \neg Lines(x, "bus")[x]$$

3. 
$$\varphi_3 = (Connect(x_1, "42", "85") \vee Connect("57", x_2, "85"))[x_1, x_2]$$

4. 
$$\varphi_4 = \forall y. \ p(x,y)[x] = \neg \exists y. \ \neg p(x,y)[x]$$

5. 
$$\varphi_5 = \exists x. (((p(x) \rightarrow q(c)) \rightarrow p(x)) \rightarrow p(x)) = \exists x. (((\neg p(x) \lor q(c)) \land \neg p(x)) \lor p(x))$$

Which of these queries is a safe-range query? Which of the queries is domain independent? **Solution.** 

$$\operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) = \{x_{\operatorname{Line}}\} \qquad \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_2) = \emptyset \qquad \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_3) = \emptyset \quad \operatorname{rr}(SNRF(\varphi_4)) = \operatorname{Exception}$$

### Definition (Lecture 2, Slide 26)

$$\operatorname{rr}(R(t_1,\ldots,t_n)) = \{x \mid x \text{ is a variable among the } t_1,\ldots,t_n\} \\ \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) \cup \{x,y\} & \text{if } \varphi_2 = (x \approx y) \text{ and } \{x,y\} \cap \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) \neq \emptyset \\ \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) \cup \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_2) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \operatorname{rr}(\exists y.\ \psi) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{rr}(\psi) \setminus \{y\} & \text{if } y \in \operatorname{rr}(\psi) \\ \operatorname{throw\ new\ NotSafeException}() & \text{if } y \notin \operatorname{rr}(\psi) \end{cases} \\ \operatorname{rr}(\neg \psi) = \emptyset & \text{if } \operatorname{rr}(\psi) \text{ is defined} \end{cases}$$

Exercise. Use the function rr from the lecture to compute the set of range-restricted variables for the following queries:

1. 
$$\varphi_1 = \exists y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{Stop}}, y_{\text{To}}. \left( \text{Stops}(y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{Stop}}, \text{"true"}) \land \text{Connect}(y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{To}}, x_{\text{Line}}) \right) [x_{\text{Line}}]$$

2. 
$$\varphi_2 = \neg Lines(x, "bus")[x]$$

3. 
$$\varphi_3 = (Connect(x_1, "42", "85") \vee Connect("57", x_2, "85"))[x_1, x_2]$$

4. 
$$\varphi_4 = \forall y. \ p(x,y)[x] = \neg \exists y. \ \neg p(x,y)[x]$$

5. 
$$\varphi_5 = \exists x. (((p(x) \rightarrow q(c)) \rightarrow p(x)) \rightarrow p(x)) = \exists x. (((\neg p(x) \lor q(c)) \land \neg p(x)) \lor p(x))$$

Which of these queries is a safe-range query? Which of the queries is domain independent? **Solution.** 

$$\operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) = \{x_{\operatorname{Line}}\}$$
  $\operatorname{rr}(\varphi_2) = \emptyset$   $\operatorname{rr}(\varphi_3) = \emptyset$   $\operatorname{rr}(SNRF(\varphi_4)) = \operatorname{Exception}$   $\operatorname{rr}(SNRF(\varphi_5)) = \operatorname{Exception}$ 

### Definition (Lecture 2, Slide 26)

$$\operatorname{rr}(R(t_1,\ldots,t_n)) = \{x \mid x \text{ is a variable among the } t_1,\ldots,t_n\} \\ \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) \cup \{x,y\} & \text{if } \varphi_2 = (x \approx y) \text{ and } \{x,y\} \cap \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) \neq \emptyset \end{cases} \\ \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) \cup \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_2) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \operatorname{rr}(\exists y.\psi) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{rr}(\psi) \setminus \{y\} & \text{if } y \in \operatorname{rr}(\psi) \\ \text{throw new NotSafeException()} & \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) \cap \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_2) \end{cases} \\ \operatorname{rr}(\neg \psi) = \emptyset & \operatorname{if } \operatorname{rr}(\psi) \text{ is defined} \end{cases}$$

Exercise. Use the function rr from the lecture to compute the set of range-restricted variables for the following queries:

1. 
$$\varphi_1 = \exists y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{Stop}}, y_{\text{To}}. \left( \text{Stops}(y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{Stop}}, \text{"true"}) \land \text{Connect}(y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{To}}, x_{\text{Line}}) \right) [x_{\text{Line}}]$$

2. 
$$\varphi_2 = \neg \text{Lines}(x, \text{"bus"})[x]$$

3. 
$$\varphi_3 = (Connect(x_1, "42", "85") \vee Connect("57", x_2, "85"))[x_1, x_2]$$

4. 
$$\varphi_4 = \forall y. \ p(x,y)[x] = \neg \exists y. \ \neg p(x,y)[x]$$

5. 
$$\varphi_5 = \exists x. (((p(x) \rightarrow q(c)) \rightarrow p(x)) \rightarrow p(x)) = \exists x. (((\neg p(x) \lor q(c)) \land \neg p(x)) \lor p(x))$$

Which of these queries is a safe-range query? Which of the queries is domain independent? **Solution**.

$$\operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) = \{x_{\operatorname{Line}}\}$$
  $\operatorname{rr}(\varphi_2) = \emptyset$   $\operatorname{rr}(\varphi_3) = \emptyset$   $\operatorname{rr}(SNRF(\varphi_4)) = \operatorname{Exception}$   $\operatorname{rr}(SNRF(\varphi_5)) = \operatorname{Exception}$ 

## Definition (Lecture 2, Slide 27)

Exercise. Use the function rr from the lecture to compute the set of range-restricted variables for the following queries:

- 1.  $\varphi_1 = \exists y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{Stop}}, y_{\text{To}}. \left( \text{Stops}(y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{Stop}}, \text{"true"}) \land \text{Connect}(y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{To}}, x_{\text{Line}}) \right) [x_{\text{Line}}]$
- 2.  $\varphi_2 = \neg \text{Lines}(x, \text{"bus"})[x]$
- 3.  $\varphi_3 = (Connect(x_1, "42", "85") \vee Connect("57", x_2, "85"))[x_1, x_2]$
- 4.  $\varphi_4 = \forall y. \ \rho(x,y)[x] = \neg \exists y. \ \neg \rho(x,y)[x]$
- 5.  $\varphi_5 = \exists x. (((p(x) \rightarrow q(c)) \rightarrow p(x)) \rightarrow p(x)) = \exists x. (((\neg p(x) \lor q(c)) \land \neg p(x)) \lor p(x))$

Which of these queries is a safe-range query? Which of the queries is domain independent? **Solution.** 

$$\operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) = \{x_{\operatorname{Line}}\}$$
  $\operatorname{rr}(\varphi_2) = \emptyset$   $\operatorname{rr}(\varphi_3) = \emptyset$   $\operatorname{rr}(SNRF(\varphi_4)) = \operatorname{Exception}$   $\operatorname{rr}(SNRF(\varphi_5)) = \operatorname{Exception}$  SR, DI

# Definition (Lecture 2, Slide 27)

Exercise. Use the function rr from the lecture to compute the set of range-restricted variables for the following queries:

- 1.  $\varphi_1 = \exists y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{Stop}}, y_{\text{To}}. \left( \text{Stops}(y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{Stop}}, \text{"true"}) \land \text{Connect}(y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{To}}, x_{\text{Line}}) \right) [x_{\text{Line}}]$
- 2.  $\varphi_2 = \neg \text{Lines}(x, \text{"bus"})[x]$
- 3.  $\varphi_3 = (Connect(x_1, "42", "85") \vee Connect("57", x_2, "85"))[x_1, x_2]$
- 4.  $\varphi_4 = \forall y. \ \rho(x,y)[x] = \neg \exists y. \ \neg \rho(x,y)[x]$
- 5.  $\varphi_5 = \exists x. (((p(x) \rightarrow q(c)) \rightarrow p(x)) \rightarrow p(x)) = \exists x. (((\neg p(x) \lor q(c)) \land \neg p(x)) \lor p(x))$

Which of these queries is a safe-range query? Which of the queries is domain independent? **Solution.** 

$$\operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) = \{x_{\operatorname{Line}}\}$$
  $\operatorname{rr}(\varphi_2) = \emptyset$   $\operatorname{rr}(\varphi_3) = \emptyset$   $\operatorname{rr}(SNRF(\varphi_4)) = \operatorname{Exception}$   $\operatorname{rr}(SNRF(\varphi_5)) = \operatorname{Exception}$   $\operatorname{SR}$ ,  $\operatorname{DI}$  not  $\operatorname{SR}$ , not  $\operatorname{DI}$ 

# Definition (Lecture 2, Slide 27)

Exercise. Use the function rr from the lecture to compute the set of range-restricted variables for the following queries:

1. 
$$\varphi_1 = \exists y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{Stop}}, y_{\text{To}}. \left( \text{Stops}(y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{Stop}}, \text{"true"}) \land \text{Connect}(y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{To}}, x_{\text{Line}}) \right) [x_{\text{Line}}]$$

2. 
$$\varphi_2 = \neg \text{Lines}(x, \text{"bus"})[x]$$

3. 
$$\varphi_3 = (Connect(x_1, "42", "85") \vee Connect("57", x_2, "85"))[x_1, x_2]$$

4. 
$$\varphi_4 = \forall y. \ \rho(x,y)[x] = \neg \exists y. \ \neg \rho(x,y)[x]$$

5. 
$$\varphi_5 = \exists x. (((p(x) \rightarrow q(c)) \rightarrow p(x)) \rightarrow p(x)) = \exists x. (((\neg p(x) \lor q(c)) \land \neg p(x)) \lor p(x))$$

Which of these queries is a safe-range query? Which of the queries is domain independent? **Solution**.

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) &= \{x_{\operatorname{Line}}\} & \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_2) &= \emptyset & \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_3) &= \emptyset & \operatorname{rr}(SNRF(\varphi_4)) &= \operatorname{Exception} & \operatorname{rr}(SNRF(\varphi_5)) &= \operatorname{Exception} \\ & \operatorname{SR}, \operatorname{DI} & \operatorname{not} \operatorname{SR}, \operatorname{not} \operatorname{DI} & \operatorname{not} \operatorname{SR}, \operatorname{not} \operatorname{DI} & \end{aligned}$$

# Definition (Lecture 2, Slide 27)

Exercise. Use the function rr from the lecture to compute the set of range-restricted variables for the following queries:

- 1.  $\varphi_1 = \exists y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{Stop}}, y_{\text{To}}. \left( \text{Stops}(y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{Stop}}, \text{"true"}) \land \text{Connect}(y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{To}}, x_{\text{Line}}) \right) [x_{\text{Line}}]$
- 2.  $\varphi_2 = \neg \text{Lines}(x, \text{"bus"})[x]$
- 3.  $\varphi_3 = (Connect(x_1, "42", "85") \vee Connect("57", x_2, "85"))[x_1, x_2]$
- 4.  $\varphi_4 = \forall y. \ \rho(x,y)[x] = \neg \exists y. \ \neg \rho(x,y)[x]$
- 5.  $\varphi_5 = \exists x. (((p(x) \rightarrow q(c)) \rightarrow p(x)) \rightarrow p(x)) = \exists x. (((\neg p(x) \lor q(c)) \land \neg p(x)) \lor p(x))$

Which of these queries is a safe-range query? Which of the queries is domain independent?

#### Solution.

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) = \{ x_{\operatorname{Line}} \} & \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_2) = \emptyset & \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_3) = \emptyset & \operatorname{rr}(SNRF(\varphi_4)) = \operatorname{\textbf{Exception}} \\ \operatorname{SR}, \operatorname{DI} & \operatorname{not} \operatorname{SR}, \operatorname{not} \operatorname{DI} & \operatorname{not} \operatorname{SR}, \operatorname{not} \operatorname{DI} \end{aligned} & \operatorname{not} \operatorname{SR}, \operatorname{not} \operatorname{DI}$$

# Definition (Lecture 2, Slide 27)

Exercise. Use the function rr from the lecture to compute the set of range-restricted variables for the following queries:

1. 
$$\varphi_1 = \exists y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{Stop}}, y_{\text{To}}. \left( \text{Stops}(y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{Stop}}, \text{"true"}) \land \text{Connect}(y_{\text{SID}}, y_{\text{To}}, x_{\text{Line}}) \right) [x_{\text{Line}}]$$

2. 
$$\varphi_2 = \neg \text{Lines}(x, \text{"bus"})[x]$$

3. 
$$\varphi_3 = (Connect(x_1, "42", "85") \vee Connect("57", x_2, "85"))[x_1, x_2]$$

4. 
$$\varphi_4 = \forall y. \ \rho(x,y)[x] = \neg \exists y. \ \neg \rho(x,y)[x]$$

5. 
$$\varphi_5 = \exists x. (((p(x) \rightarrow q(c)) \rightarrow p(x)) \rightarrow p(x)) = \exists x. (((\neg p(x) \lor q(c)) \land \neg p(x)) \lor p(x))$$

Which of these queries is a safe-range query? Which of the queries is domain independent?

#### Solution.

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_1) &= \{x_{\mathsf{Line}}\} & \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_2) &= \emptyset & \operatorname{rr}(\varphi_3) &= \emptyset & \operatorname{rr}(SNRF(\varphi_4)) &= \operatorname{\textbf{Exception}} & \operatorname{rr}(SNRF(\varphi_5)) &= \operatorname{\textbf{Exception}} \\ \operatorname{SR}, \operatorname{DI} & \operatorname{not} \operatorname{SR}, \operatorname{not} \operatorname{DI} & \operatorname{not} \operatorname{SR}, \operatorname{not} \operatorname{DI} & \operatorname{not} \operatorname{SR}, \operatorname{DI} & \operatorname{not} \operatorname{SR}, \operatorname{DI} \end{aligned}$$

## Definition (Lecture 2, Slide 27)

An FO query 
$$q = \varphi[x_1, \dots, x_n]$$
 is a safe-range query if  $rr(SRNF(\varphi)) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ .