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## Exercise 1.1

Given an interpretation $I$ and a formula $F$. Compute $F^{I}$ :
a) $(1,2,3)$

$$
F
$$

b) $(-1,2,3)$
c) $\quad(-1,-2,-3,-4,-5) \quad((-2 \vee 1) \rightarrow(3 \leftrightarrow(5 \vee 2))) \leftarrow(5 \wedge-3 \wedge 4)$
d) $(-1,-2,-3,4,5)$
e) $\quad(1,2,-3,4,5)$

## Exercise 1.2

In the lecture we learnt that there exist different variants of SAT problems. This exercise will illustrate that. Let $F=1 \wedge(-1 \rightarrow(2 \vee 3 \leftrightarrow(-4 \wedge 2)))$. Solve $F$ as a decision, search and all models variant.

## Exercise 1.3

Find a model for $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{1}= & -1 \\
& \wedge(2 \leftrightarrow 1) \\
& \wedge(2 \vee 3) \\
& \wedge(3 \rightarrow-2 \wedge-4) \\
& \wedge(4 \vee 5 \vee 6) \\
& \wedge(5 \rightarrow 7 \wedge 8) \\
& \wedge(-7 \vee 8) \\
& \wedge(5 \leftrightarrow-8)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{2}= & (1 \vee-2) \\
& \wedge(1 \rightarrow 3) \\
& \wedge(-3 \vee 2) \\
& \wedge(-2 \vee(4 \wedge 5)) \\
& \wedge(4 \leftrightarrow-3) \\
& \wedge(5 \vee 6 \vee-2) \\
& \wedge(5 \leftrightarrow-6) \\
& \wedge(-1 \rightarrow(3 \vee 6))
\end{aligned}
$$

After finding a model on your own, try to find a model with the help of the basic algorithm presented in the lecture.

## Exercise 1.4

1. Give the set of subformulas of $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{1}=\neg\left(p_{1} \wedge\left(p_{2} \rightarrow \neg p_{3}\right)\right) \\
& F_{2}=\left(p_{1} \leftrightarrow \neg p_{2}\right) \vee\left(p_{2} \wedge p_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

2. Are the following statements correct? Proof your answer.
(a) If all subformulas of $F$ are satisfiable then $F$ is also satisfiable.
(b) A formula $F$ is satisfiable if and only if at least one subformula is satisfiable.

## Exercise 1.5

1. Use the semantic equivalences presented in the lecture (slide 17) to transform stepwise the following formulas. The resulting formulas have to contain at most the $\wedge, \vee$ and $\neg$ connectives (and as few as possible).
(a) $F_{1}=\neg \neg \neg\left(p_{1} \vee p_{2}\right)$
(b) $F_{2}=\left(p_{1} \wedge p_{2}\right) \rightarrow p_{3}$
(c) $F_{3}=\left(p_{1} \leftrightarrow \neg p_{2}\right) \vee\left(p_{2} \wedge p_{1}\right)$
2. Proof that $F \rightarrow G \equiv \neg F \vee G$ holds.
