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## Warm Up

- Used programming languages
- Size of implemented projects
- Parallel computing (multi-core, GPGPU, cluster)
- Interest in computer architecture
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## Revision

- Used Data Types
- Semantics
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- Let $F$ be a formulas an I be an interpretation
- I can
$\triangleright$ satisfy $F$, if $\left.F\right|_{I} \equiv \top$
$\triangleright$ falsify $\boldsymbol{F}$, if $\left.\boldsymbol{F}\right|_{\boldsymbol{I}} \equiv \perp$
- A formula can be
$\triangleright$ unsatisfiable, $\boldsymbol{F} \equiv \perp$
$\triangleright$ satisfiable
$\triangleright$ tautologic, $F \equiv \top$
- Property: $F \equiv \top$, then $\neg F \equiv \perp$.
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- Definition
$\triangleright$ A clause is a generalized disjunction $\left[L_{1}, \ldots, L_{n}\right], n \geq 0$, where every $L_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$, is a literal
$\triangleright$ A clause is a unit clause if it contains precisely one literal
$\triangleright$ A clause is a binary clause if it contains precisely two literals
- Definition
$\triangleright$ A formula is in conjunctive normal form (clause form, CNF) iff it is of the form $\left\langle C_{1}, \ldots, C_{m}\right\rangle, m \geq 0$, and every $C_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq m$, is a clause
- Implementation and working assumptions
$\triangleright$ A clause is an array of literals
- Maintained to be a set of literals (no duplicates)
$\rightarrow$ Clauses are no tautologies (excluded during parsing)
$\triangleright$ A formula is an array of (pointers/references to) clauses
- Maintained to be a multi set


## Propositional Resolution

- Remind: clauses are considered to be sets
- Definition Let $C_{1}$ be a clause containing $L$ and $C_{2}$ be a clause containing $\bar{L}$; The (propositional) resolvent of $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ with respect to $L$ is the clause

$$
\left(C_{1} \backslash\{L\}\right) \cup\left(C_{2} \backslash\{\bar{L}\}\right)
$$

$C$ is said to be a resolvent of $\boldsymbol{C}_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{C}_{2}$ iff there exists a literal $L$ such that $C$ is the resolvent of $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ wrt $L$

- Examples when resolving on a
- $(a \vee \neg a) \otimes(\neg a \vee a)=(a \vee \neg a)$
- $(a \vee \neg b) \otimes(\neg a \vee b)=(b \vee \neg b)$
- $(a \vee b) \otimes(\neg a \vee b)=(b)$
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$$

$C$ is said to be a resolvent of $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ iff there exists a literal $L$ such that $C$ is the resolvent of $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ wrt $L$

- Examples when resolving on a
- $(a \vee \neg a) \otimes(\neg a \vee a)=(a \vee \neg a)$
- $(a \vee \neg b) \otimes(\neg a \vee b)=(b \vee \neg b)$
- $(a \vee b) \otimes(\neg a \vee b)=(b)$
- Resolvents can subsume antecedents
- Usually, resolvents have more literals than antecedents
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## SAT Solving - Example

- Given: Conjunction of clauses
- Task: Find satisfying interpretation for variables if possible!

$$
F=(a \vee c) \wedge(\bar{b} \vee \bar{e} \vee \bar{f}) \wedge(\bar{a} \vee \bar{d} \vee f) \wedge(\bar{a} \vee \bar{b} \vee \bar{d} \vee e) \wedge(\bar{a} \vee b)
$$

- How to find a solution?
- Some questions:

1. How many combinations (solution candidates) exist for 6 Boolean variables?
2. How many percent of the candidates are cut by a unit clause?
3. How many percent of the candidates are cut by a binary, ternary, ... clause?

## Power of Modern SAT Solvers



- RISs 4.27, SAT Competition 2014, application track
- Formulas with several million clauses and variables can be solved
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## SAT Solving - With Search

- Assume a literal
- Propagate immediate consequences
- If a conflict, backtrack
- Known as DPLL (Davis Putnam Logemann Loveland)
- What are immediate consequences?

$$
\left.F\right|_{\bar{b} \bar{a}}=(\quad c) \wedge
$$

- Assume $\bar{b}=\top$, then we have $J=(\bar{b})$
- Are there variables with a forced assignment?
$\triangleright \overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$ and $\overline{\boldsymbol{c}}$
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## Davis Putnam Logemann Loveland (DPLL) in a Nutshell

$=(\bar{a} \vee b) \wedge(\bar{b} \vee \bar{d} \vee e) \wedge(c \vee d) \wedge(\bar{a} \vee \bar{b} \vee \bar{e} \vee f) \wedge(\bar{a} \vee \bar{e} \vee \bar{f}) \wedge(d \vee \bar{f}) \wedge(\bar{c} \vee e \vee f)$
found conflict

| Variable | $\boldsymbol{a}$ | $\boldsymbol{b}$ | $\boldsymbol{c}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}$ | $\boldsymbol{e}$ | $\boldsymbol{f}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reason | - | $\boldsymbol{C}_{1}$ | - | - | $\boldsymbol{C}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{C}_{4}$ |
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C_{5}=(\overline{\mathbf{a}} \vee \overline{\mathbf{e}} \vee \overline{\mathbf{f}})
$$

- backtrack from conflict and proceed with search


## DPLL pseudo code

- An iterative solving algorithm

IDPLL (CNF formula $\boldsymbol{F}$ )
Input: A formula $F$ in CNF
Output: The solution SAT or UNSAT of this formula

| $J:=()$ <br> while true | // start with empty interpretation // until we find a solution |
| :---: | :---: |
| if $\left.\boldsymbol{F}\right\|_{J}=\emptyset$ then return SAT | // satisfiability rule |
| if $\left.[] \in F\right\|_{J}$ then | // there was a conflict |
| if $\boldsymbol{J}=\boldsymbol{J}^{\prime} \dot{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{J}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\# \dot{\boldsymbol{y}} \in \boldsymbol{J}^{\prime \prime}$ then | // backtrack and undo most recent decision |
| $J:=J^{\prime} \bar{x}$ <br> continue | // add the complement |
| else return UNSAT | // unsatisfiability rule |
| if $\left.(x) \in F\right\|_{J}$ then | // unit rule |
| $J:=J x$ <br> continue | // extend the interpretation |
| if $x \in \operatorname{lits}\left(\left.F\right\|_{J}\right)$ and $\bar{x} \notin \operatorname{lits}\left(\left.F\right\|_{J}\right)$ then $J:=J x$ continue | // pure literal rule |
| $\boldsymbol{J}:=\boldsymbol{J} \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}$ for some $\boldsymbol{x} \in \operatorname{lits}\left(\left.\boldsymbol{F}\right\|_{J}\right)$ | // decide rule |

## Conclusions of the DPLL Algorithm

- Chronological backtracking
- Heavily depends on the order of the decision variables
- How to perform unit propagation? How to find a unit in the formula efficiently?

TECHNISCHE
UNIVERSITAT
DRESDEN

## Unit Propagation

- How to perform unit propagation?
- How to find a unit in the formula efficiently?
- Assumption: we use the presented pseudo code as algorithm.
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> found conflict
> $\boldsymbol{C}_{5}=(\overline{\mathbf{a}} \vee \overline{\mathbf{e}} \vee \overline{\mathbf{f}})$

- backtrack, add $C_{8}$, and proceed with unit propagation


## The CDCL Algorithm

## CDCL (CNF formula $\boldsymbol{F}$ )

Input: A formula $F$ in CNF
Output: The solution SAT or UNSAT of this formula

| 1 | $J:=()$ | // start with empty interpretation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | while true |  |
| 3 | while ( $x$ ) $\in F^{\prime}$ J do | // unit rule |
| 4 | $J:=J x$ |  |
| 5 | if []$\in F \mid$ d then | // conflict |
| 6 | if $\exists \dot{\boldsymbol{y}} \in J$, such that $\boldsymbol{J}=J^{\prime} \boldsymbol{J}^{\prime \prime} \dot{\boldsymbol{J}} \boldsymbol{J}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ then |  |
| 7 | $F:=F \cup C$ with $F \vDash C$ and $\boldsymbol{C} \notin \boldsymbol{F}$ | // learning |
| 8 | $\boldsymbol{J}:=\boldsymbol{J}^{\prime}$ | // backjumping |
| 9 | else return UNSAT | // unsatisfiability rule |
| 10 | else | // no empty clause in $\left.\boldsymbol{F}\right\|_{J}$ |
| 11 | if atoms $(J) \supseteq$ atoms $(F)$ then return SAT | // satisfiability rule |
| 12 | else $J:=J \bar{z}$ with $\operatorname{atoms}(z) \subseteq \operatorname{atoms}(F)$ | // decision rule |

## Conclusions of the CDCL Algorithm

- Heavily depends on the order of the decision variables
- Non-Chronological backtracking, backjumping
- Learning of new clauses
- No mentioned here: restarts, clause removal
- Question: can the CDCL algorithm simulate the DPLL algorithm?


## An Intuitive Abstraction of SAT Solver Techniques

## Finding an Exit in a Maze

- Some rules
$\triangleright$ starting point is located in the left column
$\triangleright$ exit is on the right side (if there exists one)
$\triangleright$ search decisions can be done only when moving right
$\triangleright$ when moving left, use backtracking

- Property: satisfiable formulas correspond to mazes that can be solved by searching right only


## The DPLL Algorithm

- Heuristics:
$\triangleright$ pick the highest possible column
$\triangleright$ then, pick the lowest column



## The DPLL Algorithm

- Heuristics:
$\triangleright$ pick the highest possible column
$\triangleright$ then, pick the lowest column



## The DPLL Algorithm

- Heuristics:
$\triangleright$ pick the highest possible column
$\triangleright$ then, pick the lowest column



## The DPLL Algorithm

- No decision, hence propagate



## The DPLL Algorithm

- No exit (conflict), hence backtrack



## The DPLL Algorithm

- No exit in the upper search space, hence backtrack



## The DPLL Algorithm

- Do next search decision



## The DPLL Algorithm

- Enter the same search space as before



## The CDCL Algorithm (conflict driven clause learning)

- Choose,
- Propagate,
- and Backtrack after Conflict
- ...enters the same search space again and again.
- Let's go a few steps back...


## The CDCL Algorithm (conflict driven clause learning)

- No decision, hence propagate



## The CDCL Algorithm (conflict driven clause learning)

- No exit (conflict), hence backtrack



## The CDCL Algorithm (conflict driven clause learning)

- ... and learn a clause



## The CDCL Algorithm (conflict driven clause learning)

- No exit in upper search space, backtrack and learn



## The CDCL Algorithm (conflict driven clause learning)

- Do next search decision



## The CDCL Algorithm (conflict driven clause learning)

- Does not enter the same search space as before



## Motivating Clause Removal



## How to perform Unit Propagation

- When is a unit clause in the reduct?
- How to find a unit clause in the reduct, especially in the CDCL algorithm?
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## Coming Next

- Simplification
- Parallel Search

